

Identification of DNA methylated regions by using methylated DNA immunoprecipitation sequencing in Brassica rapa

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2019-09-27 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 板橋, 悦子 メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	https://repository.naro.go.jp/records/2631

1 **Title: Identification of DNA methylated regions using Methylated DNA**
2 **immunoprecipitation sequencing in *Brassica rapa* L.**

3

4 Satoshi Takahashi^{A, H}, Naoki Fukushima^{B, H}, Kenji Osabe^{C, H}, Etsuko Itabashi^D, Motoki
5 Shimizu^E, Naomi Miyaji^B, Takeshi Takasaki-Yasuda^B, Yutaka Suzuki^F, Motoaki Seki^{A, G},
6 Ryo Fujimoto^{B, I}

7

8 ^A RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045,
9 Japan

10 ^B Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe
11 657-8501, Japan

12 ^C Plant Epigenetics Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate
13 University, Onna-son, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan

14 ^D Institute of Vegetable and Floriculture Science, NARO, Kusawa, Ano, Tsu, Mie
15 514-2392, Japan

16 ^E Iwate Biotechnology Research Center, Narita, Kitakami, Iwate 024-0003, Japan

17 ^F Department of Computational Biology, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The
18 University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-0882, Japan

19 ^G Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology, Japan Science and
20 Technology, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.

21

22 ^HThese authors equally contributed to this work

23

24 ^ICorresponding Author: Ryo Fujimoto

25 Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe
26 657-8501, Japan

27 Phone: +81-78-803-5827

28 Fax: +81-78-803-5827

1 E-mail: leo@people.kobe-u.ac.jp

2

3 **Running head:** Identification of DNA methylated regions

4

5 **Abstract**

6 DNA methylation is an epigenetic gene regulatory mechanism that plays an
7 essential role in gene expression, transposon silencing, genome imprinting, and plant
8 development. We investigated the influence of DNA methylation on gene expression in
9 *Brassica rapa*, to understand if there are epigenetic differences between inbred lines.
10 Genome-wide DNA methylation was analyzed by Methylated DNA
11 Immunoprecipitation sequencing (MeDIP-seq) of 14-day-old first and second leaves
12 from two inbred lines of Chinese cabbage that are susceptible or resistant to Fusarium
13 yellows. Model-based analysis for ChIP-seq (MACS) identified DNA methylation
14 peaks in genic regions including 2 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream
15 regions. More than 65 % of genes showed similar patterns of DNA methylation in the
16 genic regions in the two inbred lines. DNA methylation states of the two inbred lines
17 were compared to their transcriptome. Genes having DNA methylation in the intron and
18 the 200 bp upstream and downstream regions were associated with a lower expression
19 level in both lines. A small number of genes showed a negative correlation between
20 difference of DNA methylation levels and difference of transcriptional levels between
21 the two inbred lines, suggesting that DNA methylation in these genes result in
22 transcriptional suppression.

23

24 **Additional keywords:** DNA methylation, MeDIP-seq, Transposable elements, *Brassica*
25 *rapa*, gene expression

26

27 **Introduction**

28 *B. rapa* shows morphological variation (morphotypes), and comprises

1 commercially important vegetable crops consumed worldwide including leafy
2 vegetables such as Chinese cabbage (var. *pekinensis*), pak choi (var. *chinensis*), and
3 komatsuna (var. *perviridis*), root vegetables including turnip (var. *rapa*), and oilseed
4 (var. *oleifera*). Chinese cabbage forms a head with large pale-green colored leaves and
5 wide white midribs and is an important vegetable in Asia. The reference genomes of *B.*
6 *rapa* and its relative species of *B. oleracea* and *B. napus* are available (Wang *et al.*
7 2011; Chalhoub *et al.* 2014; Liu *et al.* 2014; Parkin *et al.* 2014), allowing detailed
8 genetic and evolutionary studies.

9 Epigenetics can be associated with changes in the expression of the genome
10 that do not involve changes in DNA sequence and epigenetic control is known to play
11 an essential role in normal plant development (Fujimoto *et al.* 2012; Osabe *et al.* 2012;
12 Matzke and Mosher 2014). DNA methylation is an epigenetic mark that adds a methyl
13 group to the C-5 position of the cytosine ring (methylcytosine), and can be heritable and
14 influence gene expression, transposon silencing, and genome imprinting. In plants,
15 DNA methylation can occur in three different contexts of CG, CHG, and CHH (where
16 H can be A, C, or T), and is regulated through different pathways (Fujimoto *et al.* 2012;
17 Osabe *et al.* 2012; Matzke and Mosher 2014). DNA methylation can influence gene
18 expression and affect plant phenotype, including agronomical traits. In *Arabidopsis*
19 *thaliana*, DNA methylation that occurs in transcribed regions (gene-body methylation)
20 was associated with high expression levels, whereas genes that are methylated in their
21 promoter regions tended to show tissue-specific expression (Zhang *et al.* 2006).
22 However, in rice, gene repression by DNA methylation in the transcriptional
23 termination regions was stronger than the effect of DNA methylation in the promoter
24 region (Li *et al.* 2012). The DNA methylation state at the whole genome level in *B. rapa*
25 have been examined (Chen *et al.* 2015; Niederhuth *et al.* 2016), but there is no report
26 showing the relationship between DNA methylation and expression levels within a plant
27 or between different lines.

28 Increasing number of reports are revealing the association between DNA

1 methylation and agricultural traits. Flowering in Arabidopsis, fruit ripening in tomato,
2 sex determination in melon, salt-tolerance in wheat, and drought tolerance in rice are
3 some of the traits known to be epigenetically regulated or influenced (Kinoshita *et al.*
4 2006; Martin *et al.* 2009; Karan *et al.* 2012; Wang *et al.* 2014; Liu *et al.* 2015). In *B.*
5 *napus* (rapeseed / canola), QTL analysis based on methylation sensitive amplified
6 polymorphism (MSAP) revealed that 97 % of the methylation pattern of a particular
7 parent line was stably inherited across at least 5 generations and some were linked to
8 agronomical traits (epiQTL) (Long *et al.* 2011). From separate studies of *B. napus* that
9 produced epigenetic recombinant inbred lines (epiRILs) that are epigenetically different
10 but isogenic, artificial selection based on energy use efficiency was associated with
11 particular epigenomic states that led to 5 % yield increase and drought tolerance
12 (Hauben *et al.* 2009; Verkest *et al.* 2015). Treatment of *B. rapa* with 5-azaC, a cytidine
13 analog that can inhibit DNA methylation, demonstrated male sterility, reduced seed size,
14 and a late flowering phenotype, suggesting a strong relationship between DNA
15 methylation and these traits (Amoah *et al.* 2012). Epigenetics in agriculture is becoming
16 increasingly important but epigenetically regulated traits cannot be identified by
17 conventional genomic studies, and cost-effective methods need to be developed to
18 identify trait associated epialleles in various crop species.

19 Recent advances in sequencing technology allow us to investigate the
20 epigenetic states at the genome-wide level, and methods such as WGBS (Whole
21 genome bisulfite sequencing), MBD-seq (Methyl-CpG-binding domain sequencing),
22 EpiRAD-seq (Epi-Restriction site associated DNA sequencing), and MeDIP-seq
23 (Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation sequencing) have been developed for this
24 purpose (Harris *et al.* 2010; Laird 2010; Schield *et al.* 2016). MeDIP-seq is a method to
25 investigate the genome-wide methylation states by high-throughput sequencing
26 enriched for methylated DNA fragments by immunoprecipitation using antibodies
27 raised against methylcytosine. Methylation enriched fragments mapped against the
28 genome will represent defined methylated regions (e.g. promoter, exons, introns etc.)

1 that can then be compared to other samples to identify the changes in DNA methylation.
2 The methylation changes compared to phenotypic, transcriptomic, or proteomic data
3 may help identify agronomically important epialleles that are regulated through DNA
4 methylation.

5 Fusarium yellows (also known as Fusarium wilt) is caused by a soil-borne
6 *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* (*Foc*) or *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *rapae* in *Brassica*
7 vegetables and is an economically important disease for Chinese cabbage (Enya *et al.*
8 2008). Leaf yellowing, wilting, defoliation, stunted growth, and death of seedlings are
9 caused by infection of this pathogen, which invades the host roots and colonizes in their
10 xylem tissues, especially in warm soil. A candidate resistance (*R*) gene against Fusarium
11 yellows has been identified in *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*, and they are orthologous and
12 encodes a TIR-NBS-LRR protein (Lv *et al.* 2014; Shimizu *et al.* 2014, 2015). Resistant
13 and susceptible lines in *B. rapa* have different immune responses against *Foc*
14 inoculation. The resistant lines activates the genes involved in disease resistance such as
15 ‘Systemic acquired resistance’, ‘Regulation of defense response’, and ‘Response to
16 salicylic acid stimulus’ at 24 hours after inoculation (HAI) but not at 72 HAI or in
17 susceptible lines at 24 and 72 HAI, suggesting that the defense response against *Foc*
18 may be established by up-regulating these genes involved in resistance at 24 HAI in
19 resistant lines (Miyaji *et al.* 2017).

20 In this study, to identify the DNA methylated region in *B. rapa*, we performed
21 MeDIP-seq of two inbred lines of *B. rapa*, which show a difference in Fusarium
22 yellows disease resistance caused by infection of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans*
23 (Shimizu *et al.* 2014). DNA methylation states were similar between the two lines, but
24 we identified regions that were specifically methylated in one of the lines. We examined
25 the impact of DNA methylation on transcription by comparing the DNA methylation
26 data to previous RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data generated from samples using the
27 same tissue and stage, but repeated independently (Shimizu *et al.* 2014). Genes having
28 DNA methylation in the intron and the 200 bp upstream and downstream regions tended

1 to be repressed in both lines. A small number of genes showed a negative correlation
2 between difference of DNA methylation levels and difference of transcription levels
3 between the two inbred lines. The knowledge of DNA methylation state at the whole
4 genome level will be useful for examining natural variation of DNA methylation states,
5 change of DNA methylation states by abiotic or biotic stress, or understanding the
6 contribution of DNA methylation to agronomically important traits using segregation of
7 loci.

8

9 **Materials and methods**

10 *Plant materials, DNA extraction, and RNA sequencing*

11 Two Chinese cabbage inbred lines developed in a previous study, RJKB-T23
12 and RJKB-T24, were used as plant materials (Kawamura *et al.* 2016). Seven
13 generations of selfing and selection based on traits concerned with the breeding
14 objective has been performed in both inbred lines. Plants were grown in plastic dishes
15 containing Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar medium supplemented with 1.0 % sucrose
16 (pH5.7) in growth chambers under a 16-h/8-h light/dark cycle at 22 °C.
17 Fourteen-day-old first and second leaves harvested from RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24
18 were used for genomic DNA extraction. Total genomic DNA for MeDIP-seq or
19 chop-PCR was isolated by the Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide method (Murray and
20 Thompson 1980).

21 RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) using 14-day-old first and second leaves has been
22 performed previously without replication for RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Shimizu *et al.*
23 2014), and replication was conducted independently under the same biological (tissues,
24 stages, and growth condition) and technical (50 nt read length with single end on an
25 Illumina HiSeq™ 2000) conditions. The two replicates showed high correlation, $r=0.98$
26 (RJKB-T23) and $r=0.99$ (RJKB-T24).

27

28 *Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP)*

1 MeDIP was performed as described previously using genomic DNAs of
2 14-day-old first and second leaves (Kawanabe *et al.* 2012). The genomic DNA was
3 fragmented by sonication, to sizes ranging from 150 bp to 700 bp (peak size is about
4 300 bp). Anti-methylcytosine antibody (Diagenode, NJ, USA) was used to obtain
5 purified immunoprecipitated DNAs. Enrichment of methylated DNA fragments in the
6 immunoprecipitated DNAs was confirmed by qPCR using the regions that are known to
7 be methylated (positive control), *BrTto1*, *BrSTF7a*, and *BrSTF12b* (Fujimoto *et al.*
8 2008a; Sasaki *et al.* 2011), and non-methylated (negative control), Bra001846 and
9 Bra023446 (Table S1).

10 For qPCR, MeDIP-DNA was amplified using FastStart Essential DNA Green
11 Master (Roche) using a LightCycler Nano (Roche). PCR conditions were 95°C for 10
12 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 15 s, and
13 Melting program (60 °C to 95 °C at 0.1 °C/s). After amplification cycles, each reaction
14 was subjected to melt temperature analysis to confirm single amplified products. Data
15 presented are the average and standard error (SE) from three biological and
16 experimental replications. Ratio of amplification of positive control genes to negative
17 control genes were compared between MeDIP-DNA and input-DNA as templates for
18 confirmation of enrichment of methylated DNA by MeDIP.

19

20 *Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation sequencing (MeDIP-seq)*

21 MeDIP-seq was performed in two biological replicates for 36-bp single-end
22 and 50-bp paired-end sequencing. Each replicates was sown on different days but grown
23 under the same conditions and harvested at the same developmental stage. The samples
24 developmental stages and growth conditions used for MeDIP-seq were the same as
25 those of RNA-seq. We commissioned the second sequencing (50-bp paired-end) to
26 Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI).

27 Samples of immunoprecipitated DNAs and Input-DNA were sequenced by
28 Hiseq2000 (36-bp single-end or 50-bp paired-end) after PCR amplification and size

1 selection (200-300 bp). The reads of MeDIP-seq were purged from low quality reads or
2 adapter sequences using cutadapt version 1.7.1 and Trim Galore! version 0.3.7. Then the
3 reads were mapped to the *B. rapa* reference genome v.1.5 using Bowtie2 version 2.2.3.
4 We performed peak calling on alignment results using Model-based analysis for
5 ChIP-seq (MACS) 2 2.1.0 and identified the regions having DNA methylation as peaks.
6 The MACS callpeak was used with the following options (effective genome size:
7 2.30e+08, band width: 200, model fold: 10-30, tag size: 36). The cutoff of p-value,
8 1.00e-05, was used to call significant peaks.

9 To estimate the difference of methylated genic regions as peak basis between
10 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, the total numbers of methylated genic regions were counted
11 when their total length was over 200 bp and counterpart was 0 bp.

12 To statistically estimate the difference of methylated genic regions between
13 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 using Reads Per Million (RPM) score, a target region that
14 contains a gene, 200 bp upstream and 200 bp downstream was used. The target region
15 was divided equally into 30 divisions as windows. The number of reads mapped to a
16 window was counted and normalized to RPM score for MeDIP-seq and Input-DNA-seq.
17 To normalize the RPM of a window, we subtracted Input-DNA RPM value from MeDIP
18 RPM value for each window. T-statistic of a region was calculated using the difference
19 of RJKB-T23 window's RPM and RJKB-T24 window's RPM. Statistical significance
20 of differences between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 was determined by one-sample t-test.
21 The regions that showed significant differences were selected with q-value < 0.05 and
22 average of window's RPM > 0.3 on either sample.

23

24 *Chop-PCR*

25 Chop-PCR experiment was performed as described by Kawanabe *et al.* 2016.
26 Fifty ng of genomic DNA was digested with *Hpa* II in 20µl reaction mix at 37°C for
27 five hours. After restriction digestion, 1µl of digested DNA was used as template for
28 PCR in 10µl reaction mix. The PCR conditions were 94 °C for 2 min followed by 35

1 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 68 °C for 30 s. Primers used for chop-PCR
2 are listed in Table S1.

3

4 *Gene Ontology analysis*

5 Analysis for enrichment of gene functional ontology terms was completed
6 using the gene ontology (GO) tool agriGO (Du *et al.* 2010) following the methods
7 described by Shimizu *et al.* 2014. Statistical tests for enrichment of functional terms
8 used the hypergeometric test and false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple
9 testing to a level of 1 % FDR.

10

11 **Results**

12 *Methylated DNA Immunoprecipitation sequencing*

13 To identify the DNA methylated regions in *B. rapa*, we performed MeDIP-seq
14 analysis using 14-day-old first and second leaves of Chinese cabbage inbred lines,
15 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. We used HiSeq2000 (36 bp single-end) for sequencing, and
16 87,710,099 and 53,946,789 clean reads were obtained from Input-DNA-seq of
17 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively, and 42,128,140 (48.0 %) and 27,327,630 reads
18 (50.7 %) from RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively, were uniquely mapped to the *B.*
19 *rapa* reference genome (Table 1). From MeDIP-seq with 36 bp single-end, 23,250,396
20 and 25,398,065 clean reads were obtained in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively,
21 and 6,277,802 (27.0 %) and 6,645,462 reads (26.2 %) in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24,
22 respectively, were uniquely mapped to the *B. rapa* reference genome (Table 1).

23 We also sequenced MeDIP-DNA using HiSeq2000 (50 bp paired-end) as a
24 replicate, and 13,355,866 and 14,081,034 clean reads were obtained of which 9,610,672
25 (72.0 %) and 10,096,202 (71.7 %) reads in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively,
26 were uniquely mapped to the *B. rapa* reference genome (Table 1). 50 bp paired-end
27 reads were mapped more successfully than the 36 bp single-end reads (Table 1).
28 However, chromosomal distribution of mapped reads analyzed by the sliding window of

1 100 kb was similar between the replicates in both RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Fig. 1,
2 Fig. S1, S2), and log 10 score of reads per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads
3 (RPKM) in each window correlated significantly between the replicates in both
4 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Fig. 1). We combined the data from the replicates.

5 It is well known that DNA methylation is observed in repetitive sequences
6 such as transposable elements (TEs), so we examined the mapped reads on the
7 interspersed repeats regions (IRRs) such as TEs detected by RepeatMasker. In both lines,
8 the percentages of mapped reads on the IRRs using MeDIP-seq data were higher than
9 those using Input-DNA-seq data (Fig. S3, Table 1).

10 We classified the mapped reads on the genic region into four categories, 2 kb
11 upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream, using Input-DNA-seq and MeDIP-seq
12 data of RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. The proportions of mapped reads in these four
13 categories using MeDIP-seq data were lower than that in Input-DNA-seq in both lines
14 (Table 2). Proportion of mapped reads of MeDIP-seq in the 2 kb upstream and exon
15 regions were higher and lower than those of Input-DNA-seq, respectively, in both lines
16 (Fig. S4, Table 2).

17

18 *Detection of the peaks of methylated regions*

19 Model-based analysis for ChIP-seq (MACS) was used for scanning the DNA
20 methylation peaks, and 45,558 and 49,142 DNA methylation peaks were identified in
21 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively (Table 3). 39,797 (87.4 %) and 42,490 peaks
22 (86.5 %) were found in the IRRs in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively (Table 3).
23 We counted the number of genes having DNA methylation peaks in RJKB-T23, and
24 8,709, 1,950, 2,144, and 6,595 genes had more than one DNA methylation peak within
25 2 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions, respectively (Table 3). In
26 RJKB-T24, 8,474, 2,268, 2,313, and 6,671 genes had more than one DNA methylation
27 peak within 2 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions, respectively
28 (Table 3). More than 66 % of genes having DNA methylation peaks overlapped between

1 the two lines (Fig. S5).

2 More than 79 % of peaks in the 2 kb upstream, intron, or 2 kb downstream
3 regions overlapped with the peaks in the IRRs, while 60 % of peaks in the exon regions
4 overlapped with the peaks in the IRRs in both RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Table 3).
5 Most of the top 20 longest DNA methylation peaks were observed in the IRRs of
6 intergenic regions of RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Fig. S6a, Table S2). We identified the
7 top 20 longest DNA methylation peaks harboring genic regions (2 kb upstream, exon,
8 intron, and 2 kb downstream), and most of the DNA methylation peaks overlapped with
9 IRRs such as retrotransposons (*copia*- or *gypsy*-type) and DNA type transposons
10 (*En-Spm* or *MuDR*) (Fig. S6b, Table S3).

11

12 *Genes having DNA methylation and their expression levels*

13 The level of gene expression of the transcriptomes of 14-day-old first and
14 second leaves of RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Shimizu *et al.* 2014) were categorized into
15 seven groups using log₂ score of fragments per kilobase of transcript per million
16 mapped reads (FPKM) in RJKB-T24, e.g., Group-6 (highest), log₂ score of FPKM (x)
17 is greater than 9.0; Group-5, $6.0 \leq x < 9.0$; Group-4, $3.0 \leq x < 6.0$; Group-3, $0.0 \leq x < 3.0$;
18 Group-2, $-3.0 \leq x < 0.0$; Group-1, $x < -3.0$; Group-0, no read (lowest) (Kawanabe *et al.*
19 2016), and in this study we categorized the gene expression levels in RJKB-T23 using
20 the same criteria (Fig. S7). We classified genes having DNA methylation peaks in 2 kb
21 upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions into these 7 groups of expression
22 levels in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. Of genes having DNA methylation in 2 kb
23 upstream or downstream region, the distribution from group-0 to -6 was similar to that
24 in total genes in both lines (Fig. S7). By contrast, the genes having DNA methylation in
25 exon or intron region were over Group-0 in both lines (Fig. S7). The average of
26 expression levels of genes (log₂ score of FPKM, FPKM > 0.01) having a DNA
27 methylation peak in the 2 kb upstream or downstream region was similar to that in total
28 genes in both lines, while the average expression level of genes having a DNA

1 methylation peak in the exon and intron regions was lower than that of total genes (Fig.
2 2).

3 We calculated the RPKM using mapped reads of MeDIP-seq in six regions (2
4 kb upstream, 200 bp upstream, exon, intron, 200 bp downstream, 2 kb downstream).
5 The correlation coefficient between methylation levels (\log_2 score of RPKM, $\text{RPKM} >$
6 0.01) in each region and the expression levels (\log_2 score of FPKM, $\text{FPKM} > 0.01$) was
7 examined. There was a negative correlation between the methylation levels and
8 expression levels in the 200 bp upstream, intron, 200 bp downstream, or 2 kb
9 downstream regions (Table 4), indicating that DNA methylation in these regions results
10 in the repression of expression.

11 We selected genes having DNA methylation peaks in both exon and intron
12 regions or in all four regions (2 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream) in
13 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. 1,212 and 1,403 genes had DNA methylation peaks in both
14 exon and intron regions in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively, and we performed a
15 Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of these genes. Twenty-four and 33 GO categories were
16 significantly overrepresented in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24, respectively, and the GO
17 categories of ‘Catalytic activity’, ‘Post-embryonic development’, ‘Hydrolase activity’,
18 ‘CUL4 RING ubiquitin ligase complex’, and ‘Nucleotide binding’ were significantly
19 overrepresented in both RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 (Table S4). The 394 and 481 genes
20 that had DNA methylation peaks in all four regions of RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24,
21 respectively, were heavily methylated. GO analysis of these heavily methylated genes
22 was performed, and none of the GO category was significantly overrepresented in both
23 lines.

24

25 *Validation of DNA methylation by chop-PCR*

26 We confirmed the results of MeDIP-seq in the regions by chop-PCR in both
27 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. We assessed ten regions (eight regions having DNA
28 methylation and two regions without) by chop-PCR using the DNA methylation

1 sensitive restriction enzyme *Hpa* II. All eight regions having DNA methylation showed
2 PCR amplification, while two regions not having DNA methylation showed no
3 amplification (Fig. 3).

4

5 *Comparison of the DNA methylated regions between two inbred lines*

6 The DNA methylation states between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 were
7 compared by two methods. First, we compared the DNA methylation states in the genic
8 regions (2 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream) using the data of DNA
9 methylation peaks. 1,756 DNA methylation peaks were observed only in RJKB-T23,
10 but not in RJKB-T24 (termed T23-SMG; T23 specifically methylated genes), and 1,870
11 DNA methylation peaks were observed only in RJKB-T24, but not in RJKB-T23
12 (T24-SMG) (Fig. 4, 5, Fig. S8, Table S5). The regions having differential DNA
13 methylated peaks were observed in the 2 kb upstream and downstream regions rather
14 than exon or intron regions (Fig. 5, Table S5). We examined whether these differential
15 DNA methylation peaks affect the gene expression level using previous RNA-seq data
16 (Shimizu *et al.* 2014). In T23-SMG, 43 of 1,621 genes (2.7 %) showed differential
17 expression, and 19 and 24 genes showed a higher and lower expression level in
18 RJKB-T23 than in RJKB-T24, respectively (Table 5). In T24-SMG, 27 of 1,705 genes
19 (1.6 %) showed differential expression, and 10 and 17 showed a higher and lower
20 expression level in RJKB-T23 than in RJKB-T24, respectively (Table 5). 478 of 1,621
21 T23-SMG (30.0 %) and 623 of 1,705 T24-SMG (36.5 %) were not expressed in either
22 RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24.

23 The differentially methylated regions between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24
24 were also identified using a comparison of reads per million mapped reads (RPM)
25 scores (see materials and methods). 447 genes showed higher DNA methylation levels
26 in RJKB-T23 than RJKB-T24 (termed T23-HMG) (Table S6, Figure S8), and one and
27 three genes showed a higher and lower expression level in RJKB-T23 than in
28 RJKB-T24, respectively (Table 6). 896 genes showed higher DNA methylation levels in

1 RJKB-T24 than RJKB-T23 (T24-HMG) (Table S6, Figure S8), and seven and two
2 genes showed a higher and lower expression level in RJKB-T23 than in RJKB-T24,
3 respectively (Table 6). More than 70 % of differentially methylated genes were not
4 expressed in both RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24.

5 These two analyses revealed that a small number of genes showed negative
6 correlation between a difference of DNA methylation levels and expression levels in
7 RJKB-23 and RJKB-T24, and many genes having differentially DNA methylated states
8 between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24 were not expressed.

9 Using T23- and T24-HMG, we performed a GO analysis. 27 and 47 GO
10 categories were significantly overrepresented in T23- and T24-HMG, respectively, and
11 24 GO categories such as ‘Metabolic process’, ‘Catalytic activity’, ‘Oxidation
12 reduction’, and ‘Nucleotide binding’ overlapped in both T23- and T24-HMG (Table S7).
13 GO categories of ‘Ion binding’, ‘Integral to membrane’, and ‘Structural constituent of
14 cell wall’ were specifically overrepresented in T24-HMG (Table S7).

15

16 *Identification of genes that have DNA methylation under normal condition and their*
17 *gene expression changes by Foc inoculation*

18 We have identified genes whose expression changed by *Foc* inoculation both
19 in Fusarium yellow resistant line, RJKB-T23, and susceptible line, RJKB-T24 (Miyaji
20 *et al.* 2017). We examined whether these genes had DNA methylation peaks based on
21 the data of MeDIP-seq produced in this study. Of 260 differentially expressed genes
22 between *Foc*- and mock-inoculated samples at 24 hours after inoculation (HAI) in
23 RJKB-T23, 98 (37.7 %) genes had DNA methylation peaks in genic regions (2 kb
24 upstream, exon, intron, or 2 kb-downstream) (Table S8). Of 253 differentially expressed
25 genes at 24 HAI in RJKB-T24, 87 (34.4 %) genes had DNA methylation peaks in genic
26 regions, and 36 genes were common between both lines (Table S8). In the resistant line,
27 some genes involved in defense response such as *ACO1* (*ACC OXIDASE 1*), *BGLU18*
28 (*BETA GLUCOSIDASE 18*), *Chitinase*, *ELI3* (*ELICITOR-ACTIVATED GENE 3*),

1 *GSTF3* (*GLUTATHIONE S-TRANSFERASE F3*), *HIR2* (*HYPERSENSITIVE INDUCED*
2 *REACTION 2*), *JAZ1* (*JASMONATE-ZIM-DOMAIN PROTEIN 1*), *NDR1* (*NON*
3 *RACE-SPECIFIC DISEASE RESISTANCE 1*), *RBOHD* (*RESPIRATORY BURST*
4 *OXIDASE HOMOLOGUE D*), *PR-3* (*PATHOGENESIS-RELATED 3*), and *WRKY51*,
5 were up-regulated by *Foc* inoculation at 24 HAI and had corresponding DNA
6 methylation peaks.

7

8 **Discussion**

9 We performed MeDIP-seq using two inbred lines of Chinese cabbage to
10 identify the methylated regions of the DNA. We compared the percentage of multiple
11 and unique mapped reads of 36 bp single-end sequencing runs between Input-DNA-seq
12 and MeDIP-seq, and the percentages in MeDIP-seq were lower than those in
13 Input-DNA-seq in both lines, suggesting that unmapped reads of MeDIP-seq had been
14 omitted. In *B. rapa*, genome sequences of most euchromatic regions were determined,
15 which is approximately half of the total genome size, but the sequences of the
16 heterochromatic regions including the centromeres or pericentromeres were not
17 determined (Wang *et al.* 2011). This indicates that the unmapped reads of MeDIP-seq
18 derived from the heterochromatic regions. DNA methylation is enriched in
19 heterochromatic regions of genomes, which consist of repetitive sequences and TEs in *A.*
20 *thaliana* (Cokus *et al.* 2008; Lister *et al.* 2008; Zhang *et al.* 2008). The presence of
21 DNA methylation in some TEs or repetitive sequences and the association between
22 higher levels of DNA methylation and enrichment of repetitive sequences has been
23 reported in *B. rapa* (Fujimoto *et al.* 2008a; Sasaki *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2015). In this
24 study, more reads were mapped on the IRRs in MeDIP-seq than in Input-DNA-seq,
25 indicating that IRRs in euchromatic regions were highly methylated in *B. rapa*.

26 The DNA methylated regions in genic regions including not only exon and
27 intron regions, but also 2 kb upstream and 2 kb downstream regions were examined.
28 Among four regions, more DNA methylation peaks were detected in the 2 kb upstream

1 and downstream regions than in exon regions, and genes having DNA methylation
2 peaks tended to have IRRs, suggesting that detection of DNA methylation peaks in the
3 genic regions was due to the DNA methylation in IRRs. This suggests the higher
4 percentage of DNA methylation peaks in the 2 kb upstream and 2 kb downstream
5 regions was due to the higher frequency of IRRs in the 2 kb upstream and 2 kb
6 downstream regions than in the exon regions. We performed GO analysis using heavily
7 methylated genes having DNA methylation peaks throughout the genic regions but no
8 category was overrepresented, indicating that DNA methylated region and gene function
9 are independent.

10 DNA methylations in TEs around or within the genic regions can affect gene
11 expression levels (Liu *et al.* 2004; Saze *et al.* 2008; Martin *et al.* 2009; Fujimoto *et al.*
12 2012). The average of gene expression levels in the genes having DNA methylation
13 peaks in the 2 kb upstream and 2 kb downstream regions was similar to that of total
14 genes, but the average of gene expression levels in the genes having DNA methylation
15 peaks in exon and intron regions were lower than that of total genes. In addition, more
16 genes having DNA methylation peaks in exon and intron regions were not expressed.
17 Gene expression levels and DNA methylation levels using RPKM scores in the six
18 regions showed a negative correlation in the 200 bp upstream and intron regions, and
19 strong and weak negative correlations in the 200 bp and 2 kb downstream regions,
20 respectively. The genes having only CG methylation in the exon region, termed gene
21 body methylation, show moderate gene expression levels in many plant species. About
22 14 % of genes have gene body methylation in *A. thaliana*, however, only 0.5 % of genes
23 had gene body methylation in *B. rapa* (Niederhuth *et al.* 2016). The proportion of genes
24 having DNA methylation peaks in exon regions was smaller and genes having DNA
25 methylation peaks in exon regions showed low expression level. This may be due to a
26 small fraction of gene body methylation with moderate gene expression levels and/or
27 preferential detection of densely methylated regions by MeDIP-seq. Thus, the
28 discrepancy in the exon regions between the two analyses (peak and RPKM based) is

1 considered as a mixture of gene body methylation with moderate gene expression level
2 and methylation inducing silencing when calculating the RPKM. From these two
3 analyses, we consider that DNA methylation in intron regions and 200 bp upstream and
4 downstream regions results in silencing of gene expression.

5 We compared the DNA methylation states between two inbred lines by two
6 analyses. We identified regions having differential DNA methylation peaks between the
7 two inbred lines and examined the effect on gene expression levels. Most genes having
8 different DNA methylation peaks between the two lines showed similar gene expression
9 levels and about 30% of genes were not expressed. In T23-SMG and T24-SMG, which
10 is specifically methylated in the genic region of one line, some genes showed
11 differential expression between the two lines, but higher DNA methylation states did not
12 cause lower expression levels. We also detected differentially methylated regions
13 between the two inbred lines using RPM scores. Among differentially methylated genes,
14 there were several genes showing differences of gene expression levels, while more
15 than 70 % of differentially methylated genes were not expressed. There is a weak
16 negative correlation between differences in DNA methylation and differences in gene
17 expression between accessions of rice or *A. thaliana* (Zhang *et al.* 2008; He *et al.* 2010),
18 while other studies have reported no relationship between accessions of rice or *A.*
19 *thaliana* (Vaughn *et al.* 2007; Li *et al.* 2012). There is some evidence that DNA
20 methylation in specific regions, especially in the promoter regions, represses gene
21 expression (Saze and Kakutani 2007; Fujimoto *et al.* 2008b, 2011; Tarutani *et al.* 2010).
22 In this study, we found a few genes showing a negative correlation between differences
23 in DNA methylation and difference in gene expression between the two inbred lines,
24 suggesting that these genes might be regulated by DNA methylation.

25 In this study we identified differentially methylated regions between two
26 inbred lines, which have different disease resistance against Fusarium yellows (Shimizu
27 *et al.* 2014). In *A. thaliana*, it has been shown that DNA methylation plays an important
28 role in disease resistance, and several hypomethylated mutants enhanced disease

1 resistance (Zhu *et al.* 2016). Mutants in the genes involved in DNA demethylase have
2 shown increased susceptibility to the fungal pathogen *F. oxysporum* (Le *et al.* 2014). In
3 addition, DNA methylation states were globally changed in response to biotic stress
4 (Downen *et al.* 2012, Zhu *et al.* 2016). We identified differentially methylated regions
5 between two lines in normal growth condition, and we did not find any changes in the
6 GO categories related to biotic stress. However, we identified the genes that have DNA
7 methylation and changed their expression levels in response to *Foc* inoculation in
8 Fusarium yellows resistant or susceptible line, and some of them are related to defense
9 response and up-regulated in the resistant line. Although we did not examine the DNA
10 methylation state after *Foc* inoculation in this study, *Foc* inoculation may alter DNA
11 methylation state and expression of defense responsive genes. Thus, our MeDIP-seq
12 analysis might be useful to examine the change of DNA methylation states that occur
13 between the resistant and susceptible lines to identify the loci involved during or after
14 *Foc* inoculation.

15 There are many approaches for examining DNA methylation state at the
16 whole genome level that have their own strengths and weaknesses, and the choice of
17 method depends on the number of samples, quality and quantity of DNA, or desired
18 coverage and resolution (Laird 2010). The most comprehensive method to detect
19 methylated regions at the whole genome is WGBS, which provides methylation data at
20 the single base resolution, but this method requires more sequence reads compared with
21 MeDIP-seq. Thus, MeDIP-seq provides information about methylated genomic regions
22 at a fraction of the cost of WGBS. In this study, we identified the methylated genomic
23 regions and differentially methylated regions between the two lines, suggesting that
24 MeDIP-seq is sufficient for producing meaningful results. Further study will be required
25 to confirm that gene expression is regulated by DNA methylation by using a DNA
26 methyltransferase inhibitor or hypomethylated transgenic plants or mutants (Fujimoto *et*
27 *al.* 2008a; Amoah *et al.* 2012), and assessing the impact on phenotypic variation such as
28 biotic stress.

1

2 **Acknowledgements**

3 We thank Dr. Elizabeth S. Dennis for her helpful comments and editing
4 manuscript. This work was supported by MEXT KAKENHI (No. 221S0002), by an
5 Open Partnership Joint Projects of JSPS Bilateral Joint Research Projects (14544567),
6 and by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (2478002), Scientific Research on
7 Innovative Areas (24113509), and Grant-in-Aid Young Scientists (A) (15H05614)
8 (JSPS) to R. Fujimoto, and grants from RIKEN and Japan Science and Technology
9 Agency (JST) [Core Research for Evolutionary Science and Technology
10 (JPMJCR13B4) (CREST)] to M. Seki.

11

12 **References**

13 Amoah S, Kurup S, Rodriguez Lopez CM, Welham SJ, Powers SJ, Hopkins CJ,
14 Wilkinson MJ, King GJ (2012) A hypomethylated population of *Brassica rapa* for
15 forward and reverse epi-genetics. *BMC Plant Biology* **12**, 193. doi:
16 10.1186/1471-2229-12-193.

17 Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IAP, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H,
18 Tong C, Samans B, Corr ea M, Da Silva C, Just J, Falentin C, Koh CS, Le Clainche
19 I, Bernard M, Bento P, Noel B, Labadie K, Alberti A, Charles M, Arnaud D, Guo H,
20 Daviaud C, Alamery S, Jabbari K, Zhao M, Edger PP, Chelaifa H, Tack D, Lassalle
21 G, Mestiri I, Schnell N, Le Paslier MC, Fan G, Renault V, Bayer PE, Golicz AA,
22 Manoli S, Lee TH, Thi VHD, Chalabi S, Hu Q, Fan C, Tollenaere R, Lu Y, Battail
23 C, Shen J, Sidebottom CHD, Wang X, Canaguier A, Chauveau A, B rard A, Deniot
24 G, Guan M, Liu Z, Sun F, Lim YP, Lyons E, Town CD, Bancroft I, Wang X, Meng
25 J, Ma J, Pires JC, King GJ, Brunel D, Delourme R, Renard M, Aury JM, Adams
26 KL, Batley J, Snowdon RJ, Tost J, Edwards D, Zhou Y, Hua W, Sharpe AG,
27 Paterson AH, Guan C, Wincker P (2014) Early allopolyploid evolution in the
28 post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* **345**, 950-953. doi:

- 1 10.1126/science.1253435.
- 2 Chen X, Ge X, Wang J, Tan C, King GJ, Liu K (2015) Genome-wide DNA methylation
3 profiling by modified reduced representation bisulfite sequencing in *Brassica rapa*
4 suggests that epigenetic modifications play a key role in polyploid genome
5 evolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **6**, 836. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00836.
- 6 Cokus SJ, Feng S, Zhang X, Chen Z, Merriman B, Haudenschild CD, Pradhan S,
7 Nelson SF, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE (2008) Shotgun bisulphite sequencing of the
8 *Arabidopsis* genome reveals DNA methylation patterning. *Nature* **452**, 215-219.
9 doi: 10.1038/nature06745.
- 10 Downen RH, Pelizzola M, Schmitz RJ, Lister R, Downen JM, Nery JR, Dixon JE, Ecker
11 JR (2012) Widespread dynamic DNA methylation in response to biotic stress.
12 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
13 **109**, E2183-E2191. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1209329109.
- 14 Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z (2010) agriGO: A GO analysis toolkit for the
15 agricultural community. *Nucleic Acids Research* **38**, W64-W70. doi:
16 10.1093/nar/gkq310.
- 17 Enya J, Togawa M, Takeuchi T, Yoshida S, Tsushima S, Arie T, Sakai T (2008)
18 Biological and phylogenetic characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* complex,
19 which causes yellows on *Brassica* spp., and proposal of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *rapae*,
20 a novel forma specialis pathogenic on *B. rapa* in Japan. *Phytopathology* **98**, 475–
21 483. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-98-4-0475.
- 22 Fujimoto R, Kinoshita Y, Kawabe A, Kinoshita T, Takashima K, Nordborg M, Nasrallah
23 ME, Shimizu KK, Kudoh H, Kakutani T (2008b) Evolution and control of
24 imprinted *FWA* genes in the genus *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genetics* **4**, e1000048. doi:
25 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000048.
- 26 Fujimoto R, Sasaki T, Inoue H, Nishio T (2008a) Hypomethylation and transcriptional
27 reactivation of retrotransposon-like sequences in *ddm1* transgenic plants of
28 *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **66**, 463-473. doi:

1 10.1007/s11103-007-9285-1.

2 Fujimoto R, Sasaki T, Ishikawa R, Osabe K, Kawanabe T, Dennis ES (2012) Molecular
3 mechanisms of epigenetic variation in plants. *International Journal of Molecular*
4 *Science* **13**, 9900-9922. doi: 10.3390/ijms13089900.

5 Fujimoto R, Sasaki T, Kudoh H, Taylor JM, Kakutani T, Dennis ES (2011) Epigenetic
6 variation in the *FWA* gene within the genus *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **66**,
7 831-843. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04549.x.

8 Harris RA, Wang T, Coarfa C, Nagarajan RP, Hong C, Downey SL, Johnson BE, Fouse
9 SD, Delaney A, Zhao Y, Olshen A, Ballinger T, Zhou X, Forsberg KJ, Gu J,
10 Echipare L, O'Geen H, Lister R, Pelizzola M, Xi Y, Epstein CB, Bernstein BE,
11 Hawkins RD, Ren B, Chung WY, Gu H, Bock C, Gnirke A, Zhang MQ, Haussler
12 D, Ecker JR, Li W, Farnham PJ, Waterland RA, Meissner A, Marra MA, Hirst M,
13 Milosavljevic A, Costello JF (2010) Comparison of sequencing-based methods to
14 profile DNA methylation and identification of monoallelic epigenetic
15 modifications. *Nature Biotechnology* **28**, 1097-105. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1682.

16 Hauben M, Haesendonckx B, Standaert E, Van Der Kelen K, Azmi A, Akpo H, Van
17 Breusegem F, Guisez Y, Bots M, Lambert B, Laga B, De Block M (2009) Energy
18 use efficiency is characterized by an epigenetic component that can be directed
19 through artificial selection to increase yield. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
20 *of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 20109-20114. doi:
21 10.1073/pnas.0908755106.

22 He G, Zhu X, Elling AA, Chen L, Wang X, Guo L, Liang M, He H, Zhang H, Chen F,
23 Qi Y, Chen R, Deng XW (2010) Global epigenetic and transcriptional trends
24 among two rice subspecies and their reciprocal hybrids. *The Plant Cell* **22**, 17-33.
25 doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.072041.

26 Karan R, DeLeon T, Biradar H, Subudhi PK (2012) Salt stress induced variation in
27 DNA methylation pattern and its influence on gene expression in contrasting rice
28 genotypes. *PLoS One* **7**, e40203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040203.

1 Kawamura K, Kawanabe T, Shimizu M, Nagano AJ, Saeki N, Okazaki K, Kaji M,
2 Dennis ES, Osabe K, and Fujimoto, R (2016) Genetic distance of inbred lines of
3 Chinese cabbage and its relationship to heterosis. *Plant Gene* **5**, 1-7.
4 doi:10.1016/j.plgene.2015.10.003

5 Kawanabe T, Fujimoto R, Sasaki T, Taylor JM, Dennis ES (2012) A comparison of
6 transcriptome and epigenetic status between closely related species in the genus
7 *Arabidopsis*. *Gene* **506**, 301-309. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.07.003.

8 Kawanabe T, Osabe K, Itabashi E, Okazaki K, Dennis ES, Fujimoto R (2016)
9 Development of primer sets that can verify the enrichment of histone modifications,
10 and their application to examining vernalization-mediated chromatin changes in
11 *Brassica rapa* L. *Genes & Genetic Systems* **9**, 1-10. doi: 10.1266/ggs.15-00058.

12 Kinoshita Y, Saze H, Kinoshita T, Miura A, Soppe WJJ, Koornneef M, Kakutani T
13 (2006) Control of *FWA* gene silencing in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by SINE-related
14 direct repeats. *The Plant Journal* **49**, 38-45. doi:
15 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02936.x

16 Laird PW (2010) Principles and challenges of genome-wide DNA methylation analysis.
17 *Nature Reviews Genetics* **11**, 191-203. doi: 10.1038/nrg2732.

18 Le TN, Schumann U, Smith NA, Tiwari S, Au PC, Zhu QH, Taylor JM, Kazan K,
19 Llewellyn DJ, Zhang R, Dennis ES, Wang MB (2014) DNA demethylases target
20 promoter transposable elements to positively regulate stress responsive genes in
21 *Arabidopsis*. *Genome Biology* **15**, 458. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0458-3.

22 Li X, Zhu J, Hu F, Ge S, Ye M, Xiang H, Zhang G, Zheng X, Zhang H, Zhang S, Li Q,
23 Luo R, Yu C, Yu J, Sun J, Zou X, Cao X, Xie X, Wang J, Wang W (2012)
24 Single-base resolution maps of cultivated and wild rice methylomes and regulatory
25 roles of DNA methylation in plant gene expression. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 300. doi:
26 10.1186/1471-2164-13-300

27 Lister R, O'Malley RC, Tonti-Filippini J, Gregory BD, Berry CC, Millar AH, Ecker JR
28 (2008) Highly integrated single-base resolution maps of the epigenome in

1 *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **133**, 523-536. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.029.

2 Liu J, He Y, Amasino R, Chen X (2004) siRNAs targeting an intronic transposon in the
3 regulation of natural flowering behavior in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes & Development* **18**,
4 2873-2888. doi: 10.1101/gad.1217304

5 Liu R, How-Kit A, Stammitti L, Teyssier E, Rolin D, Mortain-Bertrand A, Halle S, Liu
6 M, Kong J, Wu C, Degraeve-Guibault C, Chapman NH, Maucourt M, Hodgman
7 TC, Tost J, Bouzayen M, Hong Y, Seymour GB, Giovannoni JJ and Gallusci P
8 (2015) A DEMETER-like DNA demethylase governs tomato fruit ripening.
9 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
10 **112**, 10804-10809. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1503362112.

11 Liu S, Liu Y, Yang X, Tong C, Edwards D, Parkin IAP, Zhao M, Ma J, Yu J, Huang S,
12 Wang X, Wang J, Lu K, Fang Z, Bancroft I, Yang TJ, Hu Q, Wang X, Yue Z, Li H,
13 Yang L, Wu J, Zhou Q, Wang W, King GJ, Pires JC, Lu C, Wu Z, Sampath P, Wang
14 Z, Guo H, Pan S, Yang L, Min J, Zhang D, Jin D, Li W, Belcram H, Tu J, Guan M,
15 Qi C, Du D, Li J, Jiang L, Batley J, Sharpe AG, Park BS, Ruperao P, Cheng F,
16 Waminal NE, Huang Y, Dong C, Wang L, Li J, Hu Z, Zhuang M, Huang Y, Huang
17 J, Shi J, Mei D, Liu J, Lee TH, Wang J, Jin H, Li Z, Li X, Zhang J, Xiao L, Zhou Y,
18 Liu Z, Liu X, Qin R, Tang X, Liu W, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Lee J, Kim HH, Denoeud F,
19 Xu X, Liang X, Hua W, Wang X, Wang J, Chalhoub B, Paterson AH (2014) The
20 *Brassica oleracea* genome reveals the asymmetrical evolution of polyploidy
21 genomes. *Nature Communication* **5**, 3930. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4930.

22 Long Y, Xia W, Li R, Wang J, Shao M, Feng J, King GJ, Meng J (2011) Epigenetic QTL
23 mapping in *Brassica napus*. *Genetics* **189**, 1093-1102. doi:
24 10.1534/genetics.111.131615.

25 Lv H, Fang Z, Yang L, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Liu Y, Zhuang M, Yang Y, Xie B, Liu B, Liu J,
26 Kang J, Wang X (2014) Mapping and analysis of a novel candidate Fusarium wilt
27 resistance gene *FOCI* in *Brassica oleracea*. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1094. doi:
28 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1094.

- 1 Martin A, Troadec C, Boualem A, Rajab M, Fernandez R, Morin H, Pitrat M, Dogimont
2 C, Bendahmane A (2009) A transposon-induced epigenetic change leads to sex
3 determination in melon. *Nature* **461**, 1135-1138. doi: 10.1038/nature08498.
- 4 Matzke MA, Moshier RA (2014) RNA-directed DNA methylation: an epigenetic
5 pathway of increasing complexity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**, 394-408. doi:
6 10.1038/nrg3683.
- 7 Miyaji N, Shimizu M, Miyazaki J, Osabe K, Sato M, Ebe Y, Takada S, Kaji M, Dennis
8 ES, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K (2017) Comparison of transcriptome profiles by
9 *Fusarium oxysporum* inoculation between Fusarium yellows resistant and
10 susceptible lines in *Brassica rapa* L. *Plant Cell Reports* in press. doi:
11 10.1007/s00299-017-2198-9.
- 12 Murray MG, Thompson WF (1980) Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant
13 DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research* **8**, 4321-4325. doi:10.1093/nar/8.19.4321
- 14 Niederhuth CE, Bewick AJ, Ji L, Alabady MS, Kim KD, Li Q, Rohr NA, Rambani A,
15 Burke JM, Udall JA, Egesi C, Schmutz J, Grimwood J, Jackson SA, Springer NM,
16 Schmitz RJ (2016) Widespread natural variation of DNA methylation within
17 angiosperms. *Genome Biology* **17**, 194. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1059-0
- 18 Osabe K, Sasaki T, Ishikawa R, and Fujimoto R (2012) The role of DNA methylation in
19 plants. In 'DNA Methylation: Principles, Mechanisms and Challenges'. (Eds
20 T.V.T.a.G. Sablok) pp. 35-66 (NOVA publishers).
- 21 Parkin IAP, Koh C, Tang H, Robinson SJ, Kagale S, Clarke WE, Town CD, Nixon J,
22 Krishnakumar V, Bidwell SL, Denoeud F, Belcram H, Links MG, Just J, Clarke C,
23 Bender T, Huebert T, Mason AS, Pires JC, Barker G, Moore J, Walley PG, Manoli
24 S, Batley J, Edwards D, Nelson MN, Wang X, Paterson AH, King G, Bancroft I,
25 Chalhoub B, Sharpe AG (2014) Transcriptome and methylome profiling reveals
26 relics of genome dominance in the mesopolyploid *Brassica oleracea*. *Genome*
27 *Biology* **15**, R77. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-6-r77.
- 28 Sasaki T, Fujimoto R, Kishitani S, Nishio T (2011) Analysis of target sequences of

1 DDM1s in *Brassica rapa* by MSAP. *Plant Cell Reports* **30**, 81-88. doi:
2 10.1007/s00299-010-0946-1.

3 Saze H, Kakutani T (2007) Heritable epigenetic mutation of a transposon-flanked
4 *Arabidopsis* gene due to lack of the chromatin-remodeling factor DDM1. *The*
5 *EMBO Journal* **26**, 3641-3652. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601788

6 Saze H, Sasaki T, Kakutani T (2008) Negative regulation of DNA methylation in plants.
7 *Epigenetics* **3**, 122-124.

8 Schield DR, Walsh MR, Card DC, Andrew AL, Adams RH, and Castoe TA (2016)
9 EpiRADseq: scalable analysis of genomewide patterns of methylation using
10 next-generation sequencing. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 60-69. doi:
11 10.1111/2041-210X.12435

12 Shimizu M, Fujimoto R, Ying H, Pu ZJ, Ebe Y, Kawanabe T, Saeki N, Taylor JM, Kaji
13 M, Dennis ES, Okazaki K (2014) Identification of candidate genes for fusarium
14 yellows resistance in Chinese cabbage by differential expression analysis. *Plant*
15 *Molecular Biology* **85**, 247-257. doi:10.1007/s11103-014-0182-0

16 Shimizu M, Pu Z, Kawanabe T, Kitashiba H, Matsumoto S, Ebe Y, Sano M, Funaki T,
17 Fukai E, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K (2015) Map-based cloning of a candidate gene
18 conferring Fusarium yellows resistance in *Brassica oleracea*. *Theoretical and*
19 *Applied Genetics* **128**, 119–130. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2416-6.

20 Tarutani Y, Shiba H, Iwano M, Kakizaki T, Suzuki G, Watanabe M, Isogai A, Takayama
21 S (2010) *Trans*-acting small RNA determines dominance relationships in *Brassica*
22 self-incompatibility. *Nature* **466**, 983-986. doi: 10.1038/nature09308.

23 Vaughn MW, Tanurdžić M, Lippman Z, Jiang H, Carrasquillo R, Rabinowicz PD,
24 Dedhia N, McCombie WR, Agier N, Bulski A, Colot V, Doerge RW, Martienssen
25 RA (2007) Epigenetic natural variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Biology* **5**,
26 e174. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050174

27 Verkest A, Byzova M, Martens C, Willems P, Verwulgen T, Slabbinck B, Rombaut D,
28 Van de Velde J, Vandepoele K, Standaert E, Peeters M, Van Lijsebettens M, Van

1 Breusegem F, De Block M (2015) Selection for improved energy use efficiency
2 and drought tolerance in canola results in distinct transcriptome and epigenome
3 changes. *Plant Physiology* **168**, 1338-1350. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00155.

4 Wang M, Qin L, Xie C, Li W, Yuan J, Kong L, Yu W, Xia G, Liu S (2014) Induced and
5 constitutive DNA methylation in a salinity-tolerant wheat introgression line. *Plant*
6 *& Cell Physiology* **55**, 1354-1365. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcu059

7 Wang X, Wang H, Wang J, Sun R, Wu J, Liu S, Bai Y, Mun JH, Bancroft I, Cheng F,
8 Huang S, Li X, Hua W, Wang J, Wang X, Freeling M, Pires JC, Paterson AH,
9 Chalhoub B, Wang B, Hayward A, Sharpe AG, Park BS, Weisshaar B, Liu B, Li B,
10 Liu B, Tong C, Song C, Duran C, Peng C, Geng C, Koh C, Lin C, Edwards D, Mu
11 D, Shen D, Soumpourou E, Li F, Fraser F, Conant G, Lassalle G, King GJ,
12 Bonnema G, Tang H, Wang H, Belcram H, Zhou H, Hirakawa H, Abe H, Guo H,
13 Wang H, Jin H, Parkin IAP, Batley J, Kim JS, Just J, Li J, Xu J, Deng J, Kim JA,
14 Li J, Yu J, Meng J, Wang J, Min J, Poulain J, Wang J, Hatakeyama K, Wu K, Wang
15 L, Fang L, Trick M, Links MG, Zhao M, Jin M, Ramchiary N, Drou N, Berkman
16 PJ, Cai Q, Huang Q, Li R, Tabata S, Cheng S, Zhang S, Zhang S, Huang S, Sato S,
17 Sun S, Kwon SJ, Choi SR, Lee TH, Fan W, Zhao X, Tan X, Xu X, Wang Y, Qiu Y,
18 Yin Y, Li Y, Du Y, Liao Y, Lim Y, Narusaka Y, Wang Y, Wang Z, Li Z, Wang Z,
19 Xiong Z, Zhang Z (2011) The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species *Brassica*
20 *rapa*. *Nature Genetics* **43**, 1035-1039. doi: 10.1038/ng.919.

21 Zhang X, Shiu SH, Cal A, Borevitz JO (2008) Global analysis of genetic, epigenetic and
22 transcriptional polymorphisms in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using whole genome tiling
23 arrays. *PLoS Genetics* **4**, e1000032. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000032.

24 Zhang X, Yazaki J, Sundaresan A, Cokus S, Chan SWL, Chen H, Henderson IR, Shinn
25 P, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE, Ecker JR (2006) Genome-wide high-resolution
26 mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **126**,
27 1189-1201. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.08.003

28 Zhu QH, Shan WX, Ayliffe MA, Wang MB (2016) Epigenetic mechanisms: an

1 emerging player in plant-microbe interactions. *Molecular Plant-Microbe*
2 *Interactions* **29**, 187-196. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-08-15-0194-FI.

3
4 **Figure legends**

5 **Figure 1.** Comparison of the two replicates for MeDIP-seq of RJKB-T23 and
6 RKKB-T24. The RPKM of each sliding window per 100 kb was compared between
7 replicate 1 (single end, 35bp) and replicate 2 (paired end, 50bp) of MeDIP-seq in
8 RJKB-T23 (top left panel) and RJKB-T24 (top right panel). Correlation coefficient in
9 each window of two replications was 0.86 and 0.87 in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-24,
10 respectively. Graphical representation of distribution of DNA methylation levels (log 10
11 score of RPKM) in a sliding 100 kb window across chromosomes of RJKB-T23 and
12 RJKB-T24 is shown in supplementary figure 1 and 2.

13
14 **Figure 2.** Box plots of the expression levels of log 2 score of FPKM in genes having
15 DNA methylation peaks in the 2 kb upstream regions, exon, intron, or 2 kb downstream
16 regions of RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. Total indicates the log 2 score of FPKM in all
17 genes (FPKM < 0.01).

18
19 **Figure 3.** Validation of DNA methylation state by chop-PCR. PCR was performed using
20 genomic DNA digested by *Hpa* II as a template. Eight genes (Bra010682, Bra015165,
21 Bra017403, Bra010590, Bra018542, Bra038263, Bra016440, and Bra037713) were
22 methylated and two genes (Bra001846 and Bra023446) were not methylated. Four
23 independent plants were examined.

24
25 **Figure 4.** Differentially methylated regions between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. DNA
26 methylation peaks were observed only in RJKB-T24 (a) or in RJKB-T23 (b) in the 2 kb
27 upstream regions (upper), exon/intron regions (middle), or 2 kb downstream regions
28 (bottom). Black bar represents 1kb. The boxes of second lane show the interspersed

1 repeats regions (IRRs)

2

3 **Figure 5.** The number of DNA methylation peaks detected only in the genic region (2
4 kb upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions) of RJKB-T23 (T23-SME,
5 specifically methylated genes in T23) or RJKB-T24 (T24-SME).

6

7 **Figure S1.** Chromosomal distribution of DNA methylation levels (log 10 score of
8 RPKM) in a 100 kb sliding window in RJKB-T23.

9

10 **Figure S2.** Chromosomal distribution of DNA methylation levels (log 10 score of
11 RPKM) in a 100 kb sliding window in RJKB-T24.

12

13 **Figure S3.** Percentage of mapped reads on the interspersed repeats regions (IRRs) using
14 Input-DNA-seq and MeDIP-seq data in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. SE, single-end; PE,
15 paired-end

16

17 **Figure S4.** Proportion of mapped reads of Input-DNA-seq and MeDIP-seq in 2 kb
18 upstream, exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions in RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24.

19

20 **Figure S5.** Venn diagram of genes having DNA methylation peaks in 2 kb upstream,
21 exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream regions of RJKB-T23 compared with RJKB-T24.

22

23 **Figure S6.** Visualization of DNA methylation peaks by Integrative Genomics Viewer
24 (IGV). (a) DNA methylation peaks were observed in interspersed repeats regions (IRRs)
25 of intergenic regions. (b) DNA methylation peaks were observed in intron region of
26 Bra033012 overlapped with IRRs.

27

28 **Figure S7.** Classification into seven groups of expression levels of genes having

1 MeDIP-peaks in the 2 kb upstream (Up (2k)), exon, intron, and 2 kb downstream
2 regions (Down (2k)). Group-0, No mapped read; Group-1, $\log_2(\text{FPKM}) < -3.0$; Group-2,
3 $-3.0 \leq \log_2(\text{FPKM}) < 0.0$; Group-3, $0.0 \leq \log_2(\text{FPKM}) < 3.0$; Group-4, $3.0 \leq \log_2$
4 $(\text{FPKM}) < 6.0$; Group-5, $6.0 \leq \log_2(\text{FPKM}) < 9.0$; Group-6, $9.0 \leq \log_2(\text{FPKM})$.

5

6 **Figure S8.** Differentially methylated regions between RJKB-T23 and RJKB-T24. DNA
7 methylation levels in RJKB-T23 were higher (a) or lower (b) than in RJKB-T24 in the
8 genic regions (200 bp upstream/exon/intron/ 200bp downstream). Black bar represents
9 500 bp.