

Indirect N2O emissions with seasonal variations from an agricultural drainage ditch mainly receiving interflow water

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2019-10-04
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: TIAN, Linlin, 秋山, 博子
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://repository.naro.go.jp/records/2849

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



1	Title: Indirect N <sub>2</sub> O emissions with seasonal variations from an agricultural drainage
2	ditch mainly receiving interflow water
3	
4	Authors: Linlin Tian <sup>a, b, c, d</sup> , Hiroko Akiyama <sup>d</sup> , Bo Zhu <sup>a, b*</sup> , Xi Shen <sup>a, b</sup>
5	
6	Affiliations:
7	<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Mountain Surface Processes and Ecological Regulation, Chinese
8	Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China
9	<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu
10	610041, China
11	<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
12	<sup>d</sup> Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research
13	Organization, Tsukuba 305-8604, Japan
14	
15	Author for correspondence: Bo Zhu
16	Email: bzhu@imde.ac.cn
17	<b>Tel:</b> +86-028-85222090
18	Fax: +86-028-85222258
19	Address: Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
20	No.9, Block 4, Renminnanlu Road, Chengdu, China, 610041

## 21 Abstract

Nitrogen (N)-enriched leaching water may act as a source of indirect N<sub>2</sub>O 22 23 emission when it is discharged to agricultural drainage ditches. In this study, indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an agricultural drainage ditch mainly receiving interflow water 24 were measured using the static chamber-gas chromatography technique during 25 26 2012-2015 in the central Sichuan Basin in southwestern China. We found the 27 drainage ditch was a source of indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions contributing an inter-annual mean flux of  $6.56 \pm 1.12 \ \mu g \ N \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$  and a mean indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor (EF<sub>5g</sub>) 28 value of 0.03  $\pm$  0.003%. The mean EF<sub>5g</sub> value from literature review was 0.51%, 29 which was higher than the default  $EF_{5g}$  value (0.25%) proposed by the 30 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 2006. Our study demonstrated 31 that, more in situ observations of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as regards N leaching are required, 32 to account for the large variation in EF5g values and to improve the accuracy and 33 34 confidence of the default EF5g value. Indirect N2O emissions varied with season, higher emissions occurred in summer and autumn. These seasonal variations were 35 related to drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration, temperature, and precipitation. Our 36 37 results showed that intensive precipitation increased NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and when combined with warmer water temperatures, these may have 38 increased the denitrification rate that led to the higher summer and autumn N2O 39 emissions in the studied agricultural drainage ditch. 40

41

42 **Capsule:** N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from the agricultural drainage ditch varied with season, and the 43 mean indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor was  $0.03 \pm 0.003\%$ .

Key words: Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O); Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor (EF<sub>5g</sub>); Intensive
precipitation; Nitrate; Leaching; Drainage ditch

47

## 48 1. Introduction

Atmospheric concentrations of the ozone-depleting, and potent greenhouse gas 49 nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), have increased from a pre-industrial level of 270 ppb to 324 ppb 50 51 in 2011. One of the main causes of this rise in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O is the increasing use of nitrogen (N) fertilizers (Ravishankara et al., 2009; IPCC, 2013). Considerable 52 53 amounts of N are lost from N-fertilized agricultural land via leaching and runoff, and which is ultimately transported into groundwater, drainage ditches, rivers and 54 estuaries, consequently causing N pollution in aquatic ecosystems (Mosier et al., 1998; 55 Mulholland et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2009; Gumiero et al., 2011). There have been 56 several reports of groundwater contaminated with high nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) concentrations 57 in areas of high fertilizer use (Groffman et al., 1998; McMahon et al., 2000; Hiscock 58 et al., 2003; Jahangir et al., 2013). In addition to studies on direct emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O 59 from N fertilized soils, indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from aquatic ecosystems that are 60 associated with N leaching and runoff in agricultural areas deserve attention (Nevison, 61 2000; Beaulieu et al., 2008; Outram and Hiscock, 2012; Tian et al., 2017). The 62 N-enriched groundwater associated with N leaching is considered a source of indirect 63 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions via denitrification or degassing when it is discharged to adjacent 64 watercourses such as drainage ditches and streams (McMahon et al., 2000; Reay et al., 65 2004a and 2004b; Minamikawa et al., 2011; Jurado et al., 2017). Werner et al. (2012), 66 for example, found that agricultural streams were a significant source of N<sub>2</sub>O, while 67 Jurado et al. (2017) also found that groundwater could act as a source of N<sub>2</sub>O to the 68 atmosphere and with the highest level of N<sub>2</sub>O flux in springs supplied by groundwater 69

compared with those in wetland and estuarine areas. Reay et al. (2004a and 2004b)
reported that concentrations of dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O in leachate rapidly decreased on entry
to drainage ditches.

Drainage ditches in farmlands are generally ubiquitous and, as such, represent 73 important hydrologic conduits for surface and subsurface N flow to aquatic systems 74 (Kröeger et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2012). Many drainage ditches are 75 polluted and suffer from eutrophication, owing to losses of N from agriculture (Janse 76 and Van Puijenbroek, 1998). But drainage ditches also act as important sites for 77 78 biogeochemical interactions between reactive N, aquatic plants, microorganisms, and the physical environment (Janse and Van Puijenbroek, 1998; Shen et al., 2016; Zhang 79 et al., 2016). Consequently, drainage ditches have been identified as hotspots for N 80 removal and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Reay et al., 2003, 2004a; Kröeger et al., 2007; Zhang et 81 al., 2016). It is possible that spatial and temporal variations in  $N_2O$  emissions can be 82 caused by dynamic changes in drainage water NO3<sup>-</sup> concentrations and other 83 geochemical and hydrological parameters (Beaulieu et al., 2009; Jurado et al., 2017; 84 Tian et al., 2017). It has thus been suggested that these variations should be 85 considered in improving the certainty of quantification of indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions 86 (Werner et al., 2012; Jurado et al., 2017). 87

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has defined the emission factor for indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from leaching and runoff from agricultural systems as EF<sub>5</sub>. This EF<sub>5</sub> incorporates three components:  $EF_{5g}$ ,  $EF_{5r}$  and  $EF_{5e}$ , which are the emission factors for groundwater and surface drainage, rivers, and estuaries, respectively (IPCC 2006). The default value of the  $EF_5$  was defined as the proportion of N leaching and runoff converted to N<sub>2</sub>O in these water bodies (IPCC 2006). However, the default value proposed by the IPCC to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in

95 drainage ditches and groundwater resulting from leached N has a lack of certainty 96 (Clough et al., 2007a; Beaulieu et al., 2008; Outram and Hiscock, 2012; Jahangir et al., 97 2013), since it has decreased from 2.5% in 1997 to 0.25% in 2006, based on studies 98 from a limited number of countries (IPCC, 2006; Outram and Hiscock, 2012). In view 99 of the large variation (0.002%–73%) in the values of EF<sub>5g</sub> (Jurado et al., 2017), the 100 default value requires improvement by increasing the number of global *in situ* 101 observations (Reay et al., 2003; Beaulieu et al., 2008; Outram and Hiscock, 2012).

In China, the sloping farmland of the purple soils in the central Sichuan Basin is 102 103 particularly vulnerable to N loss via NO<sub>3</sub>-N leaching due to a combination of intensive farming practices, hilly topography, climate, and soil characteristics (Zhu et 104 al., 2009; Wang and Zhu, 2011; Gao et al., 2014), where the annual loss of N from 105 these soils via interflow was reported to be 37.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and accounted for 88% of the 106 total N loss (Zhu et al., 2009). Interflow is the lateral movement of water in the 107 108 unsaturated zone, that returns to the surface or enters a stream prior to becoming groundwater. Interflow was reported as an important water flow pattern in this area 109 (Zhao et al., 2013), where the main N loss pathway from the local farmland is 110 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N leaching via interflow (Wang and Zhu et al., 2011). Previous studies have 111 also reported that interflow was the predominant pathway of water discharge (Zhu et 112 al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2013; Hua et al., 2014) and a primary source of water for 113 shallow groundwater recharge, ditches, and streams in this area (Wang and Zhu et al., 114 2011; Zhao et al., 2013). This region is a nitrate sensitive area, because the loading of 115 nitrate leaching in the purple soil area is more than 2-fold the average loss in China 116 (Zhou et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). There is severe NO<sub>3</sub>-N pollution of 117 groundwater (mean concentration of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N >10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and water eutrophication in 118 the region (Zhu et al., 2009; Wang and Zhu, 2011; Zhou et al., 2013). Moreover, the 119

long-distance movement of N discharged from the purple soil area may have a
profound impact on the water quality of the nearby Yangtze River (Wang and Zhu,
2011).

Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an agricultural drainage ditch mainly receiving interflow were measured for three years *in situ* in southwestern China in this study. The objectives of this study were to quantify the indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agricultural drainage ditches, examine the temporal variation in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and explore the factors affecting the indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, since little is known about the indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and  $EF_{5g}$  from drainage ditches mainly receiving interflow water in this area.

130

### 131 **2.** Materials and Methods

132 *2.1. Study area* 

133 The field study was carried out at the Yanting Agro-Ecological Station of Purple Soil (N 31°16', E 105°28'), a station of the Chinese Ecosystem Research Network 134 (CERN), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), in an important agricultural area in 135 the upper tributary of the Yangtze River Watershed (Figs. 1a and 1b). Altitude at the 136 study area ranges between 400 and 600 m, and the surface is mainly covered by low 137 mountains, and hills. The area has a humid subtropical monsoon climate, with an 138 annual (1981–2009) mean temperature of 17.3°C and seasonally variable precipitation 139 of 836 mm spring: 5.9%; summer: 65.5%; autumn: 19.7%; and, winter: 8.9%; from 140 1981 to 2006 data, after Zhu et al. (2009). 141

The drainage ditches were located in the valley bottom of a small agricultural catchment (0.15 km<sup>2</sup>; Fig. 1b) of the first-order tributary of the Yangtze River (Zhu et al., 2012), where the land use was dominated by sloping farmland of purple soil and forest. The soil is classified as a Regosol (FAO Soil Taxonomy) or a

Pup-Orthic-Entisol (Chinese Soil Taxonomy) (Zhu et al., 2012). Land use distribution 146 reflected the topography, with paddy fields on low-lying parts of hills, and farmland 147 on slopes ranging from 3° to 15°. Forestry is mainly concentrated on upper parts of 148 the hills. Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is cultivated in the paddies in the rainy season (from 149 the middle of May to September) with applications of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, while oilseed 150 rape (Brassica napus L.) is cultivated in the dry season (from late October to early 151 May) with an application of 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in late October. Maize (Zea mays L.) is 152 planted on sloping farmland in the rainy season and winter wheat (T. aestivum L.) is 153 cultivated in the dry season, with applications of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 154 respectively. Forestry is dominated by Alder, Alnus cremastogyne Burk., and Cypress 155 (Cupressus funebris Endl) plantations. The drainage ditch was surrounded by the 156 upland farmlands, vegetable fields and paddy rice fields (Fig. 1b). The width and 157 depth of the drainage ditch was  $\sim$ 70 cm and 70–100 cm, respectively. The water depth 158 in the ditch, measured using a stainless steel ruler, was shallow (0.6-6.4 cm) with a 159 slow velocity during the observation period, and with sediment depth < 20 cm. The 160 ditch was artificially excavated, and the purplish shale and soil layer interface was 161 exposed to help leaching water laterally flow into the drainage ditch, since the 162 bedrock has extremely weak permeability (Zhu et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2013; Fig. 1c). 163 Moreover, the local groundwater level is usually very shallow (-45.5--193.2 cm, 164 Zhang et al., 2017), and the ditch receives shallow groundwater recharge and leaching 165 water for most of the year, while it also receives overland flow for a short period of 166 time (1-2 days) after intensive precipitation. Thus, this drainage ditch receives 167 interflow as the main water source. During the early spring (dry season), there is no 168 water in the drainage ditch for several days. Vegetation in the study ditch mainly 169 comprised Lolium perenne L., Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) Beauv., Fimbristylis 170

171 *milliacea* (L.) Vahl, *Polygonum hydropiper*, and other ruderal weeds.

172

## 173 *2.2. Sample collection and analysis*

The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from drainage ditch were measured *in situ* by employing the 174 static chamber-gas chromatography technique. However, this technique may cause 175 artefacts in determining N2O emission due to the effect of water turbulence around 176 177 the chamber (Clough et al., 2011). Nonetheless, the floating chamber method could be relatively simple and rapid methodology for observation of representative N<sub>2</sub>O 178 179 emissions from rivers (Xia et al., 2014), and the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes measured by floating chamber might be slightly higher than those calculated from N<sub>2</sub>O concentration data 180 (Harrison et al., 2003). However, the water depth of the drainage ditch in the present 181 study was quite shallow and unsuitable for using the floating chamber method to 182 measure N<sub>2</sub>O emission flux. Thus the static chamber technique was used in this study, 183 184 where four chambers were installed at 25-30 m intervals around the interflow discharge outlet spanning a length of 100 m. The chambers contained two parts: a 185 chamber cover measuring 50 cm  $\times$  50 cm  $\times$  50 cm as a five-plane cube, and a square 186 base collar measuring 50 cm long  $\times$  50 cm wide  $\times$  10 cm high with a 3 cm  $\times$  3 cm 187 groove on the top. The materials and structures of the two parts of chambers were 188 previously reported (Tian et al., 2017). The base collars were inserted into the ditch 189 sediment at a depth of ~10 cm (Fig. 1d), and kept in place for the one-year 190 observation period, before they were moved to near the original sampling point in the 191 192 following year. There were some holes ( $\Phi$  2.0 cm) equally distributed in the side walls of the base collar to allow the lateral transfer of water plants, animals and microbes, 193 and sediment nutrients (Tian et al., 2017). When gases were sampled, the chamber 194 covers were temporarily placed onto the base collar and the holes in the side walls of 195

the base collars were submerged in drainage water to avoid gas leakage. Gas samples 196 were collected between 9:00-11:00 am, 1-2 times per week from 1<sup>st</sup> December to 30<sup>th</sup> 197 November in 2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015. On each sampling occasion, 198 five  $\times$  50 mL of chamber air were collected at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 min after closing 199 the chamber, using a plastic syringe mounted with a three-way valve. The sample 200 N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were measured within 24 hours after gas collection, using a gas 201 202 chromatograph (Agilent 7890, Santa Clara, CA, USA) fitted with an electron capture detector (ECD) that was operated at 330°C. The depth of water and water temperature 203 204 (WT) at 0–5 cm depth, air temperature in the chamber and pH (in 2014–2015 only) were recorded concurrently with gas emission sampling. The water dissolved oxygen 205 (DO) concentrations in the ditch were only measured in situ during the second half of 206 the third observation year, because of the late arrival of purchased instrument for 207 measuring DO. In 2013-2014 and 2014-2015, 500 mL of water was collected from 208 each gas emissions recording site and transported in an insulated box filled with 209 ice-packs to the laboratory, where the samples were stored at  $4^{\circ}C$  until analysis for 210 inorganic N and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations using methods 211 reported by Tian et al. (2017). Meteorological data (daily precipitation, air 212 temperature and barometric pressure) were obtained from the meteorological station 213 at the Yanting Agro-Ecological Station of Purple Soil of CERN, located 214 215 approximately 1 km away from the study site.

216

217 2.3. Data analysis

The N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes ( $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated from the increases in N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations of the five gas samples within the measurement period (Tian et al., 2017). The cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) were derived from the flux

calculations using a linear interpolation method (Zhou et al., 2013, 2015; Tian et al., 221 2017). Although linear interpolation method is commonly used in previous studies, 222 223 it has some uncertainties in estimating N<sub>2</sub>O emission, because this method might miss short-lived N<sub>2</sub>O emission peaks, given the episodic nature of indirect N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. We 224 calculated the EF<sub>5g</sub> value from the mass ratio of emitted N<sub>2</sub>O-N to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N in a unit 225 volume of drainage water (Well et al., 2005b; IPCC, 2006). We averaged all EF<sub>5g</sub> in 226 227 each observation season and annual year for the mean values of seasonal and annual 228 EF<sub>5g.</sub>

229 In our study area, winter starts from December to the end of February, summer includes June, July and August, spring and autumn are during the transitions between 230 winter and summer. The inter-annual and seasonal variations in N2O emissions and 231 mean values of drainage water variables were assessed using one-way ANOVA, 232 followed by Hochberg's GT2 multiple comparison test (P < 0.05). Using simple linear 233 regression, we tested for relationships between drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration 234 and the accumulated amount of intensive rainfall (> 15 mm) that fell 3 days prior to 235 measuring water variables, cumulative N2O emissions and accumulated rainfall 236 during each rainfall event, and drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration following each 237 rainfall event in 2014–2015. Only the accumulated amount of precipitation > 15 mm 238 were used for the analysis, i.e., when the drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration was 239 measured on 24<sup>th</sup> May, the accumulated precipitation 3 days prior to measuring NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 240 -N was cumulative rainfall from 21<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>th</sup> May. Emission of N<sub>2</sub>O during each 241 rainfall event defined as cumulative N2O emission during the rainy days and 242 subsequent 2 days for probable N leaching, i.e., when 21<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>th</sup> May were rainy 243 days, the period for cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission was from 21<sup>th</sup> May to 24<sup>th</sup> May. The 244 relationships between ln (mean seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O flux) and seasonal precipitation, mean 245

seasonal air and water temperature were also analyzed using simple linear regression analysis, which was also used for those relationships analysis on monthly scale. Data for monthly and seasonal  $N_2O$  fluxes were ln transformed and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., USA).

250

251 **3. Results** 

## 252 *3.1. Temperature and precipitation*

Mean daily air temperature ranged from 0.4 to 30.6°C during the experimental 253 254 period, 2012–2015, where mean annual air temperature was 17.3, 16.6, and 17.0°C in 2012-2013, 2013-2014 and 2014-2015, respectively (Fig. S1a). Mean seasonal air 255 temperature was 6.63, 17.9, 25.8 and 17.3°C, in winter, spring, summer, and autumn, 256 respectively. Water temperature ranged from 3.4 to 27.4°C during the three year study 257 period, where mean annual temperature was 17.0, 15.3, and 17.6°C in 2012–2013, 258 2013–2014, and 2014–2015, respectively (Fig. S1b). There were seasonal variations 259 in mean water temperature, where it was 7.1, 15.0, 23.7, and 20.7°C in winter, spring, 260 summer, and autumn, respectively. There was a positive correlation between water 261 temperature and air temperature (r = 0.97, n = 131). 262

Annual precipitation was 1272, 821, and 956 mm in 2012–2013, 2013–2014, and 2014–2015, respectively (Fig. S1c). The mean seasonal precipitation in winter, spring, summer, and autumn was 18.2, 147, 519, and 333 mm, respectively, where 83.8% of the precipitation occurred in summer and autumn.

267

268 *3.2. Drainage water* 

Depth of the drainage ditch water varied from 0.6 cm to 6.4 cm (mean: 1.8 cm), with higher mean seasonal water depths in summer and autumn than those in winter

271	and spring (data not shown). We recorded pH of the water during 2014-2015 and
272	found the mean was 7.56, with no variation among the seasons, and similarly, there
273	was no seasonal variation in NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N concentrations (Table 1). Concentrations of
274	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (range: 0.03–0.31 mg N L <sup>-1</sup> , mean: 0.11 mg N L <sup>-1</sup> ) were lower ( $P < 0.001$ )
275	than those of NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (range: 0.20–17.0 mg N L <sup>-1</sup> , mean: 3.75 mg N L <sup>-1</sup> ; Fig. 2a).
276	The mean NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N concentration in autumn was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than those in the
277	other seasons in 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 (Table 1). However, neither concentration
278	of $NH_4^+$ -N nor $NO_3^-$ -N varied between 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table
279	1). Concentrations of DOC ranged from 0.49 to 6.28 mg C $L^{-1}$ , and had a mean value
280	of 2.25 mg C L <sup>-1</sup> (Table 1; Fig. 2b).

## 282 3.3. Indirect $N_2O$ emissions and $N_2O$ emission factor (EF<sub>5g</sub>)

Over the three years, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes ranged from -0.33 to 40.3  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3), 283 with a mean of 6.56  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and a cumulative emission of 1.38 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 284 1). Mean annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were 4.72, 5.20, and 9.77  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in 2012–2013, 285 2013-2014, and 2014-2015, respectively (Table 1), and there were no variations in 286 mean annual fluxes or total annual N2O emissions (Table 1). But the cumulative 287 seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in winter in 2014–2015 were higher than those in winter in 288 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 (Fig. 4). We also found within-year seasonal differences in 289 290 each of the three years, where cumulative seasonal N2O emissions were higher in summer than in spring in 2012–2013 (P < 0.05), higher in summer and autumn than in 291 winter and spring in 2013–2014 (P < 0.05), and higher in summer and autumn than in 292 spring in 2014–2015 (*P* < 0.05; Fig. 4). 293

We found that the  $EF_{5g}$  values ranged from 0.002% to 0.19%, with a mean of 0.03%, with no variation in value between 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 (Table 1).

However, mean  $EF_{5g}$  in summer was higher (P < 0.05) than those in the other seasons in 2013–2014 (Table 1).

298

# 299 3.4. Relationships between $N_2O$ dynamics and environmental factors

There were positive relationships between the cumulative precipitation (> 15 mm) 300 3 days prior to measuring NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration 301 measured after precipitation in 2014–2015 ( $R^2 = 0.55$ , P < 0.05, n = 12; Fig. 5a), the 302 cumulative precipitation and the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during rainfall events ( $R^2$ 303 = 0.35, P < 0.05, n = 13; Fig. 5b), and cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during rainfall 304 events and drainage water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration measured after rainfall events ( $R^2$  = 305 0.55, P < 0.05, n = 12; Fig. 5c). There were positive relationships between ln (mean 306 monthly N<sub>2</sub>O flux) and mean monthly air temperature ( $R^2 = 0.36$ , P < 0.001, n = 33; 307 Fig. 6a), mean monthly water temperature ( $R^2 = 0.47$ , P < 0.001, n = 33; Fig. 6b), and 308 monthly cumulative precipitation ( $R^2 = 0.24$ , P < 0.05, n = 33; Fig. 6c). We also found 309 positive relationships between ln (mean seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O flux) and mean seasonal air 310 temperature ( $R^2 = 0.46$ , P < 0.05, n = 12; Fig. 6d), mean seasonal water temperature 311  $(R^2 = 0.35, P < 0.05, n = 12;$  Fig. 6e), and seasonal cumulative precipitation  $(R^2 = 0.53, P < 0.05, n = 12;$  Fig. 6e). 312 P < 0.05, n = 12; Fig. 6f). 313

314

## 315 4. Discussion

316

#### 4.1. Comparison with other studies

When we compared this study with previous studies on indirect N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from groundwater and drainage water (Table 2), we found our result (6.56  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was higher than those from the UK (Aberdeenshire, Reay et al., 2009; Cambridgeshire, Mühlherr & Hiscock, 1997, and Hiscock et al., 2003; Norfolk, Hiscock et al., 2003,

and Hama-Aziz et al., 2017), the USA (the High Plains aquifer, McMahon et al., 321 322 2000), and France (the Seine Basin, Vilain et al., 2012), but similar to fluxes from drainage water in Japan and Canada (Table 2). But, the value in this study was much 323 lower than those ranging between 35.2 and 7440  $\mu g \ N \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$  recorded from the UK 324 (Midlothian, Reay et al., 2003 and Norfolk, Outram and Hiscock, 2012), the USA (the 325 Kalamazoo River Basin, Beaulieu et al., 2008; the Choptank River and Nanticoke 326 River Basins, Gardner et al., 2016), Italy, and Sweden (Table 2). We also found that, 327 the mean  $N_2O$  flux was similar to direct  $N_2O$  fluxes (7.53  $\mu g$  N  $m^{-2}$   $h^{-1})$  from a 328 rice-rapeseed rotation without N fertilization located in the same study area (Zhou et 329 al. 2015). We deduce, therefore, the agricultural drainage ditches mainly receive 330 interflow water represent non-negligible sources of indirect N2O emission in the 331 central Sichuan Basin in China. 332

We summarized the EF<sub>5g</sub> values from peer-reviewed papers reported before 2017 333 (Table 2), and found the mean indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor ( $EF_{5g} = 0.03\%$ ) in the 334 current study was at the lower end of the range (0.01%-4.76%), although the reason 335 for the relatively low  $EF_{5g}$  value in our study was not clear. The mean  $EF_{5g}$  (0.51%) 336 from the literature (median: 0.18%, 95% confidence interval: 0.24%, n = 70) was 337 higher than the default value (0.25%) proposed by the IPCC in 2006. Although the 338 number of studies on EF<sub>5g</sub> has increased since publication of the 2006 IPCC 339 guidelines, studies on these indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions remain limited (Clough et al. 340 2007a), and there is uncertainty about the validity of the default  $EF_{5g}$  values and the 341 342 application of a single value to all drainage waters and groundwaters, due to highly variable EF<sub>5g</sub> values at regional and global scales (Jurado et al., 2017; Table 2). We 343 suggest, more in situ observations of indirect N2O emission and EF5g are needed to 344 improve the reliability and confidence in the use of EF<sub>5g</sub>. 345

## 347 4.2. Seasonal variations of $N_2O$ emissions and affecting factors

The increase of intensive rainfall amount resulted in an increase in the level of 348 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N in the drainage ditch mainly receiving interflow water (Figs. 2a and 5a), 349 confirming that rainfall affects N leaching and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N pollution in subsurface runoff 350 in this study area (Zhu et al., 2009; Wang and Zhu, 2011). In addition, the mean  $N_2O$ 351 fluxes increased with cumulative monthly and seasonal precipitation (Figs. 6c and 6f). 352 Our results suggest that seasonal precipitation patterns contribute to seasonal 353 variations in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N levels and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in drainage ditches mainly receiving 354 interflow water, which is consistent with the relationships of seasonal distributions of 355 356 precipitation and the seasonal variations in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions that have been 357 reported from other agricultural headwater streams (Royer et al., 2006; Beaulieu et al., 2009). 358

359 In our study, the drainage ditch water was rich in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and DOC, and may have contained sufficient substrates for the occurrence and stimulation of 360 denitrification (Stow, et al., 2005; Beaulieu et al., 2009; Werner et al., 2012; Jahangir 361 et al., 2013). Our results showed that the concentration of DOC in the drainage water 362 was relatively high (Table 1) and was not related to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, indicating that 363 DOC was not a limiting factor for seasonal variations in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. However, the 364 concentration of  $NO_3^{-}$ -N in the drainage water showed a positive relationship with the 365 N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Fig 5c), which was similar to findings that both denitrification and 366 N<sub>2</sub>O production rates increase with changes in groundwater chemical characteristics, 367 such as increasing the water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations (Herrman et al., 2008; Beaulieu 368 et al., 2009, 2011; Jahangir et al., 2013; Jurado et al., 2017). Denitrification has been 369 shown to be active in generating N<sub>2</sub>O in groundwater or areas rich in organic matter 370 where groundwater occurred (Koba et al., 2009; Jurado et al., 2017). The studied 371 15

drainage ditch was similarly rich in organic matter (7.43 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) and DOC (mean: 372 41.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, range: 19.0–93.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil). In addition, the DO concentration of 373 the ditch water during the second half of the third observation year (5.58–8.57 mg  $L^{-1}$ , 374 data not shown) was lower compared with that  $(7.5-15.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$  reported by Clough 375 et al (2007b), where coupled nitrification-denitrification could occur; moreover, the 376 main form of inorganic N was NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N rather than NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N in the present study, which 377 incurred that nitrification was much less likely to occur under this condition. 378 Therefore, we suggest that the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration was a primary factor affecting 379 380 N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes produced via denitrification, which may explain the coherence of the seasonal changes in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. 381

Our data also revealed a positive relationship between monthly and seasonal 382 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and air and water temperature (Figs 6a, 6b, 6d, and 6e). Higher 383 temperatures induce more rapid rates of metabolism for denitrifying bacteria 384 (Herrman et al., 2008; Jurado et al., 2017), and Stow et al. (2005) and Tian et al. 385 (2017) found N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes increased with increasing water temperature in downstream 386 rivers. Moreover, increased temperature can lower the amount of dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O in 387 the water which in turn promotes N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and reduce the DO concentration 388 leading to anaerobic conditions thus promoting denitrification (Harrison et al., 2005; 389 Clough et al., 2007b, 2011). Besides, significant diel changes in water temperatures 390 and day-night oxygen fluctuations could also impact N transfer such as denitrification 391 and N<sub>2</sub>O production (Harrison et al., 2005; Clough et al., 2007b), although diel 392 change was not measured in this study. 393

Our results further confirmed that indirect emissions were evidently sensitive to fluctuations of temperature and precipitation (Griffis et al., 2017). It is likely, therefore, that heavy precipitation increased leaching and associated higher  $NO_3^{-}-N$ 

398

concentrations in the drainage water, that when combined with warmer temperatures, resulted in stimulated denitrification and higher N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in summer and autumn.

399 Besides, we found a lack of inter-annual variation in indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which may have been related to the lack of variation in DOC and inorganic N 400 concentrations in the drainage ditch (Table 1), as a result of consistent annual mean 401 temperatures, and management practices of the adjacent farmlands among the three 402 403 study years. In contrast, some studies such as those in the US Corn Belt (e.g. Griffis et al., 2017) found inter-annual variations in indirect emissions attributed to warmer & 404 405 wetter conditions. In the present study, 2012-2013 observation year was wetter than the other two years (precipitation: 1272 mm vs. 821 and 956 mm; Section 3.1), which 406 might affect surface runoff and groundwater (Zhu et al., 2009; Wang and Zhu, 2011; 407 Griffis et al., 2017). Wang and Zhu (2011) found that the annual mean leaching NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 408 -N concentration from local sloping farmland could be significantly lower in the 409 wetter year (e.g. precipitation in 2006: 860 mm) than those in dryer years (e.g. 410 precipitation in 2004: 806 mm). Although NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations of the ditch were 411 not measured for the first observation year (2012-2013), high precipitation might lead 412 to lower leaching NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in the first year. However, higher 413 precipitation did not affect indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions of the first year. The integrated 414 influences of accumulated precipitation, water chemistry, and temperature could result 415 in the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to be stable between years but vary with season in the drainage 416 ditch during study period. 417

418

## 419 5. Conclusions

420 Large uncertainty still remains with respect to indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from
421 agricultural systems, particularly from intensively managed farmlands such as those in

China. In the present study, we investigated indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an 422 agricultural drainage ditch mainly receiving interflow water discharge in an intensive 423 424 farming area with high N inputs located in the central Sichuan Basin. The agricultural ditch was a source of indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, with an inter-annual mean N<sub>2</sub>O flux of 425 6.56  $\mu g$  N  $m^{-2}~h^{-1}$  and a mean  $EF_{5g}$  value of 0.03%. A review of the literature 426 427 revealed that the global average value of EF<sub>5g</sub> was 0.51%. Although EF<sub>5g</sub> in the studied ditch was lower than the global average, it is not clear that the average figure 428 of intensive farming area in China is actually lower than the global average, because 429 430 only one previous study on EF<sub>5g</sub> was available in China. Further field measurements of EF<sub>5</sub> to reduce uncertainty in the estimate of EF<sub>5</sub> are needed. There were no 431 inter-annual variations in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, but there were seasonal differences, where 432 emissions were higher in summer and autumn than in winter and spring. In this study, 433 the seasonal variations were mainly related to seasonal dynamics of the drainage 434 water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration, temperature, and precipitation indicating the combined 435 effects of the interactions between these factors on these indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions 436 should be considered. Our results suggest that intensive precipitation events increase 437 water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations and stimulate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from drainage ditches 438 mainly receiving interflow water discharge. Moreover, the higher NO3--N 439 concentrations of the drainage water, when combined with warmer water temperature 440 in summer and autumn, may have stimulated denitrification and higher seasonal  $N_2O$ 441 emissions. 442

443

## 444 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the editor and three anonymous reviewers for their significant and valuable comments and suggestions that improved the manuscript 447 greatly. This research was supported by the Funding of Natural Science Foundation of 448 China (Grant No. 41430750) and National Key Program on Research and 449 Development of China (No. 2017YFD0800105). We sincerely thank the staff at 450 Yanting station for field sampling support, and the anonymous reviewers for their 451 valuable comments and suggestions.

452

## 453 **References**

- Audet, J., Wallin, M.B., Kyllmar, K., Andersson, S., Bishop, K., 2017. Nitrous oxide
  emissions from streams in a Swedish agricultural catchment. Agric. Ecosyst.
  Environ. 236, 295-303.
- Baulch, H.M., Schiff, S.L., Maranger, R., Dillon, P.J., 2011. Nitrogen enrichment and
  the emission of nitrous oxide from streams. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 25,
  GB4013, doi:10.1029/2011GB004047.
- Baulch, H.M., Schiff, S.L., Maranger, R., Dillon, P.J., Karlsson, J., 2012. Testing
  models of aquatic N<sub>2</sub>O flux for inland waters. Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 69,
  145-160.
- Beaulieu, J.J., Arango, C.P., Hamilton, S.K., Tank, J.L., 2008. The production and
  emission of nitrous oxide from headwater streams in the Midwestern United States.
  Glob. Chang. Biol. 14, 878-894.
- Beaulieu, J.J., Arango, C.P., Tank, J.L., 2009. The Effects of season and agriculture on
  nitrous oxide production in headwater streams. J. Environ. Qual. 38, 637-646.
- 468 Beaulieu, J.J., Tank, J.L., Hamilton, S.K., Wollheim, W.M., Hall, R.O., Jr.,
- 469 Mulholland, P.J., Peterson, B.J., Ashkenas, L.R., Cooper, L.W., Dahm, C.N.,
- 470 Dodds, W.K., Grimm, N.B., Johnson, S.L., McDowell, W.H., Poole, G.C., Valett,
- 471 H.M., Arango, C.P., Bernot, M.J., Burgin, A.J., Crenshaw, C.L., Helton, A.M.,

- 472 Johnson, L.T., O'Brien, J.M., Potter, J.D., Sheibley, R.W., Sobota, D.J., Thomas,
- S.M., 2011. Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river
  networks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108(1), 214-219.
- 475 Clough, T.J., Addy, K., Kellogg, D.Q., Nowicki, B.L., Gold, A.J., Groffman, P.M.,
- 2007a. Dynamics of nitrous oxide in groundwater at the aquatic-terrestrial
  interface. Glob. Chang. Biol. 13(7), 1528-1537.
- Clough, T.J., Buckthought, L.E., Casciotti, K.L., Kelliher, F.M., Jones, P.K., 2011.
  Nitrous oxide dynamics in a Braided River system, New Zealand. J. Environ. Qual.
  40(5), 1532-1541.
- 481 Clough, T.J., Buckthought, L.E., Kelliher, F.M., Sherlock, R.R., 2007b. Diurnal
- fluctuations of dissolved nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) concentrations and estimates of  $N_2O$
- 483 emissions from a spring-fed river: implications for IPCC methodology. Glob.
  484 Chang. Biol. 13(5): 1016-1027.
- 485 Dowdell, R.J., Burford, J.R., Crees, R., 1979. Losses of nitrous oxide dissolved in
  486 drainage water from agricultural land. Nature 278, 342-343.
- 487 Gao, Y., Zhu, B., Yu, G.R., Chen, W.L., He, N.P., Wang, T., Miao, C.Y., 2014.
- Coupled effects of biogeochemical and hydrological processes on C, N, and P
  export during extreme rainfall events in a purple soil watershed in southwestern
  China. J. Hydrol. 511, 692-702.
- Gardner, J.R., Fisher, T.R., Jordan, T.E., Knee, K.L., 2016. Balancing watershed
  nitrogen budgets: accounting for biogenic gases in streams. Biogeochemistry 127,
  231-253.
- 494 Griffis, T.J., Chen, Z.C., Baker, J.M., Wood, J.D., Millet, D.B., Lee, X.H., Venterea,
- R.T., Turner, P.A., 2017. Nitrous oxide emissions are enhanced in a warmer and
  wetter world. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114(45), 12081-12085.

- Groffman, P.M., Gold, A.J., Jacinthe, P.A., 1998. Nitrous oxide production in riparian
  zones and groundwater. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 52, 179-186.
- Gumiero, B., Boz, B., Cornelio, P., Casella, S., 2011. Shallow groundwater nitrogen
  and denitrification in a newly afforested, subirrigated riparian buffer. J. Appl. Ecol.
  48, 1135-1144.
- Hama-Aziz, Z.Q., Hiscock, K.M., Cooper, R.J., 2017. Indirect nitrous oxide emission
  factors for agricultural field drains and headwater streams. Environ. Sci. Technol.
  504 51, 301-307.
- Harrison, J., Matson, P., 2003. Patterns and controls of nitrous oxide emissions from
  waters draining a subtropical agricultural valley. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 17,
  1080, doi: 10.1029/2002GB001991.
- Harrison, J.A., Matson, P.A., Fendorf, S.E., 2005. Effects of a diel oxygen cycle on
  nitrogen transformations and greenhouse gas emissions in a eutrophied subtropical
  stream. Aquat. Sci. 67(3), 308-315.
- Hasegawa, K., Hanaki, K., Matsuo, T., Hidaka, S., 2000. Nitrous oxide from the
  agricultural water system contaminated with high nitrogen. Chemos. Global
  Change Sci. 2, 335-345.
- Herrman, K.S., Bouchard, V., Moore, R.H., 2008. Factors affecting denitrification in
  agricultural headwater streams in Northeast Ohio, USA. Hydrobiologia 598,
  305-314.
- Hinshaw, S.E., Dahlgren, R.A., 2016. Nitrous oxide fluxes and dissolved N gases (N<sub>2</sub>
  and N<sub>2</sub>O) within riparian zones along the agriculturally impacted San Joaquin
- 519River. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 105, 85-102.

- Hiscock, K.M., Bateman, A.S., Muhlherr, I.H., Fukada, T., Dennis, P.F., 2003. Indirect
  emissions of nitrous oxide from regional aquifers in the United Kingdom. Environ.
  Sci. Technol. 37, 3507-3512.
- Hua, K.K., Zhu, B., Wang, X.G., 2014. Dissolved organic carbon loss fluxes through
  runoff and sediment on sloping upland of purple soil in the Sichuan Basin. Nutr.
  Cycl. Agroecosyst. 98: 125-135.
- IPCC, 2006. IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, prepared by
  the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Volume 4. Agriculture,
  Forestry and Other Land Use. Chapter 11. Japan: Institute for Global
  Environmental Strategies (IGES).
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013, The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I
  Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
  Climate Change. New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Jahangir, M.M.R., Johnston, P., Barrett, M., Khalil, M.I., Groffman, P.M., Boeckx, P.,
- 534 Fenton, O., Murphy, J., Richards, K.G., 2013. Denitrification and indirect N<sub>2</sub>O
- emissions in groundwater: Hydrologic and biogeochemical influences. J. Contam.
  Hydrol. 152, 70-81.
- 537 Jahangir, M.M.R., Johnston, P., Khalil, M.I., Grant, J., Somers, C., Richards, K.G.,
- 538 2012. Evaluation of headspace equilibration methods for quantifying greenhouse539 gases in groundwater. J. Environ. Manag. 111, 208-212.
- Janse, J.H., Van Puijenbroek, P.J., 1998. Effects of eutrophication in drainage ditches.
  Environ. Pollut. 102, 547-552.
- Jurado, A., Borges, A.V., Brouyere, S., 2017. Dynamics and emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O in
  groundwater: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 584, 207-218.

- Kim, D.-G., Isenhart, T.M., Parkin, T.B., Schultz, R.C., Loynachan, T.E., 2009.
  Nitrate and dissolved nitrous oxide in groundwater within cropped fields and
  riparian buffers. Biogeosci. Discuss. 6, 651-685.
- Koba, K., Osaka, K., Tobari, Y., Toyoda, S., Ohte, N., Katsuyama, M., Suzuki, N.,
  Itoh, M., Yamagishi, H., Kawasaki, M., Kim, S.J., Yoshida, N., Nakajima, T.,
  2009. Biogeochemistry of nitrous oxide in groundwater in a forested ecosystem
- elucidated by nitrous oxide isotopomer measurements. Geochim. Cosmochim.Acta 73, 3115-3133.
- Kröeger, R., Holland, M.M., Moore, M.T., Cooper, C.M., 2007. Hydrological
  variability and agricultural drainage ditch inorganic nitrogen reduction capacity. J.
  Environ. Qual. 36, 1646-1652.
- Laini, A., Bartoli, M., Castaldi, S., Viaroli, P., Capri, E., Trevisan, M., 2011.
  Greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) in lowland springs within an agricultural
  impacted watershed (Po River Plain, northern Italy). Chem. Ecol. 27, 177-187.
- 558 McAleer, E.B., Coxon, C.E., Richards, K.G., Jahangir, M.M.R., Grant, J., Mellander,
- P.E., 2017. Groundwater nitrate reduction versus dissolved gas production: A tale
  of two catchments. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 372-389.
- McMahon, P.B., Bruce, B.W., Becker, M.F., Pope, L.M., Dennehy, K.F., 2000.
  Occurrence of nitrous oxide in the central High Plains aquifer, 1999. Environ.
  Sci. Technol. 34, 4873-4877.
- Minami, K., Fukushi, S., 1984. Methods for measuring N<sub>2</sub>O flux from water surface
  and N<sub>2</sub>O dissolved in water from agricultural land. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 30,
  495-502.
- 567 Minamikawa, K., Nishimura, S., Nakajima, Y., Osaka, K.i., Sawamoto, T., Yagi, K.,
- 568 2011. Upward diffusion of nitrous oxide produced by denitrification near shallow

groundwater table in the summer: a lysimeter experiment. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 57,

570 719-732.

- Mosier, A., Kroeze, C., Nevison, C., Oenema, O., Seitzinger, S., van Cleemput, O.,
  1998. Closing the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the
  agricultural nitrogen cycle OECD/IPCC/IEA phase II development of IPCC
  guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology. Nutr. Cycl.
  Agroecosyst. 52, 225-248.
- 576 Mühlherr, I.H., Hiscock, K.M., 1997. A preliminary assessment of nitrous oxide in
  577 chalk groundwater in Cambridgeshire, UK. Appl. Geochem. 12, 797-802.
- 578 Mühlherr, I.H., Hiscock, K.M., 1998. Nitrous oxide production and consumption in
  579 British limestone aquifers. J. Hydrol. 211, 126-139.
- 580 Mulholland, P.J., Helton, A.M., Poole, G.C., Hall, R.O., Jr., Hamilton, S.K., Peterson,
- 581 B.J., Tank, J.L., Ashkenas, L.R., Cooper, L.W., Dahm, C.N., Dodds, W.K.,
- 582 Findlay, S.E.G., Gregory, S.V., Grimm, N.B., Johnson, S.L., McDowell, W.H.,
- 583 Meyer, J.L., Valett, H.M., Webster, J.R., Arango, C.P., Beaulieu, J.J., Bernot,
- 584 M.J., Burgin, A.J., Crenshaw, C.L., Johnson, L.T., Niederlehner, B.R., O'Brien,
- J.M., Potter, J.D., Sheibley, R.W., Sobota, D.J., Thomas, S.M., 2008. Stream
  denitrification across biomes and its response to anthropogenic nitrate loading.
- 587 Nature 452, 202-206.
- Nevison, C., 2000. Review of the IPCC methodology for estimating nitrous oxide
  emissions associated with agricultural leaching and runoff. Chemos. Global
  Change Sci. 2, 493-500.
- Outram, F.N., Hiscock, K.M., 2012. Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from surface
   water bodies in a lowland arable catchment: a significant contribution to
   agricultural greenhouse gas budgets? Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 8156-8163.

- Premaratne, M., Clough, T.J., Kelliher, F.M., 2017. Determining the nitrous oxide 594 transfer velocity and emission factor of an agricultural drain. New. Zeal. J. Agr. 595 596 Res. 60(3), 277-286.
- Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O): the 597 dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. Science 326, 598 123-125. 599
- 600 Reay, D.S., Edwards, A.C., Smith, K.A., 2004a. Determinants of nitrous oxide emission from agricultural drainage waters. Water Air Soil Pollut. Focus 4, 601 602 107-115.
- Reay, D.S., Edwards, A.C., Smith, K.A., 2009. Importance of indirect nitrous oxide 603 emissions at the field, farm and catchment scale. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 133, 604 163-169. 605
- Reay, D.S., Smith, K.A., Edwards, A.C., 2003. Nitrous oxide emission from 606 agricultural drainage waters. Glob. Chang. Biol. 9, 195-203. 607
- Reay, D.S., Smith, K.A., Edwards, A.C., 2004b. Nitrous oxide in agricultural drainage 608 waters following field fertilization. Water Air Soil Pollut. Focus, 437-451. 609
- Rover, T.V., Tank, J.L., David, M.B., 2004. Transport and fate of nitrate in headwater 610 agricultural streams in Illinois. J. Environ. Qual. 33, 1296-1304. 611
- Sawamoto, T., Kusa, K., Hu, R.G., Hatano, R., 2002. Dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> 612 in pipe drainage, seepage, and stream water in a livestock farm in Hokkaido, 613 Japan. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 48, 433-439. 614
- Sawamoto, T., Kusa, K., Hu, R.G., Hatano, R., 2003. Dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> 615 emissions from subsurface-drainage in a structured clay soil cultivated with 616 onion in central Hokkaido, Japan. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 49, 31-38.

- Sawamoto, T., Nakajima, Y., Kasuya, M., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K., 2005. Evaluation of
  emission factors for indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission due to nitrogen leaching in
  agro-ecosystems. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32, L03403, doi:10.1029/2004GL021625.
- Shen, L.D., Zheng, P.H., Ma, S.J., 2016. Nitrogen loss through anaerobic ammonium
  oxidation in agricultural drainage ditches. Biol. Fertil. Soils 52, 127-136.
- 623 Stow, C.A., Walker, J. T., Cardoch, L., Spence, P., Geron, C., 2005. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
- from streams in the Neuse River Watershed, North Carolina. Environ. Sci.Technol. 39, 6999-7004.
- Tian, L.L., Zhu, B., Akiyama, H., 2017. Seasonal variations in indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  from an agricultural headwater ditch. Biol. Fertil. Soils 53, 651-662.
- Ueda, S., Ogura, N., Yoshinari, T., 1993. Accumulation of nitrous oxide in aerobic
  groundwaters. Water Res. 27, 1787-1792.
- Verchot, L.V., Franklin, E.C., Gilliam, J.W., 1997. Nitrogen cycling in piedmont
  vegetated filter zones: II. subsurface nitrate removal. J. Environ. Qual. 26,
  337-347.
- Vilain, G., Garnier, J., Tallec, G., Tournebize, J., 2012. Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from
  shallow groundwater in an agricultural catchment (Seine Basin, France).
  Biogeochemistry 111, 253-271.
- Wang, T., Zhu, B., 2011. Nitrate loss via overland flow and interflow from a sloped
  farmland in the hilly area of purple soil, China. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 90,
  309-319.
- Well, R., Eschenbach, W., Flessa, H., von der Heide, C., Weymann, D., 2012. Are dual
  isotope and isotopomer ratios of N<sub>2</sub>O useful indicators for N<sub>2</sub>O turnover during
  denitrification in nitrate-contaminated aquifers? Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 90,
  265-282.

- Well, R., Flessa, H., Jaradat, F., Toyoda, S., Yoshida, N., 2005a. Measurement of
  isotopomer signatures of N<sub>2</sub>O in groundwater. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 110,
  G02006, doi:10.1029/2005JG000044.
- Well, R., Weymann, D., Flessa, H., 2005b. Recent research progress on the
  significance of aquatic systems for indirect agricultural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Environ.
  Sci. 2, 143-151.
- Werner, S.F., Browne, B.A., Driscoll, C.T., 2012. Three-dimensional spatial patterns
  of trace gas concentrations in baseflow-dominated agricultural streams:
  implications for surface-ground water interactions and biogeochemistry.
  Biogeochemistry 107, 319-338.
- 653 Weymann, D., Well, R., Flessa, H., von der Heide, C., Deurer, M., Meyer, K., Konrad,
- C., Walther, W., 2008. Groundwater N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors of
  nitrate-contaminated aquifers as derived from denitrification progress and N<sub>2</sub>O
  accumulation. Biogeosciences 5, 1215-1226.
- 657 Woodward, K.B., Fellows, C.S., Conway, C.L., Hunter, H.M., 2009. Nitrate removal,
- denitrification and nitrous oxide production in the riparian zone of an ephemeralstream. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 671-680.
- Xia, Y.Q., She, D.L., Li, F.Y., Yan, X.Y., 2014. Impact of sampling time on
  chamber-based measurements of riverine nitrous oxide emissions using relative
  difference analysis. Geoderma 214, 197-203.
- Xiong, Z.Q., Xing, G.X., Zhu, Z.L., 2006. Water dissolved nitrous oxide from paddy
  agroecosystem in China. Geoderma 136, 524-532.
- Zhang, S.N., Liu, F., Xiao, R.L., Li, Y., He, Y., Wu, J.S., 2016. Effects of vegetation
  on ammonium removal and nitrous oxide emissions from pilot-scale drainage
  ditches. Aquat. Bot. 130, 37-44.

668	Zhang, W., Tang, X.Y., Xian, Q.S., 2017. Seasonal variation of colloid particles in the
669	shallow well water of a small watershed of purple soil. Environ. Sci. 38(1): 87-94.
670	(in Chinese).

- 671 Zhang, W.F., Dou, Z.X., He, P., Ju, X.T., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu,
- Y.L, Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Chen, X.P, Cassman, K.G, Zhang, F.S., 2013. New
- technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China.

674 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110(21): 8375-8380.

- Zhao, P., Tang, X.Y., Zhao, P., Wang, C., Tang, J.L., 2013. Tracing water flow from
  sloping farmland to streams using oxygen-18 isotope to study a small agricultural
  catchment in southwest China. Soil Till. Res. 134: 180-194.
- Zhou, M.H., Zhu, B., Brueggemann, N., Wang, X.G., Zheng, X.H., Butterbach-Bahl,
- K., 2015. Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from a subtropical rice-rapeseed
  rotation system in China: A 3-year field case study. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 212,
  297-309.
- Zhou, M.H., Zhu, B., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Zheng, X.H., Wang, T., Wang, Y.Q., 2013.
- Nitrous oxide emissions and nitrate leaching from a rain-fed wheat-maize rotationin the Sichuan Basin, China. Plant Soil. 362, 149-159.
- Zhu, B., Wang, T., Kuang, F.H., Luo, Z.X., Tang, J.L., Xu, T.P., 2009. Measurements
  of nitrate leaching from a hillslope cropland in the central Sichuan Basin, China.
  Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1419-1426.
- <sup>688</sup> Zhu, B., Wang, Z.H., Zhang, X.B., 2012. Phosphorus fractions and release potential of
- ditch sediments from different land uses in a small catchment of the upper Yangtze
- 690 River. J. Soil Sediment. 12, 278-290.

## 691 Figures captions

Fig. 1. (a) Location and (b) catchment of the study site in southwestern China; (c) profile of purple soil (left) and schematic illustration of post-rainfall water flow movement in the study site purple soil type (right); and (d) schematic diagram of the gas collection device in the field.

696

**Fig. 2.** Concentrations of  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and DOC in drainage water during two years of the study. Data points are means and error bars are the standard errors of replicates (*n* = 4).

700

Fig. 3. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes recorded throughout the study period. Data points are means and error bars are the standard errors of replicates (n = 4).

703

Fig. 4. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in each season over the three years study period. Data points are means and error bars are the standard errors of replicates (n = 4). Different capital letters indicate differences among the years, while different lowercase letters indicate differences among the seasons (P < 0.05).

708

**Fig. 5.** Regression analyses of **(a)** accumulated amount of precipitation (> 15 mm) that fell over the 3 days prior to measuring  $NO_3^--N$  and drainage water  $NO_3^--N$ concentration following precipitation events; **(b)** N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and accumulated amount of precipitation during rainfall events; **(c)** N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during each rainfall event and drainage water  $NO_3^--N$  concentration after rainfall events.

714

Fig. 6. Regression analyses of ln (mean monthly N<sub>2</sub>O flux) and (a) mean monthly air
 temperature; and (b) mean monthly water temperature; and (c) monthly precipitation,

- and ln (mean seasonal  $N_2O$  flux) and (d) mean seasonal air temperature; and (e) mean
- seasonal water temperature; and (f) seasonal precipitation. Dashed lines are 95%
- 719 confidence intervals.

Fig. 1 







727 Fig. 3







731 Fig. 5





**Table 1** Seasonal variation in drainage water variables, indirect  $N_2O$  fluxes, and indirect  $N_2O$  emission factor (EF<sub>5g</sub>) and mean annual indirect

 $N_2O$  fluxes.

Observation period	Drainage water variable	Mean annual value	Mean seasonal value			
			Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
Dec, 2012-Nov, 2013	Water temperature (°C)	17.0	$6.96\pm0.62~a$	$21.0\pm0.70\;b$	$24.2\pm0.39\ b$	$21.4\pm0.36\ b$
	$N_2O$ flux (µg N m^-2 h^-	$4.72\pm2.85$	$2.13\pm0.11\ A$	$4.06\pm0.71$	$6.80\pm4.65$	$5.24\pm3.98$
	<sup>1</sup> )					
Dec, 2013-Nov, 2014	$NH_4^+-N (mg N L^{-1})$	0.13	$0.09\pm0.02$	$0.07\pm0.02$	$0.18\pm0.02$	$0.15\pm0.04$
	$NO_3^{-}-N (mg N L^{-1})$	3.17	$3.53\pm0.27\ b$	$1.74\pm0.15\ ab$	$1.49\pm0.23~a$	$9.32\pm1.24~\text{c}$
	DOC (mg C $L^{-1}$ )	2.17	$1.31\pm0.10\ a$	$1.67\pm0.32~a$	$3.09\pm0.29\ b$	$2.30\pm0.48 \text{ ab}$
	Water temperature (°C)	15.3	$6.50\pm0.44~a$	$9.29\pm0.58\ a$	$23.3\pm0.37~b$	$21.7\pm0.63\ b$
	EF <sub>5g</sub>	0.017%	0.004% a	0.006% a	0.035% b	0.004% a
	$N_2O$ flux (µg N m^-2 h^-	$5.20\pm2.23$	$0.97\pm1.10Aa$	$2.51 \pm 2.16$ a	$7.06\pm2.52\ b$	$7.71\pm2.92\ b$
	<sup>1</sup> )					
Dec, 2014– Nov, 2015	$NH_4^+-N (mg N L^{-1})$	0.09	$0.10\pm0.01$	$0.13\pm0.06$	$0.10\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.01$
	$NO_3^{-}-N (mg N L^{-1})$	4.09	$1.56\pm0.29~a$	$1.16\pm0.40~a$	$4.44 \pm 1.03 \ ab$	$6.54\pm0.17b$
	DOC (mg C $L^{-1}$ )	2.30	$1.50\pm0.13~a$	$4.38\pm0.68\ c$	$2.88\pm0.27\ b$	$1.72\pm0.12 \text{ ab}$
	Water temperature (°C)	17.6	$7.74\pm0.35\ a$	$14.7\pm0.81\ b$	$23.5\pm0.22\;d$	$18.9\pm0.74\ c$
	pH	7.56	$7.68\pm0.03$	$7.63\pm0.27$	$7.51\pm0.04$	$7.48 \pm 0.07$
	EF <sub>5g</sub>	0.034%	0.038%	0.045%	0.039%	0.020%
	$N_2O$ flux (µg N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-</sup>	$9.77\pm3.99$	$5.78\pm2.30~Ba$	$4.25 \pm 1.27$ a	$10.02\pm3.34~b$	$14.79\pm7.00\ b$

		<sup>1</sup> )					
	Average of three years	$N_2O$ flux (µg N m^-2 h^-	$6.56 \pm 1.61$	$2.96 \pm 1.45$ a	$3.61 \pm 0.55 \ a$	$7.96 \pm 1.03 \ b$	$9.25\pm2.86\ b$
		1)					
738	Values are means $\pm$ stand	dard errors ( $n = 15, 3, 15, a$	nd 2 for Winter, Spring, S	Summer, and Autumn,	respectively, in Dec.	2012–Nov, 2013; <i>n</i>	= 13, 4, 14, and 4 for

Winter, Spring, Summer, and Autumn, respectively, in Dec, 2013–Nov, 2014; and, n = 15, 4, 23, and 17 for Winter, Spring, Summer, and Autumn, respectively, in

- 740 Dec, 2014–Nov, 2015). Different lowercase letters within a row indicate differences among the seasons (P < 0.05), while different capital letters indicate differences
- among years (P < 0.05). Concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and DOC were measured in Dec, 2013–Nov, 2014 and Dec, 2014–Nov, 2015. Observation period for N<sub>2</sub>O
- remissions in Dec, 2012–Nov, 2013, Dec, 2013–Nov, 2014, and Dec, 2014–Nov, 2015 were 284, 287, and 298 days, respectively.

# 743 Table 2 Comparison of $EF_{5g}$ and indirect $N_2O$ fluxes from drainage water,

- groundwater and springs reported from previous studies with dominant land use type
- of cropland.

Country	Source *	EF <sub>5g</sub> (%)	N <sub>2</sub> O fluxes	Reference
			$(\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1})$	_
		Mean (range)	Mean (range)	
UK	DW	(~0.1-1.00) <sup>c</sup>	/	Dowdell et al. (1979)
Japan	DW	0.20 (0.059–0.44) <sup>b</sup>	/	Minami and Fukushi (1984)
Japan and	GW	0.22 (~0.01-1.00) <sup>c</sup>	/	Ueda et al. (1993)
USA				
UK	GW	0.25 (0.10-0.43) <sup>b</sup>	0.57ª	Mühlherr and Hiscock (1997)
	SP	0.50 (0.49–0.51) <sup>b</sup>	/	
USA	GW	0.13 (0.06–0.19) <sup>c</sup>	/	Verchot et al. (1997)
UK	GW	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	/	Mühlherr and Hiscock (1998)
	GW	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	/	
	GW	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	/	
Japan	GW	0.05°	/	Hasegawa et al. (2000)
USA	GW	0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	McMahon et al. (2000)
Japan	DW	1.27°	/	Sawamoto et al. (2002)
UK	GW	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	Hiscock et al. (2003)
	DW	$0.01 \ (0.005 - 0.028)^d$	(~100–1000) <sup>c</sup>	Reay et al. (2003)
Japan	DW	$0.26^{\circ} (0.076 - 1.05)^{a}$	8.53 <sup>a</sup>	Sawamoto et al. (2003)
UK	DW	0.20ª	/	Reay et al. (2004a)
	DW	0.06 <sup>d</sup>	/	Reay et al. (2004b)
Japan	GW	0.15 <sup>c</sup>	/	Sawamoto et al. (2005)
	DW	0.18 <sup>c</sup>	/	
	DW	0.01°	/	
	DW	0.05°	/	
Germany	DW	2.41 (0.30-4.50) <sup>b</sup>	/	Well et al. (2005a)
	GW	$0.08 \ (0.002 - 1.58)^a$	/	Well et al. (2005b)
China	GW	0.11 (0.004–0.51) <sup>a</sup>	/	Xiong et al. (2006)
USA	DW	0.57 (0.01-4.07) <sup>b</sup>	35.2 (-8.9–266.8) <sup>a</sup>	Beaulieu et al. (2008)
Germany	GW	4.76 (0.007–51.0) <sup>a</sup>	/	Weymann et al. (2008)
	GW	$0.21 (0.011 - 1.04)^{a}$	/	
	GW	0.81 (0.071–7.36) <sup>a</sup>	/	
	GW	2.38 (0.005–24.0) <sup>a</sup>	/	
USA	GW	$0.22 (0.13 - 0.31)^{a}$	/	Kim et al. (2009)
	GW	$0.41 (0.28 - 0.54)^{a}$	/	
UK	DW	$0.30 (0.008 - 3.60)^a$	0.87ª	Reay et al. (2009)
Australia	GW	2.60 <sup>b</sup>	/	Woodward et al. (2009)
Canada	DW	$0.08 \ (0.06 - 0.09)^a$	9.09 <sup>d</sup>	Baulch et al. (2011, 2012)

Italy	GW	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	4167 <sup>d</sup>	Laini et al. (2011)
Ireland	GW	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	/	Jahangir et al. (2012)
UK	DW	0.61ª	338 <sup>a</sup>	Outram and Hiscock (2012)
France	GW	$0.12^{b} (0.04 - 0.26)^{a}$	0.40 <sup>a</sup>	Vilain et al. (2012)
Germany	GW	0.35 <sup>b</sup>	/	Well et al. (2012)
	GW	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	/	
Ireland	GW	0.39ª	1.71ª	Jahangir et al. (2013)
	GW	0.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.80 <sup>a</sup>	
	GW	0.30 <sup>a</sup>	1.94 <sup>a</sup>	
	GW	0.29 <sup>a</sup>	2.74 <sup>a</sup>	
USA	GW	4.40 (0.2–70.0) <sup>a</sup>	119 <sup>b</sup>	Gardner et al. (2016)
USA	GW	2.07 <sup>b</sup> (0–19.9) <sup>a</sup>	/	Hinshaw and Dahlgren (2016)
Sweden	DW	0.17 (0.08–0.29) <sup>d</sup>	127.4 <sup>b</sup> (5.5–617) <sup>a</sup>	Audet et al. (2017)
UK	DW	0.12 (0.003–1.06) <sup>a</sup>	0.57 <sup>a</sup>	Hama-Aziz et al. (2017)
Ireland	DW	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	/	McAleer et al. (2017)
	DW	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	/	
	GW	0.35 <sup>b</sup>	/	
	GW	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	/	
New Zealand	DW	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	/	Premaratne et al. (2017)
China	DW	0.03 (0.004–0.19)	6.56 (-0.33-40.30)	This study
Average of		0.51 <sup>AV</sup>	/	
the EF <sub>5g</sub>				
IPCC default		0.25	/	IPCC (2006)
value in 2006				

<sup>\*</sup>DW: drainage water; GW: groundwater; SP: spring.

<sup>a</sup> Data were directly provided in the original publication.

<sup>b</sup> Data were recalculated from those in the original publication.

<sup>c</sup> Data were derived from a secondary source.

751 <sup>d</sup> Approximate value.

AV Average of the  $EF_{5g}$  from the reference and this study (range = 0.01%-4.76%; median = 0.18%;

753 n = 70, standard error = 0.12%, CV = 1.94).