

Nitrous oxide emissions from an Andosol upland field amended with four different types of biochars

メタデータ	言語: English
	出版者:
	公開日: 2021-01-04
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En): Andosol, Biochar, Field experiment,
	Inorganic N adsorption, Nitrous oxide
	作成者: 秋山, 博子
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://repository.naro.go.jp/records/5104
	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



1	Туре	of paper	: Full	paper
1	Туре	of paper	: Full	paper

2	
3	Title:
4	Nitrous oxide emissions from an Andosol upland field amended with four
5	different types of biochars
6	
7	Authors:
8	Akinori Yamamoto <sup>1, †, *</sup> , Hiroko Akiyama <sup>2, +</sup> , Masahiro Kojima <sup>3</sup> , Ayano Osaki <sup>3</sup>
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	1 Natural Science Research Unit, Tokyo Gakugei University, 4-1-1
12	Nukuikitamachi, Koganei, Tokyo, 184-8501, Japan
13	2 Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food
14	Research Organization (NARO), 3-1-3 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8604,
15	Japan
16	3 Faculty of Education, Tokyo Gakugei University, 4-1-1 Nukuikitamachi,
17	Koganei, Tokyo, 184-8501, Japan
18	+These authors contributed equally to this work.

-1	$\mathbf{n}$
	ч
_	v

20	* Corresp	ondence:	A. Yamamo	to				
21	Natural	Science	Research	Unit,	Tokyo	Gakugei	University,	4-1-1
22	Nukuikit	amachi, Ko	oganei, Tok	yo, 184-8	3501, Japa	n		
23	Tel.: +81-4	42-329-754	7, Fax: +81-4	2-329-75	504 <i>,</i> E-ma	il: yakinori	@u-gakugei.ac	.jp
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								

#### 37 Abstract

The application of biochar can affect nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions from the 38 soil. Although laboratory studies reported that biochar application can reduce 39 N2O emissions, number of field-based study is still limited. Therefore, in this 40 study, we investigated the effects of four different types of biochars and various 41other environmental parameters on N2O emissions from an Andosol field over 42a 2-year period (2015-2016). The field experiment consisted of five treatments: 4344 chemical (mineral) fertilizer without biochar (CF), chemical fertilizer with rice husk biochar (RH), chemical fertilizer with chipped bamboo biochar (BA), 45chemical fertilizer with chipped hardwood biochar (HW), and chemical 46fertilizer with chipped wood briquet biochar made from a mixture of softwood 47and hardwood sawdust (SH). Biochar application rate was 25 t ha-1. Biochar 48application did not affect to the cumulative N2O emission over 2 years, despite 49 wide range of physicochemical properties of biochar were tested. This was 50probably because Andosol CEC (31.3 cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>) was higher than those of 51biochar (4.52 to 19.65 cmol(+) kg-1) and also high pH-buffering capacity of 52Andosol. The cumulative N2O emission of biochar treatment to that of the CF 53treatment during N2O peak period (17 days) after biochar and fertilizer 54

55	application increased with the increase of amount of $\rm NH_{4^+}\text{-}N$ adsorbed on the
56	biochar. The $\rm NH_{4}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}{}-\rm N$ adsorption by biochar may affect the availability of
57	substrate for microbial N2O production.
58	

**Keywords:** Andosol, biochar, field experiment, inorganic N adsorption, nitrous

- 60 oxide

### 62 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N2O) has 298 times the global warming potential of carbon 63 dioxide and degrades stratospheric ozone (Ravishankara et al. 2009; Stocker et 64 al. 2013). More than half (59%) of anthropogenic N2O emissions are produced 65by agriculture (Stocker et al. 2013), with nitrogen (N) fertilizers being the most 66 important source due to their effects on microbial nitrification and 67 68 denitrification processes in the soil (Granli and Bøckman 1994; Baggs and Philippot 2010). Moreover, N2O emissions from agriculture are expected to 69 increase as a result of the expansion of agricultural land and growing demand 70for N fertilizers (Edenhofer et al. 2014). Therefore, the mitigation of N2O 71emissions from agricultural soils is crucial if we are to reduce the total 72anthropogenic N2O emissions. Several mitigation options have been 73investigated to date, including nitrification inhibitors, no-tillage farming, coated 74fertilizers, crop-residue management, and biochar application (Grandy et al. 752006; Akiyama et al. 2010; Basche et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2017). 76

Biochar is a solid, carbon-rich material that is produced by the pyrolysis of biomass under no or a limited oxygen supply (Sohi et al. 2010). Biochar application has been considered as a potential mitigation option for N<sub>2</sub>O

80	emissions from agriculture ecosystems. A meta-analysis by Cayuela et al. (2014)
81	showed that application of biochar reduced N2O emission from soil. However,
82	some studies reported that biochar has no impact on N2O emission (Scheer et al.
83	2011; Suddick and Six 2013; Koga et al. 2017) and others reported that biochar
84	increases N <sub>2</sub> O emissions (Clough et al. 2010; Wells and Baggs 2014; Feng and
85	Zhu 2017).

These contrasting effects of biochar may have been caused by its properties. 86 87 Previous studies have suggested that various biochar properties, such as the carbon to nitrogen (CN) ratio (Cayuela et al. 2014), hydrogen to organic carbon 88 (H:Corg) ratio (Cayuela et al. 2015), volatile matter and ash contents (Butnan et 89 al. 2016), and pH (Yanai et al. 2007), affect N2O emissions. Some studies have 90 also reported that the ammonium-nitrogen (NH4+-N) adsorption on biochar 91could decrease N2O emissions (Singh et al. 2010; Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 2011; 92 Angst et al. 2013). Moreover, since these biochar properties vary depending on 93 the feedstock and pyrolysis conditions (Spokas et al. 2009; Enders et al. 2012; 94 Kameyama et al. 2012), the effect of biochar on N2O emissions may also vary 95with biochar type. 96

97 Many of the previous investigations into the effects of biochar on N2O

emissions have been laboratory studies (e.g., Clough et al. 2010; Cayuela et al. 98 2013; Harter et al. 2014), which tend to show a larger suppression of N2O 99 emissions after biochar application than field studies (Yanai et al. 2007; Castaldi 100 et al. 2011; Suddick and Six 2013; Case et al. 2015). This difference may be due to 101 102 differences in the experimental conditions, such as temperature, soil water content, and substrate supply, between laboratory and field. For example, while 103 the temperature and soil water content are generally held constant in the 104 105laboratory, they exhibit daily, weekly, and seasonal fluctuations in agricultural ecosystems. Since these environmental factors influence microbial nitrification 106 and denitrification (Baggs and Philippot 2010), the differences in experimental 107 conditions between laboratory and field will also affect N2O emissions. 108Moreover, Spokas (2013) reported that field aging of biochar reduced the 109 magnitude of suppression of N2O production. Therefore, multi-year field 110 studies are needed to elucidate the effects of different biochars on N2O 111 112emissions in agricultural ecosystems.

In this study, we aimed to (1) quantify the effects of four different types of biochars on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an Andosol field; and (2) investigate the effects of biochar properties and environmental factors on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 116 conducting a 2-year field experiment in an Andosol field. In addition, we
117 measured the properties of biochars such as adsorption capacity of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and
118 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N.

119

#### 120 Materials and methods

### 121 Study site

122The study site was located at the Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan (36°01'N, 140°07'E), where the annual mean air 123 temperature was 13.8 °C and the total annual precipitation averaged 1282.9 mm 124between 1981 and 2010 (Japan Meteorological Agency). The soil type was 125Andosol (FAO/UNESCO soil classification system). The pH (H2O) of 5.89 in the 126topsoil (0-0.05 m), a bulk density of 0.59 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, a total carbon (C) content of 12767.6 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, a total N content of 4.7 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and a cation exchange capacity (CEC) 128of 31.3 cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>. 129

130

#### 131 Experimental design

132The field experiment was conducted from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016.

133 Prior to the experiment, soybean was cultivated until October 31, 2014, and the

134	field was kept fallow until biochar application. We established fifteen 36-m <sup>2</sup> (6
135	m × 6 m) field plots at the study site that were laid out in a randomized block
136	design with five treatments and three replicates per treatment:

(1) Chemical (mineral) fertilizer without biochar application (CF): A compound
fertilizer containing 8% nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub>-N), 8% phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), and 8%
potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O) (w/w) was applied according to local practice.

(2) Rice husk biochar with chemical fertilizer application same as CF treatment
(RH): Rice husk biochar was obtained from a local farmer and was produced
through the thermal decomposition of rice husk mounds using a hood and
chimney.

(3) Chipped bamboo biochar with chemical fertilizer application same as CF
treatment (BA): The bamboo biochar was produced commercially using a rotary
kiln (product name: *Maisetsuyo Takesumi*; Yukashitayou Takesumi Center,
Miyazaki, Japan).

152	(4) Chipped hardwood biochar with chemical fertilizer application same as CF
153	treatment (HW): The hardwood biochar was produced commercially using a
154	kiln (product name: <i>Minori tanso;</i> Nara Tanka Kogyo Co., Ltd., Nara, Japan).

(5) Chipped wood briquet biochar made from a mixture of softwood and
hardwood sawdust with chemical fertilizer application same as CF treatment
(SH): This biochar was produced commercially using a kiln (product name: *Green tanso 2-gou*; Nara Tanka Kogyo Co., Ltd., Nara, Japan).

160

Each of the biochars was applied to the field at a rate of 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the compound fertilizer used in the five treatments was applied at the time of sowing for each crop (Table S1). The biochars and fertilizers were incorporated into the soil to a depth of 0.15 m using a rotary tiller according to the local practice of Ibaraki Prefecture. The biochars were only applied to the soil on May 13, 2015, simultaneously with the spring fertilizer application. The properties of four biochars are shown in Table 1.

In each plot, we cultivated komatsuna (*Brassica rapa* L. var. *perviridis* L.H.
Bailey) for spring cropping and spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) for autumn

170 cropping in 20 rows placed 0.30 m apart, according to the local practice of 171 Ibaraki Prefecture. The cultivars, N application rates, dates of fertilizer 172 application, seeding, and harvest in each of the four cropping seasons are 173 summarized in Table S1. Compound fertilizer was incorporated into the soil to 174 a depth of 0.15 m using a rotary tiller at seeding of each cropping seasons. 175 Moreover, we did not apply lime in order to investigate the effects of biochar 176 application on soil pH and N<sub>2</sub>O emission throughout the experimental period.

177

### 178 Measurements of N2O flux and environmental factors

We measured the N<sub>2</sub>O flux in each plot using an automated chamber and gas 179sampling system from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016 (Akiyama et al. 1802009). A chamber [cross-sectional area, 0.81 m<sup>2</sup> (0.9 m × 0.9 m); height, 0.65 m] 181 was placed at a depth of 0.05 m in the center of each plot. Both soil and the two 182 rows of corps were included in each chamber made with transparent 183 polycarbonate. The lid of each chamber was left open at all times except during 184 gas sampling, which was conducted every 2 days during the cropping season 185and every 4 days during the winter fallow period at 16:00 to 17:00. These times 186were selected based on the results of a nearby field experiment, which showed 187

that the N2O flux within a day changed with temperature, and the daily 188 average flux was observed in the morning and evening (Akiyama et al. 2000; 189 Akiyama and Tsuruta 2002, 2003). During flux measurement, the lid of each 190 chamber was automatically closed for 60 min using a pressure cylinder and gas 191 192samples were automatically withdrawn from the headspace into 15-ml evacuated vials at 0, 30, and 60 min after closure (Akiyama et al. 2009). [See 193Akiyama et al. (2009) for further information regarding the N2O flux 194 195 measurements using the automated chamber and gas sampling system.] The chambers were then automatically opened again. 196

All gas samples were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (GC-2014; 197 Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a CH4-doped electron capture detector 198at 340 °C with pure N2 as the carrier gas. Standard gases of several N2O mixing 199 ratios (0.3, 0.5, 1.0 and 10.0 ppmv, Saisan Co., Ltd.) were used for gas sample 200 analysis. The rate of increase in the mixing ratio of N2O in the chambers was 201 202 determined using linear regression analysis and estimates of the cumulative gas 203emissions from periodic samples were calculated using a basic numerical integration technique (i.e., the trapezoidal rule). Only those samples with a 204regression correlation coefficient greater than 0.90 were used for calculation of 205

N<sub>2</sub>O emission. We also calculated the ratio of the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission
with each biochar treatment (RH, BA, HW, and SH) to the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O
emission with the CF treatment (Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>biochar</sub>/Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>CF</sub>).

We measured the volumetric soil water content of each plot at a soil depth of 209 2100.05 m every 60 min from May 13, 2015 to December 31, 2016 using a soil moisture sensor (ECH2O EC-5; Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA). We 211prepared a calibration curve for the soil moisture sensor by adding known 212213amounts of water to containers packed with oven-dried soil and measuring the soil moisture content (y = 0.95x + 0.086,  $r^2 = 0.99$ ). The volumetric soil water 214content was then used to calculate the water-filled pore space (WFPS) based on 215the soil porosity value. We measured the volumetric soil water content at a 216number of points in each plot. However, there was large variation within each 217plot (data not shown), making it difficult to detect differences among 218 treatments. Therefore, we used the average value of all plots for subsequent 219220 correlation analysis between N2O emission and environmental factors. The soil 221depth of 0 to 0.05 m was chosen for measurements of the volumetric soil water content and the soil environment factors (described below) because N2O 222production was highest at about 0.05 m depth in Andosol fields (Hosen et al., 223

コメントの追加 [A1]: From 0 to 0.05m 引用文献の内容が上記表現 OK なら、上記のほうがよい です。

**コメントの追加 [AY2]:** Hosen et al: 0-8cm、Takeda et al: 4-6cm か 9-11cm で高い傾向を示しています 黒ボク土を対象にして、ちょうど 0-5cm で N2O 生成や 活性が高いという論文が見つけられず、微妙な値ですの で 5cm 周辺としています。

コメントの追加 [A3R2]: OK

224 2000; Takeda et al., 2012).

225

### 226 Analysis of soil and biochar properties

We analyzed the inorganic N (NH4<sup>+</sup>-N and NO3<sup>-</sup>-N) content and pH of the soil. 227Sub-samples of surface soil (0-0.05 m) were collected randomly from five sites 228of each plot then mixed in a plastic bag to have a composite sample and stored 229 at <4 °C until extraction. Within 24-hours of sampling, we extracted soil 230 231inorganic N by shaking each sample with 10% KCl (w/v) at a 1:10 ratio for 60 minutes. We then stored the KCl extracts at -25 °C until analysis. We measured 232 the concentrations of inorganic N in the KCl extracts using a continuous flow 233analyzer (QuAAtro 2HR; BLTEC, Osaka, Japan). We measured the pH of a 1:2.5 234slurry (soil/water, w/v) of each soil sample using an electrode-type pH meter 235(model FE20; Mettler Toledo AG, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland). 236

To analyze the properties of the different biochars, we measured the pH of a 1:10 slurry (biochar/water, w/v) of each biochar with an electrode-type pH meter, and the total C, N, and hydrogen (H) contents using an elemental analyzer (FlashEA 1112 series; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). We also determined the surface area of each biochar by degassing the samples for 3

242	h at 105 °C and measuring their nitrogen adsorption isotherms using a
243	Quantachrome A-1 Autosorb analyzer (Quantachrome Corp., Boynton Beach,
244	FL, USA), based on the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method (Brunauer et
245	al. 1938). In addition, we determined the ash content of each biochar by
246	combusting it in a muffle furnace at 750 °C for 6 h, according to the American
247	Society for Testing and Materials D1762-84 standard analysis of charcoal (ASTM
248	2007). The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was measured by using a standard
249	procedure (Schollenberger and Simon 1945). This method involves saturation of
250	the cation exchange sites with 1M ammonium acetate (pH7), equilibration,
251	removal of the excess ammonium with 80% ethanol, replacement and leaching
252	of exchangeable ammonium with 1M NaCl. The concentrations of $\rm NH_{4^+}-N$ in
253	each extract measured by using a continuous flow analyzer (QuAAtro 2HR).

### 255 **Biochar adsorption experiment**

To investigate the ability of each biochar to adsorb  $NH_4^+-N$  and  $NO_3^-N$ , we conducted an adsorption experiment, in which 0.2 g of the biochar was added to 50 ml of either  $NH_4Cl$  or  $KNO_3$  solution at concentrations of 10, 50, 100, and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Each mixture was shaken in a thermostatic shaker at 25 °C and 200

260	rpm for 24 hours to achieve equilibrium (Gai et al. 2014), following which it was
261	passed through filter paper (type 5C; ADVANTEC, Tokyo, Japan). We then
262	measured the concentrations of inorganic N in each extract using a continuous
263	flow analyzer. This experiment was conducted in quintuplicate.
264	The amount of NH4+-N or NO3N that was adsorbed on each biochar (AN;
265	mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) was calculated according to the following equation (Ok et al. 2007; Gai
266	et al. 2014):
267	
268	$A_{\rm N} = (C_{\rm in} - C_{\rm eq})V/M \tag{1}$
269	
270	where $C_{in}$ and $C_{eq}$ are the concentrations of $NH_4{}^+\text{-}N$ or $NO_3{}^-\text{-}N$ in the initial and
271	equilibrium solutions, respectively (mg $L^{-1}$ ), V is the volume of the aqueous

272 solution (L), and M is the mass of biochar (g).

The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N adsorption data were fitted to the Langmuir isotherm model, which is frequently used to describe adsorption isotherms (Gai et al. 2014) and has previously been used to quantify and contrast the performance of different bio-sorbents (Langmuir 1916; Foo and Hameed 2010). The Langmuir model is as follows:

279 
$$C_e/Q_e = C_e/Q_m + 1/(Q_m K_L)$$
 (2)

280

where C<sub>e</sub> is the concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N in the equilibrium solution (mg L<sup>-1</sup>), Q<sub>e</sub> is the mass of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N adsorbed per unit mass of the biochar at equilibrium (mg g<sup>-1</sup>), Q<sub>m</sub> is the maximum adsorption capacity of the biochar (mg g<sup>-1</sup>), and K<sub>L</sub> refers to the Langmuir constants that are related to the adsorption capacity and adsorption rate. Plotting C<sub>e</sub>/Q<sub>e</sub> against C<sub>e</sub> gives a straight line with a slope of 1/Q<sub>m</sub> and an intercept of 1/(Q<sub>m</sub> K<sub>L</sub>).

287

### 288 Statistical analysis

The significance of the differences in N<sub>2</sub>O emission, cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission, environmental factors (soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents, soil pH), and crop yield among the treatments were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, P = 0.05), followed by Tukey's post hoc test to determine specific differences between the means where a significant effect was detected. Significant correlations between N<sub>2</sub>O emission and environmental factors (soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents, soil pH, WFPS) were identified using Pearson's 296 correlation analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS ver. 22.0

297 (IBM corp., Chicago, IL, USA).

298

299 Results

300 Environmental factors

The study site had a mean air temperature of 15.3 °C and 15.2 °C, a total precipitation of 1256 mm and 1337 mm, and a mean WFPS of 39.7% and 36.6% in 2015 and 2016, respectively (Fig. 1a, b). The WFPS ranged from 28.5% to 66.0% during the experimental period.

Soil pH showed a decreasing trend during the experimental period, changing from 5.9 at the beginning of the experiment to 4.5 at the end. In addition, the soil pH decreased following fertilizer application and then increased in all treatments (Fig. 1c). There was generally no significant difference in soil pH among the treatments throughout the experimental period. Note that we did not apply lime, in order to investigate the effects of biochar application on soil pH and N<sub>2</sub>O emission throughout the experimental period.

The soil NH4<sup>+</sup>-N contents peaked just after fertilizer application, while the soil NO3<sup>-</sup>-N contents peaked approximately 1 week after fertilizer application in

314	each cropping season, suggesting that nitrification occurred after each fertilizer
315	application in all treatments (Fig. 1d, e). There was generally no significant
316	difference in soil $NH_4\ensuremath{^+}\ensuremath{N}$ and $NO_3\ensuremath{^-}\ensuremath{N}$ contents among the treatments
317	throughout the experimental period.

There was no significant difference in crop yield among the treatments in anyof the cropping periods (Table S2).

320

#### 321 Biochar properties

The pH of the four biochars ranged from 8.9 to 10.2, while the C, N, and H 322 contents of the biochars ranged from 50.39% to 72.57%, 0.21% to 0.71%, and 3231.18% to 1.92%, respectively (Table 1). The RH biochar had a higher ash content 324than the other biochars, while the SH biochar appeared to have a lower CEC 325value than the other biochars. The RH biochar is presumed to have been 326327 produced approximately at 300-500 °C, as judged from the ash content (Table 1) and previous studies (Liu et al., 2012; Ahmad et al., 2014; Claoston et al., 2014). 328 Both the BA and SH biochars had large surface areas (204 and 261 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, 329respectively), whereas the RH and HW biochars had small surface areas (53 and 330 25 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Small amounts of NH4+-N were detected in all of the 331

332 biochars, whereas NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was only detected in the RH biochar.

333

# 334 Inorganic nitrogen adsorption of different biochars

All four biochars exhibited some NH4+-N adsorption capacity, but the 335 336 magnitude of this differed among the biochars (Fig. 2a). In particular, the RH and BA biochars tended to have higher NH4+-N adsorption capacities than the 337 other biochars, while the SH biochar had a lower NH4+-N adsorption capacity 338 339 than the other biochars at all initial NH4+-N concentrations. The RH and BA biochars gave a better fit to the Langmuir isotherm for NH4+-N adsorption than 340 the HW and SH biochars (Table 2). Furthermore, the RH, BA, and HW biochars 341had a higher  $Q_m$  value than the SH biochar, while the RH biochar had a higher 342KL value than the other biochars. 343

In contrast to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, all four biochars adsorbed very little NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N at initial NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations of 10, 50, and 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2b). However, the RH, BA, and HW biochars did adsorb NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N at an initial NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration of 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the RH and SH biochars actually released NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N into the solutions at some initial NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations (RH biochar at 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N; SH biochar at 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N).

#### 351 N2O emissions

Temporal changes in the N2O emissions were similar across all treatments, 352exhibiting a rapid increase after each fertilizer application and a subsequent 353 354decrease (Fig. 3). N2O emissions peaked after fertilizer application and harvest during the spring cropping season in 2015 and 2016, but only peaked after 355 fertilizer application during the autumn cropping season in both years. There 356 357 was negative correlation between N2O emissions and WFPS with all treatments in 2015 and 2015-2016 (Table 3). However, relationships between WFPS and 358N2O fluxes were very scattered, while the majority of N2O flux was very low 359 (Fig. S1). N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N content positively correlated with the 360 RH treatment in 2015 and 2016 and the SH treatment in 2016. 361 The cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were not significantly different among the 362 treatments in any period (Table 4). Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OcF was closer to 1.0 363 in 2016 than in 2015 for all treatments, i.e., differences between cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O 364 emissions of biochar treatment and that of CF were larger in 2015 than those in 3652016. 366

367 The physicochemical properties of biochar may change with time because

**コメントの追加 [AY4]:** 表4に Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2Ocrを入れました

コメントの追加 [A5R4]: 修正しました

コメントの追加 [A6]: 分かりにくいです。 表に比(文章どおりだと差?)をいれるとか工夫必要と 思います

biochar oxidized in soil (Spokas 2013). Therefore, we used the cumulative N2O 368 emission during peak period (17 days) after biochar and fertilizer application to 369 minimize the effect of change of physicochemical properties in correlation 370 analysis between cumulative N2O emission and biochar properties. 371 372Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OcF during peak period increased with the increase of the amount of NH4+-N adsorbed on the biochars at all initial NH4+-N 373 374concentration (Table 5). At an initial concentration of 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of NH4+-N, 375there was significant positive correlation between Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF 376 and the amount of NH4+-N adsorbed on biochar (Fig. 4). The similar relationships were found Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF and the amount of NH4+-N 377 378adsorbed on biochar at lower initial NH4+-N concentration (Fig. S2). By contrast, there was no significant correlation between Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF and the 379amount of NO3-N adsorbed on the biochars (Table 5). There were also no 380 significant relationships between Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OcF and the other 381environmental factors and biochar properties (Table S3). 382

383

384 Discussion

385 Previous studies reported that biochar application has a potential to mitigate

N<sub>2</sub>O emission (e.g., Cayuela et al. 2014), and the differences in properties of biochar influence the magnitude of reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Spokas et al. 2009; Cayuela et al. 2015). However, we found that biochars did not affect the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission throughout the experimental period. These results may be due to soil properties such as CEC and pH.

Firstly, the CEC of soil affect soil mineral N contents, an important factor for 391 N2O emission through their influence on nitrification and denitrification (Mu et 392 393 al. 2009). Previous study reported that reduction of N2O emission from soil due to biochar application was attributed to adsorption of NH3 onto biochar by 394 reducing the N pool available for soil microbes (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 2011). 395 The present study showed different NH4+-N adsorption capacity among the 396 biochars. However, these biochars did not significantly reduce soil NH4+-N 397content after fertilizer application at field. This result may be attributed to the 398 high CEC of Andosol compared with those of other soil types (Aran et al. 2001; 399 400 Guicharnaud and Paton 2006; Maejima et al. 2016). The CEC of soil (31.3 cmol(+) 401 kg<sup>-1</sup>) was higher than that of four biochars in the present study (Table 1). Therefore, biochar application was likely to have little influence on change in 402 soil NH4+-N content and resulted in non-significant difference on N2O emission 403

among the treatments. Koga et al. (2017) reported that wood-residue biochar application at 0-40 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> had no effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emission from an Andosol in a 406 4-year field experiment. Moreover, Shimotsuma et al. (2017) showed that rice 407 husk biochar amendment did not reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emission from Andosol by 408 incubation experiment.

Secondly, soil pH is also known to have an important effect on N2O 409 emissions (Granli and Bøckman 1994; Baggs and Philippot 2010). Castaldi et al. 410 411 (2011) reported that an increase in soil pH after biochar application might partly explain the decrease in N2O emissions from silty-loam soil, and Liu et al. (2017) 412suggested that the enhanced abundance of nitrifiers and denitrifiers due to an 413increase in soil pH by biochar addition is an important mechanism for 414decreasing N2O emissions. However, in the present study, there was no 415correlation between N2O emissions and soil pH for any of the treatments (Table 416 3). This result could have been due to the high pH-buffering capacity of 417 418 Andosol (Baba et al. 1995; Takahashi et al. 2001), as biochar application had little 419effect on the soil pH in the RH (from 5.95 to 5.85), BA (from 5.82 to 5.96), HW (from 5.81 to 5.71), and SH (from 5.85 to 5.78) plots (Fig. 1c). Similarly, Koga et 420 al. (2017) reported that soil pH was unaffected by biochar addition in an 421

422 Andosol field.

439

Our results showed that the difference between cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission 423biochar treatments and that of CF decreased with time (Table 4). There were no 424 differences in the N application rates and crop types between 2015 and 2016, 425426and the environmental factors were also similar (Fig. 1 and Table S1). Therefore, field aging of biochar might result in the decrease of the ratio of cumulative 427N2O emission biochar treatments to CF treatment. Biochar oxidizes in soil with 428 429time, causing changes to its physical and chemical properties (Spokas 2013). Spokas (2013) reported that field aging of biochar significantly reduced its N2O 430 suppression effect due to a change in the balance of greenhouse gas production 431 and consumption following the chemical oxidation of the biochar surfaces. 432Furthermore, we found that Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF during peak period 433after biochar and fertilizer application increased with the amount of NH4+-N 434 adsorbed on the biochars (Fig. 4). Biochar can adsorb essential nutrient 435including NH<sub>4</sub>-N (Hale et al. 2013), and then over time, NH<sub>4</sub>-N could slowly be 436 released and subsequently be utilized by plants (Laird et al. 2010; 437Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. 2012a, 2012b). Taghizadeh-Toosi et al (2012a) suggested 438

that NH3 adsorbed onto biochar can provide a source of N for plants when

biochar-NH3 complex is placed in the soil. Microbial nitrification and 440 denitrification are the major pathways of N2O production in soils, and the 441 microbes utilize mineral N in soil as substrate (Baggs and Philippot 2010). 442 Therefore, it is possible that both plants and soil microbes are utilized the 443NH4-N released from biochar. N2O production via nitrification and 444denitrification occurs simultaneously in the soil because soil is heterogeneous 445and consist of both aerobic and anaerobic sites (Granli and Bøckman 1994; Hu 446 447 et al. 2015). Hence, released NH4-N from biochar could have affected the N2O production via nitrification and denitrification by changing N availability in 448 soil. 449

Cai et al (2016) showed that approximately 10 % to 60 % of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorbed onto biochar was released and the factors such as feedstock and pyrolysis condition affect the release ratio of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. (2012a) suggested that NH<sub>3</sub> adsorbed onto biochar when the biochar was incorporated into soil. Moreover, Wang et al. (2011) reported that an increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to biochar addition could be partly explained by the release of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N following the initial adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub> on the biochar.

457 In contrast to the NH4+-N adsorption capacity, there was no clear correlation

コメントの追加 [A7]: 文献もみたのですが、意図した意 味がよくわかりませんでした。 また、この論文は実験的に吸着を証明しているというわ けではないようなので、修正しました。 between the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N adsorption capacity and Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>biochar</sub>/Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>CF</sub> during peak period after biochar and fertilizer application (Table 5). Our results showed that biochars had little or no NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N adsorption capacity and the narrow range of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N adsorption capacities of biochars may explains the insignificant relationship.

463

#### 464 Conclusion

465The effect of biochar application on N2O emission was investigated by 2-year field experiment using with wide range of physicochemical properties of 466 biochars. All of the biochars had NH4+-N adsorption capacity, but adsorbed 467 very little NO3<sup>-</sup>-N. Although previous studies reported that biochar application 468reduced N2O emission, biochar application did not have clear effect on N2O 469emission from an Andosol in our field experiment. We also found that biochars 470 did not affect soil pH and soil NH4<sup>+</sup>-N contents during the experimental period. 471High CEC and high pH-buffering capacity of Andosol may be the reasons that 472 473no clear effect of biochar on N2O emission was observed. Our results suggest that biochar application may affect substrate availability for microbial N2O 474production. 475

### 477 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Masako Kajiura and Dr. Yasuhito Shirato (Institute for
Agro-Environmental Sciences, Japan) for assistance with the measurements of
biochar properties. This work was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant
Number 26292184 and 18H02318 and by the Asahi Group Foundation.

482

#### 483 References

- 484 Ahmad M, Rajapaksha AU, Lim JE, Zhang M, Bolan N, Mohan D, Vithanage M,
- 485 Lee SS, Ok YS (2014) Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in
- 486 soil and water: A review. Chemosphere 99:19-33
- 487 Akiyama H, Hayakawa A, Sudo S, Yonemura S, Tanonaka T, Yagi K (2009)
- 488 Automated sampling system for long-term monitoring of nitrous oxide and
- 489 methane fluxes from soils. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 55:435-440
- 490 Akiyama H, Tsuruta H (2002) Effect of chemical fertilizer form on N2O, NO and
- 491 NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from Andisol field. Nutr Cycl Agroecosys 63:219-230
- 492 Akiyama H, Tsuruta H (2003) Effect of organic matter application on N2O, NO,
- 493 and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from an Andisol field. Global Biogeochem Cycles 17.

494	doi:10.1029	/2002GB002016
101		

495	Akiyama H, Tsuruta H, Watanabe T (2000) $N_2O$ and NO emissions from soils
496	after the application of different chemical fertilizers. Chemosphere Global
497	Change Sci 2:313-320

498 Akiyama H, Yan X, Yagi K (2010) Evaluation of effectiveness of
499 enhanced-efficiency fertilizers as mitigation options for N<sub>2</sub>O and NO
500 emissions from agricultural soils: meta-analysis. Global Change Biol
501 16:1837-1846

502 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) (2007) Standard test 503 method for chemical analysis of wood charcoal. ASTM D1762-84, ASTM

504 International, West Conshohocken PA.

505 Angst TE, Patterson CJ, Reay DS, Anderson P, Peshkur TA, Sohi SP (2013)

506 Biochar diminishes nitrous oxide and nirate leaching from diverse nutrient

507 sources. J Environ Qual 42:672-682

508 Aran D, Gury M, Jeanroy E (2001) Organo-metallic complexes in an Andosol: a

- 509 comparative study with a Cambisol and Podzol. Geoderma 99:65-79
- 510 Baba M, Okazaki M, Hashitani T (1995) Effect of acidic deposition on forested
- 511 Andisols in the Tama hill region of Japan. Environ Pollut 89:97-106

512	Baggs EM, Philippot L (2010) Microbial terrestrial pathways to nitrous oxide. In:
513	Smith K (ed) Nitrous Oxide and Climate Change, Earthscan, London, pp 4-35
514	Basche AD, Miguez FE, Kaspar TC, Castellano MJ (2014) Do cover crop increase
515	or decrease nitrous oxide emissions? A meta-analysis. J Soil Water Conserv
516	69:471-482
517	Brunauer S, Emmett PH, Teller E (1938) Adsorption of gases in multimolecular
518	layers. J Am Chem Soc 60:309–319
519	Butnan S, Deenik JL, Toomsan B, Antal MJ, Vityakon P (2016) Biochar
520	properties influencing greenhouse gas emissions in tropical soils differing in
521	texture and mineralogy. J Environ Qual 45:1509-1519
522	Cai Y, Qi H, Liu Y, He X (2016) Sorption/desorption behavior and mechanism of
523	$\rm NH_{4^+}$ by biochar as a nitrogen fertilizer sustained-release material. J Agric
524	Food Chem 64:4958-4964
525	Case SDC, McNamara NP, Reay DS, Stott AW, Grant HK, Whitaker J (2015)
526	Biochar suppresses $N_2O$ emissions while maintaining N avairability in a
527	sandy loam soil. Soil Biol Biochem 81:178-185
528	Castaldi S, Riondino M, Baronti S, Esposito FR, Marzaioli R, Rutigliano FA,
529	Vaccari FP, Miglietta F (2011) Impact of biochar application to a
	30

530	Mediterranean wheat crop on soil microbial activity and greenhouse gas
531	fluxes. Chemosphere 85:1454-1471
532	Cayuela ML, Jeffery S, van Zwieten L (2015) The molar H:Corg of biochar is a
533	key factor in mitigating N2O emissions from soil. Agric Ecosys Eviron
534	202:135-138
535	Cayuela ML, Sánchez-Monedero MA, Roig A, Hanley K, Enders A, Lehmann J
536	(2013) Biochar and denitrification in soils: when, how much and why does
537	biochar reduce N2O emissions? Scientific Reports 3-1732:1-7
538	Cayuela ML, van Wieten L, Singh BP, Jeffery S, Roig A, Sánchez-Monedero MA
539	(2014) Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and
540	meta-analysis. Agric Ecosys Environ 191:5-16
541	Claoston N, Samsuri AW, Husni MHA, Amran MSM (2014) Effects of pyrolysis
542	temperature on the physicochemical properties of empty fruit bunch and rice

- husk biochars. Waste Manage Res 32:331-339
- 544 Clough TJ, Bertram JE, Ray JL, Condron LM, Callaghan MO, Sherlock RR, Wells
- 545 NS (2010) Unweathered wood biochar impact on nitrous oxide emissions
- from a bovine-urine-amended pature soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J 74:852-860
- 547 Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, Minx JC, Farahani E, Kandner S,

548	Seyboth K, Adler A, Baum I, Brunner S, Eickemeier P, Kriemann B,
549	Savolainen J, Schlómer S, von Stechow C, Zwickel T (2014) Climate Change
550	2014: Mitigation of Climate Change: Working Group III contribution to the
551	Fifth Assessment Report of the intergovermental Panel on Climate Change.
552	Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
553	Enders A, Hanley K, Whitman T, Joseph S, Lehmann J (2012) Characterization
554	of biochars to evaluate recalcitrance and agronomic performance. Bioresource
555	Tech 114:644-653
556	Feng Z, Zhu L (2017) Impact of biochar on soil N2O emissions under different
557	biochar-carbon/fertilizer-nitrogen ratios at a constant moisture condition on a
558	silt loam soil. Sci Total Environ 584-585:776-782
559	Foo KY, Hameed BH (2010) Insights into the modeling of adsorption isotherm
560	systems. Chem Eng 156:2-10
561	Gai X, Wang H, Liu J, Zhai L, Liu S, Ren T, Liu H (2014) Effects of feedstock and
562	pyrolysis temperature on biochar adsorption of ammonium and nitrate.
563	PLOS ONE 3:1-19
564	Grandy AS, Loecke TD, Parr S, Robertson GP (2006) Long-term trends in nitrous

565 oxide emissions, soil nitrogen, and crop yields of till and no-till cropping

566 systems. J Environ Qual 35:1487-1495

567	Granli T, Bøckman OC (1994) Nitrous oxide from agriculture. Norw J Agric Sci
568	12.7–127

- 569 Guicharnaud R, Paton GI (2006) An evaluation of acid deposition on cation
- 570 leaching and weathering rates of an Andosol and a Cambisol. J Geochem

571 Explor 88:279-283

- 572 Hale SE, Alling V, Martinsen V, Mulder J, Breedveld, GD, Cornelissen G (2013)
- 573 The sorption and desorption of phosphate-P, ammonium-N and nitrate-N in

cacao shell and corn cob biochars. Chemosphere 91:1612-1619

575 Harter J, Krause HM, Schuettler S, Ruser R, Fromme M, Scholten T, Kappler A,

- 576 Behrens S (2014) Linking N2O emissions from biochar-amended soil to the
- 577 structure and function of N-cycling microbial community. The ISME J578 8:660-674
- 579 Hosen Y, Tsuruta H, Minami K (2000) Effects of the depth of NO and N2O
- 580 productions in soil on their emission rates to the atmosphere: analysis by a
- 581 simulation model. Nutr Cycl Agroecosys 57:83-98
- 582 Hu HW, Chen D, He JD (2015) Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide
- 583 formation: understanding the biological pathways for prediction of emission

- 584 rate. FEMS Microbiol Rev 39:729-749
- 585 Kameyama K, Miyamoto T, Shiono T, Shinogi Y (2012) Influence of sugarcane
- 586 bagasse-derived biochar application on nitrate leaching in calcaric dark red
- 587 soil. J Environ Qual 41:1131-1137
- 588 Koga N, Shimoda S, Iwata Y (2017) Biochar impact on crop productivity and
- 589 greenhouse gas emissions from an Andosol. J Environ Qual 46:27-35
- 590 Laird D, Fleming P, Wang B, Horton R, Karlen D (2010) Biochar impact on
- 591 nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma 158:436-442
- 592 Langmuir I (1916) The constitution and fudamental properties of solids and
- 593 liquids Part I solids. J Am Chem Soc 38:2221–2295
- Liu Q, Liu B, Zhang Y, Lin Z, Zhu T, Sun R, Wang X, Ma J, Bei Q, Liu G, Lin X,
- 595 Xie Z (2017) Can biochar alleviate soil compaction stress on wheat growth
- and mitigate soil N2O emissions? Soil Biol Biochem 104:8-17
- 597 Liu P, Liu WJ, Jiang H, Chen JJ, Li, WW, Yu HQ (2012) Modification of bio-char
- 598 derived from fast pyrolysis of biomass and its application in removal of
- 599 tetracycline from aqueous solution. Bioresour Technol 121:235-240
- 600 Maejima Y, Murano H, Iwafune T, Arao T, Baba K (2011) Adsorption and
- 601 mobility of aromatic arsenicals in Japanese agricultural soils. Soil Sci Plant

602	Nutr	57:429-435
004	INULL	JI. HZJ HUJ

1003 Iviu Z, fluding A, Kiniula 3D, jili I, viel 3., flatalio K (2009) Linking N2C	603	Mu Z, H	uang A,	Kimura	SD,	Jin T	, Wei	S.,	Hatano	R	(2009)	Linking	N <sub>2</sub> O
--	-----	---------	---------	--------	-----	-------	-------	-----	--------	---	--------	---------	------------------

- 604 emission to soil mineral N as estimated by CO<sub>2</sub> emission and soil C/N ratio.
  605 Soil Biol Biochem 41:2593-2597
- 606 Nguyen TTN, Xu CY, Tahmasbian I, Che R, Xu Z, Zhou X, Wallace HM, Bai SH
- 607 (2017) Effects of biochar on soil available inorganic nitrogen: A review and
- 608 meta-analysis. Geoderma 288:79-96
- 609 Ok YS, Yang JE, Zhang YS, Kim SJ, Chung DY (2007) Heavy metal adsorption
- 610 by a formulated zeolite-Portland cement mixture. J Hazard Mater 147:91-96
- 611 Ravishankara AR, Daniel JS, Portman RW (2009) Nitrous oxide (N2O): the
- dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. Science326:123–125
- 614 Scheer C, Grace PR, Rowlings DW, Kimber S, Zwieten LV (2011) Effect of
- biochar amendment on the soil-atmosphere exchange of greenhouse gases
- from an intensive subtropical pasture in northern new south wales, Australia.
- 617 Plant Soil 345:47-58
- 618 Schollenberger CJ, Simon RH (1945) Determination of exchange capacity and
- 619 exchangeable bases in soils. Soil Sci 59:13-24

620	Shimotsuma M, Uchida Y, Nakajima Y, Akiyama H (2017) The effects of rice
621	(Oryza sativa L. ssp. Japonica) husk biochar on nitrogen dynamics during the
622	decomposition of hairy vetch in two soils under high-soil moisture condition.
623	Soil Sci Plant Nutr 63:178-184
624	Singh BP, Hatton BJ, Singh B, Cowie AL, Kathuria A (2010) Influence of
625	biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two
626	contrasting soils. J Environ Qual 39:1224-1235
627	Sohi SP, Krull E, Lopez-Capel E, Bol R (2010) A review of biochar and its use
628	and function in soil. Adv Agron 105:47-82
629	Spokas KA (2013) Impact of biochar field aging on laboratory greenhouse gas
630	production potentials. GCB Bioenergy 5:165-176
631	Spokas KA, Koskinen WC, Baker JM, Reicosky DC (2009) Impacts of woodchip
632	biochar additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of
633	two herbicides in a Minnesota soil. Chemosphere 77:574-581
634	Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor MMB, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A,
635	Xia Y, Bex V, Middley PM (2013) Climate change 2013: the physical science

- basis: contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the 636
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, 637

638	Cambridge
-----	-----------

639	Suddick EC, Six J (2013) An estimation of annual nitrous oxide emissions and
640	soil quality following the amendment of high temperature walnut shell
641	biochar and compost to a small scale vegetable crop rotation. Sci Total
642	Environ 465:298-307

643 Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Clough TJ, Condron LM, Sherlock RR, Anderson CR,

Craigie RA (2011) Biochar incorporation into pasture soil suppresses in situ
nitorous oxide emissions from ruminant urine patches. J Environ Qual
40:468-476

- Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Clough TJ, Sherlock RR, Condron LM (2012a) Biochar
  adsorbed ammonia is bioavailable. Plant Soil 350:57-69
- 649 Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Clough TJ, Sherlock RR, Condron LM (2012b) A wood
- 650 based low-temperature biochar captures NH3-N generated from ruminant
- 651 urine-N, retaining its bioavailability. Plant Soil 353:73-84
- 652 Takahashi, M, Sakata T, Ishizuka K (2001) Chemical characteristics and acid
- buffering capacity of surface soils in Japanese forests. Water Air Soil Pollut
- 654 130:727-732
- 655 Takeda H, Takahashi N, Hatano R, Hashidoko Y (2012) Active N2O emission

- 656 from bacterial microbiota of Andisol farmland and characterization on some
- 657 N2O emitters. J Basic Microbiol 52:477-486
- 658 Wang J, Zhang M, Xiong Z, Liu P, Pan G (2011) Effects of biochar addition on
- 659 N2O and CO2 emissions from two paddy soils. Biol Fertil Soils 47:887-896
- 660 Wells NA, Baggs EM (2014) Char amendments impact soil nitrous oxide
- 661 production during ammonia oxidation. Soil Sci Soc Am J 78:1656-1660
- 662 Yanai Y, Toyota K, Okazaki M (2007) Effects of charcoal addition on N2O
- 663 emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in short-term
- laboratory experiments. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 53:181-188

# Physiochemical properties of the biochars

		Pyroly							BET		
Bioc	Feedstock	sis	nН	Ash	C	N	н	CEC	surf	NH4-N	$NO_{2}N$
har	recusiock	tempe	PII	731	C	IN	11	CLC	ace	1 <b>N114-</b> 1N	1103-11
		rature							area		
		(°C)	(H2O)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(cmol( +) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	(µg N g DW <sup>-1</sup> )	(µg N g DW <sup>-1</sup> )
RH	Rice husk	No	<u> </u>	41.97,01	E0 20 14 E	0.67.0.2	1 72 0 6	10.65	E2	4 12 2 4	0.25+0.0
		data	0.9±0.1	41.0/±0.1	30.39±14.3	0.67±0.2	1.75±0.0	19.65	55	4.12±2.4	0.23±0.0
BA	Bamboo	850	10.2±0.1	$6.50 \pm 0.0$	71.26±11.5	0.21±0.1	$1.18\pm0.4$	10.61	204	5.89±3.7	nd
HW	Hardwoo d	600	9.2±0.0	6.67±1.1	72.57±7.0	0.71±0.2	1.92±0.7	17.97	25	1.67±1.8	nd
SH	Softwood										
	and	>800	9 6+0 0	2 05+0 0	68 56+22 1	0 45+0 1	1 18+0 4	4 52	261	4 21+1 7	nd
	hardwood	- 000	2.0±0.0	2.00±0.0	00.00±22.1	0.10±0.1	1.10±0.4	1.02	201	1.2121.7	na
	a										

nd: not detected

<sup>a</sup>Wood briquet made from a mixture of softwood and hardwood sawdust

Values of pH, C content, N content, H content, NH<sub>4</sub>-N content, and NO<sub>3</sub>-N content are the means ± standard deviations of

three replicates. Values of ash content are the means ± standard deviations of two replicates. There is no replication in

analysis of CEC and BET surface area.

Regression parameters of Langmuir isotherms describing the adsorption of

NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N to	each	biocł	nar
------------------------	------	-------	-----

Biochar	$Q_{m^{a}}$	$K_{L^b}$	$r^2$		
RH	6.057	0.014	0.84		
BA	7.639	0.008	0.93		
HW	5.397	0.006	0.55		
SH	3.108	0.004	0.18		

 $^{\rm a}$  Maximum adsorption capacity of biochar (mg g  $^{-1})$ 

<sup>b</sup> Langmuir constants related to the adsorption capacity and adsorption rate

Pearson's correlation coefficients between N2O emission and water-filled pore space (WFPS), soil NH4+-N content, soil

NO3<sup>-</sup>-N content, and soil pH

Treatment <sup>a</sup>	Pearson's correlation coefficient											
	2015			2016			2015-2016					
	WFPS	$\rm NH_{4^+}$ -	NO <sub>3</sub> -	soil	WFPS	$NH_{4^{+}}$ -	NO <sub>3</sub> -	soil	WFPS	$NH_{4^{+}}$	NO <sub>3</sub> -	soil
		Ν	-N	pН		Ν	-N	pН		-N	-N	pН
CF	-0.241**	0.374	0.158	-0.366	-0.106	0.479	0.099	0.020	-0.196**	0.141	0.142	-0.121
RH	-0.250**	0.614*	0.311	-0.384	-0.082	$0.548^{*}$	0.192	0.143	-0.171**	0.332	0.204	0.029
BA	-0.206*	0.574	0.194	-0.411	-0.05	0.357	0.182	-0.038	-0.142*	0.322	0.159	-0.060
HW	-0.266**	0.419	0.142	-0.543	-0.035	0.465	0.239	-0.112	-0.162**	0.188	0.234	-0.194
SH	-0.276**	0.546	0.155	-0.470	-0.036	0.518*	0.096	0.003	-0.169**	0.256	0.118	0.105

<sup>a</sup>RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from a mixture of

softwood and hardwood sawdust

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01

Cumulative N2O emissions and Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF ratios for the five treatments. Emissions values are the means

2015			20	16	2015–2016			
Treatment <sup>a</sup>	N <sub>2</sub> O	flux	N <sub>2</sub> O	flux	N <sub>2</sub> O flux			
	(kg N2O-N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cum.N2Obiocha	(kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cum.N2Obiocha	(kg N2O-N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cum.N2Obiocha		
		r <b>/Cum.N</b> 2OCF		r/Cum.N2OCF		r/Cum.N2OCF		
		b						
CF	0.46 ± 0.11 a	—	$0.68 \pm 0.12$ a	—	1.14 ± 0.21 a	—		
RH	0.57 ± 0.16 a	1.25	$0.73 \pm 0.17$ a	1.07	1.30 ± 0.29 a	1.15		
BA	0.58 ± 0.19 a	1.28	$0.73 \pm 0.35$ a	1.08	$1.32 \pm 0.54$ a	1.16		
HW	0.52 ± 0.22 a	1.13	$0.69 \pm 0.21^{\circ}$ a	1.01	$1.08 \pm 0.26^{\circ}$ a	0.95		
SH	0.34 ± 0.19 a	0.74	0.56 ± 0.16 a	0.82	$0.89 \pm 0.28$ a	0.79		

± standard deviations of three replicates

<sup>a</sup>RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from a mixture of

# softwood and hardwood sawdust

<sup>b</sup>Ratio of the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission of biochar treatment to that of the chemical fertilizer (CF) treatment

<sup>c</sup> Mean of two replicates were used due to the trouble of sampling system

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

Table 5

Pearson's correlation coefficients for the relationships between C	Cum.N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>biochar</sub> /Cum.N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>CF</sub> and the amounts of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N or
--	---

NO3<sup>-</sup>-N adsorbed onto the biochars (AN) at different initial NH4<sup>+</sup>-N or NO3<sup>-</sup>-N concentrations

Pearson's correlation coefficient								
	A <sub>N</sub> of	NH <sup>4+</sup> -N		A <sub>N</sub> of NO₃ <sup>−</sup> -N				
10 mg L-1	50 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	100 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	300 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	10 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	50 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	100 mg L-1	300 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	
0.868	0.936	0.931	0.958*	-0.168	-0.857	nd	nd	

Cum.N2Obiochar/Cum.N2OCF indicates the ratio of the cumulative N2O emission of biochar treatment to CF treatment during

peak period (17 days) after biochar and fertilizer application

nd indicates that the correlation coefficient could not be calculated because the biochar did not adsorb NO3<sup>-</sup>-N

\*P < 0.05

### **Figure legends**

### Fig. 1

Temporal variations in (a) daily air temperature (line) and precipitation (bars), (b) water-filled pore space (WFPS), (c) soil pH, (d) soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N content, and (e) soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content in the study plots. The vertical arrows indicate the timing of fertilization (F), biochar application (B), and harvest (H). Error bars represent standard deviations (n = 3). RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from a mixture of softwood and hardwood

# Fig. 2

Adsorption isotherms of (a) NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and (b) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N for each biochar. A<sub>N</sub> and Ce indicate the amount of nitrogen adsorbed on each biochar and the equilibrium concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N in solution, respectively. Error bars represent standard deviations (n = 5). RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from softwood and hardwood sawdust

### Fig. 3

Temporal variation in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The vertical arrows indicate the timing of fertilization (F), biochar application (B), and harvest (H). Values are the means of three replicate plots. RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from softwood and hardwood sawdust

### Fig. 4

Relationship between the amount of NH4<sup>+</sup>-N adsorbed on each biochar (A<sub>N</sub>) at an initial NH4<sup>+</sup>-N concentration of 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>biochar</sub>/Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>CF</sub>. Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>biochar</sub>/Cum.N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>CF</sub> is the ratio of the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission of biochar treatment to that of chemical fertilizer (CF) treatment during peak period (17 days) after biochar and fertilizer application. RH: rice husk biochar; BA: bamboo biochar; HW: hard wood biochar; SH: wood briquet biochar made from softwood and hardwood sawdust







