

Rice ripened at lower temperature slows firming of bread

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2019-05-14
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: 青木, 法明, 梅本, 貴之, 田中, 淳一
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://repository.naro.go.jp/records/2531
	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



1

Rice ripened at lower temperature slows firming of bread

2	Noriaki Aoki ¹ , Takayuki Umemoto ² , Junichi Tanaka ^{2,3,*}
3	¹ Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, NARO (2421 Suya, Koshi, Kumamoto 861-
4	1192, Japan)
5	² Institute of Crop Science, NARO (2-1-18 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan)
6	³ University of Tsukuba Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences (2-1-18
7	Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan)
8	*Author for correspondence: Junichi Tanaka, Institute of Crop Science, NARO, 2-1-18 Kannondai, Tsukuba,
9	Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan. Tel: +81-29-838-7135. Email: tanajun@affrc.go.jp
10	Key words: Amylopectin; Bread firming; Firming rate; Rice bread
11	Abstract
12	Firming of bread after baking leads to waste due to loss of palatability. Firming is affected
13	mainly by amylopectin, a starch component. Here, we found that the firming rate of rice bread
14	can be slowed by using flour made from rice grains which filled at lower temperatures,
15	because temperature during grain filling (TGF) affects amylopectin chain synthesis. We found

16 significant positive correlations between TGF and firming rate of gluten-containing rice bread

17 (rice flour 80% + wheat gluten 20%) and rice-flour-containing wheat bread (wheat flour 80%

18 + rice flour 20%) made from samples of rice grains filled at 21.0 to 28.3 °C. Regressions

19 indicate that if TGF is decreased from 27 to 22 °C, the shelf life of gluten-containing rice

20 bread will be extended by about 150%, and that of rice-flour-containing wheat bread by about

21 50%. The slow-firming effect was confirmed by sensory tests. This result is potentially

22 applicable to other cereals such as wheat, whose amylopectin structure is also affected by

23 TGF.

24 Abbreviations: DP, degree of polymerization; TGF, Temperature during grain filling

25 **1. Introduction**

Much bread is wasted owing to the loss of palatability after baking: in some countries, more than 20% of bread is wasted (Jörissen et al., 2015; Quested et al., 2013). Bread firming is one of the most important contributing factors. Reducing bread firming would extend bread shelf life, with great benefit to the bread industry and consumers.

Extensive research has been conducted to reveal the molecular basis of bread firming
(Fadda et al., 2014). Starch, composed of amylose and amylopectin, plays a major role.

1

32 Amylose is essentially a linear molecule containing α -(1-4)-linked glucose units with few

33 branches, whereas amylopectin is a branched molecule with linear chains of α -(1-4)-linked

34 glucose units and α -(1-6)-linked branches (Hizukuri et al., 1989). The firming of bread during

35 aging is attributed mainly to the retrogradation of amylopectin (Goesaert et al., 2005).

36 The relationship between starch and bread firming can be investigated through the comparison of wheat flour with rice flour, because rice proteins do not form a gluten matrix, 37 38 and hence starch properties directly affect bread properties. We previously showed that slow-39 firming rice bread can be made from flour of rice mutants that have a large proportion of short 40 amylopectin chains (Aoki et al., 2015). Biosynthesis of amylopectin in cereals is not only 41 strictly regulated by many genes (Tetlow, 2011), but is also affected by environmental factors 42 such as temperature during grain filling (TGF) (Beckles and Thitisaksakul, 2014; 43 Thitisaksakul et al., 2012). Lower TGF increases the proportion of amylopectin short chains 44 in rice starch (Asaoka et al., 1984; Inouchi et al., 2000; Umemoto et al., 1999). Thus, we 45 hypothesized that bread firming would be slowed by using flour made from rice which has 46 filled at lower temperatures.

In this study, we confirmed that lower TGF is associated with the short chain ratio ofamylopectin, and that lower TGF greatly slows the firming of bread.

49 **2. Materials and methods**

50 2.1 Rice cultivars and cultivation conditions

We planted three rice cultivars on each of three sowing dates (with one exception) in 2 or 3
years (Table 1). All plants were grown in paddy fields (36°0′N, 140°1′E) in Tsukubamirai,
Ibaraki, Japan. Consequently, the crops headed 2 to 4 weeks apart. TGF was defined as the
mean average daily temperature during 20 days after flowering.

55 **2.2 Preparation of rice flour**

56 In order to produce rice flour with low damaged starch content, which is suitable for making

57 high loaf volume of rice bread, wet milling was employed (Araki et al., 2009). Polished rice

58 grains were soaked in a solution of 0.3% trisodium citrate dihydrate and 0.05% pectinase

59 (Pectinase G; Amano Enzyme, Nagoya, Japan) for 1 h at 40 °C. The soaked rice was drained

and then pulverized in a jet mill (SPM-R290; Nishimura Machine Works, Osaka, Japan) at

61 5300 rpm under wet condition without excess water. The flour, in which moisture content was

62 about 20% (data now shown), was dried in a dryer at a controlled temperature of 60 °C

63 overnight.

64 **2.3 Measurements of flour properties**

- 65 We measured flour particle size, damaged starch content, amylose content, protein content,
- 66 pasting temperature, and degree of polymerization (DP) of amylopectin chains as described in
- 67 Aoki et al. (2015). The relative ratio of each chain type of amylopectin was defined according
- to Hanashiro et al. (1996): A chains, $DP \le 12$; B1 chains, $13 \le DP \le 24$; B2 chains, $25 \le DP \le 24$
- 69 36; longer chains, $DP \ge 37$. Amylopectin chain lengths in each sample were measured once.
- 70 Particle size was measured in duplicate. Other values were measured in triplicate.

71 **2.4 Bread making and analysis**

- 72 We made two types of bread: 80% rice flour + 20% gluten ("gluten-containing rice bread")
- and 80% wheat flour + 20% rice flour ("rice-flour-containing wheat bread", made with rice
- from May and July plantings). The gluten-containing rice bread was made according to the
- rstraight dough method (Aoki et al., 2015; Yamauchi et al., 2004) using 600 g of rice flour,
- 76 150 g of vital wheat gluten (Dough Master FR; Riken Vitamin Inc., Tokyo, Japan), 52.5 g of
- sugar, 22.5 g of powdered skimmed milk, 11.3 g of salt, 60 g of shortening, 11.3 g of dry
- 78 yeast, and 562.5g of water. The rice-flour-containing wheat bread was made using 600 g of
- 79 commercial wheat flour (Camellia; Nisshin Flour Milling Inc., Tokyo, Japan), 150 g of rice
- 80 flour, 52.5 g of sugar, 22.5 g of powdered skimmed milk, 11.3 g of salt, 60 g of shortening,
- 81 11.3 g of dry yeast, and 487.5g of water. We put 400 g of dough in loaf pans and allowed it to
- rise for about 1 h at 38 °C and 80% humidity until it reached the top of the pan, and then
- 83 baked the loaves at 185 °C for 28 min.
- 84 Bread volumes and firmness were measured according to Aoki et al. (2015). Bread
- 85 firmness was measured 1, 2, and 3 days after baking. Bread firming rate was defined as the
- 86 increment of bread firmness per day (g/day). To evaluate the sensory firmness, around 20
- 87 people tested rice bread made from flour samples of 'Koshihikari' 2 days after baking. Bread
- 88 made from commercial rice flour (Super Miracle Powder; Namisato Inc., Sano, Japan) and
- 89 gluten was used as a reference for gluten-containing rice bread. Bread made from commercial
- 90 wheat flour was used as a reference for rice-flour-containing wheat bread.

91 **2.5 Statistical analyses**

- 92 Regression analysis and Tukey's test were performed in R statistical software. Statistical
- 93 significance was defined as P < 0.05 or P < 0.01.

94 **3. Results**

95 **3.1 Properties of rice samples**

- 96 TGF values ranged from 21.0 to 28.4 °C (Table 1). TGF was significantly negatively
- 97 correlated with amylose content (r = -0.87, P < 0.01), but differences among samples were
- 98 small (15.1%–22.9%). Chain length distributions of amylopectin were affected more by
- 99 cultivation condition than by cultivar (Supplementary data 1). The molar ratio of DP 6 had the
- 100 highest correlation with TGF among amylopectin chains (Supplementary data 2). TGF was
- 101 significantly correlated with the ratios of A chains, B2 chains, and longer chains (Table 1).

102 **3.2 Bread shape and loaf volume**

- 103 Gluten-containing rice bread caved in at the sides, unlike rice-flour-containing wheat bread
- 104 (Fig. 1). The shapes of rice-flour-containing wheat bread were similar among bread samples.
- 105 There were no large differences in bread weights among bread samples regardless of bread
- 106 type, rice cultivar, or cultivation condition (data not shown). Neither TGF nor amylose
- 107 content was significantly correlated with specific loaf volumes of either bread type (P > 0.05,
- 108 Table 2).

109 **3.3 Bread firming rate**

- 110 TGF values were significantly positively correlated with firming rates of both bread types
- 111 (Fig. 2). Firming rates were significantly (positively or negatively) correlated with fractions of
- amylopectin chains, pasting temperature, amylose content, and protein content, with the
- 113 exception of the ratio of B1 chains in gluten-containing rice bread (Table 3). It is noteworthy
- 114 that amylose content was negatively correlated with firming rate. The ratio of DP 6 had the
- 115 greatest correlation with firming rate among amylopectin chains (Supplementary data 2). All
- 116 bread firmness scores are shown in Supplementary data 3.

117 **3.4 Sensory test of 'Koshihikari' rice bread**

- 118 Gluten-containing rice bread made from rice transplanted in July and therefore cooler TGF
- 119 was significantly softer (P < 0.05) than that made from rice transplanted in May in each year
- 120 (Fig. 3A–C). Rice-flour-containing wheat bread made from rice transplanted in July was non-
- significantly softer (P < 0.05) than that made from rice transplanted in May (Fig. 3D, E).

122 **4. Discussion**

123 4.1 Close relationship between TGF and bread firming rate

124 Our results show significant correlations among TGF, the ratios of amylopectin chain lengths,

- and firming of rice bread (Fig. 2; Tables 1, 3; Supplementary data 2). The relationship
- between TGF and amylopectin chains was consistent with other studies (Asaoka et al., 1984;
- 127 Inouchi et al., 2000; Umemoto et al., 1999). The lower firming rate of rice bread might be
- 128 due to the higher proportion of short amylopectin chains, because slow-firming rice bread can
- be made from flour of rice mutants that have a large proportion of short amylopectin chains
- 130 (Aoki et al., 2015). The regression equations in Figure 2 indicate that if TGF is reduced from
- 131 27 to 22 °C, the firming rate will be reduced from 39.1 to 15.7 g/day (2.49:1) in gluten-
- 132 containing rice bread, and from 87.6 to 59.4 g/day (1.47:1) in rice-flour-containing wheat
- 133 bread. This means that shelf life would be increased by about 150% in gluten-containing rice
- 134 bread and by about 50% in rice-flour-containing wheat bread. This would make rice grown in
- 135 cool conditions, for example in northern Japan or in the highlands, valuable for making slow-
- 136 firming bread.

137 The significant high correlations indicate that TGF or pasting temperature (Table 3) can be

138 used as an indicator of bread firming.

139 **4.2 Possible effects of other constituents on bread firming rate**

140 The significant negative correlation between TGF and amylose content (Table 1) was

- 141 consistent with other studies (Asaoka et al., 1985; Inouchi et al., 2000; Umemoto et al., 1999).
- 142 Although Bhattacharya et al. (2002) and Takahashi et al. (2009) found that amylose has an
- 143 effect on firming of bread, we found the opposite (Table 3), probably because amylopectin
- has greater effects than amylose. Protein content and damaged starch content were negatively
- 145 correlated with bread firming rate (data not shown). Further studies are needed to clarify their
- 146 relationships with bread quality. There was no significant correlation between particle size
- 147 and bread firming rate (data not shown). Amylase retards bread firming (Gujral et al., 2003),
- 148 but the effect of endogenous α -amylase is probably negligible because amylase activity in
- rice endosperm is low (Shinke et al., 1973) and it becomes lower at lower ambient
- 150 temperatures (Yamakawa et al., 2007).

151 **4.3 Potential applications of gluten-free rice bread**

152 Rice flour is useful for making gluten-free bread, but rice bread firms faster than wheat bread

153 (Kadan et al., 2001) and has a short shelf life (Nishita et al., 1976). We did not investigate the

- 154 properties of gluten-free bread made from our rice samples, but we suppose that softer gluten-
- 155 free bread can be made from rice that filled at low TGF and thus has a high amylopectin
- 156 short-chain ratio, because flour from mutants with higher amylopectin short-chain ratios led

- 157 to softer bread (Aoki et al., 2015), but with a smaller loaf volume than control breads.
- 158 Therefore, it is possible that gluten-free breads made from low-TGS rice will also have small
- 159 loaf volumes because of the high proportion of amylopectin short chains (Table 1). If high-
- 160 amylose cultivars are used for making gluten-free bread, the bread may have a larger loaf
- 161 volume than bread made from rice cultivars with moderate amylose contents such as
- 162 'Koshihikari', because amylose content is significantly positively correlated with the specific
- 163 loaf volume of rice bread (Aoki et al., 2012).

164 **4.4 Possibility of application to other cereals**

- 165 We used rice flour, but our results could be applicable to other cereals such as wheat. Matsuki 166 et al. (2003) similarly found in wheat that a lower TGF led to an increase in the amylopectin 167 short-chain ratio, and a decrease in the long-chain ratio. So slow-firming wheat bread might 168 be made with low-TGF wheat flour. As bread wheat is a hexaploid species, it is much harder 169 to breed mutant lines with short amylopectin chains than in diploid species like maize or rice. 170 However, TGF can be altered relatively easily by changing the sowing date or by growing 171 cultivars with earlier flowering periods. A similar tendency between TGF and amylopectin 172 short chains has been reported in maize (Lu et al., 1996), sorghum (Li et al., 2013), and sweet 173 potato (Noda et al., 2001). If the shelf lives of foods can be increased by changing growing 174 conditions, food waste will be reduced, with benefits for both the food industry and
- 175 consumers.

176 Acknowledgements

- 177 This study was supported by the Tojuro Iijima Memorial Foundation for the Promotion of
- 178 Food Science and Technology.

179 **References**

- 180 Aoki, N., Umemoto, T., Hamada, S., Suzuki, K., Suzuki, Y., 2012. The amylose content and
- amylopectin structure affect the shape and hardness of rice bread. Journal of Applied
 Glycoscience 59, 75–82.
- Aoki, N., Umemoto, T., Okamoto, K., Suzuki, Y., Tanaka, J., 2015. Mutants that have shorter
 amylopectin chains are promising materials for slow-hardening rice bread. Journal of
 Cereal Science 61, 105–110.
- 186 Araki, E., Ikeda, M.T., Ashida, K., Takata, K., Yanaka, M., Iida, S., 2009. Effects of rice flour
- 187 properties on specific loaf volume of one-loaf bread made from rice flour with wheat

- 188 vital gluten. Food Science and Technology Research 15, 439-448.
- 189 Asaoka, M., Okuno, K., Sugimoto, Y., Kawakami, J., Fuwa, H., 1984. Effect of
- environmental temperature during development of rice plants on some properties of
 endosperm starch. Starch/Stärke 36, 189–193.
- 192 Asaoka, M., Okuno, K., Sugimoto, Y., Fuwa, H., 1985. Developmental changes in the
- structure of endosperm starch of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Agricultural and Biological
 Chemistry 49, 1973–1978.
- Beckles, D.M., Thitisaksakul, M., 2014. How environmental stress affects starch composition
 and functionality in cereal endosperm. Starch/Stärke 66, 58–71.
- Bhattacharya, M., Erazo-Castrejón, S. V, Doehlert, D.C., McMullen, M.S., 2002. Staling of
 bread as affected by waxy wheat flour blends. Cereal Chemistry 79, 178–182.
- Fadda, C., Sanguinetti, A.M., Del Caro, A., Collar, C., Piga, A., 2014. Bread staling: updating
 the view. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 13, 473–492.
- 201 Goesaert, H., Brijs, K., Veraverbeke, W.S., Courtin, C.M., Gebruers, K., Delcour, J.A., 2005.
- Wheat flour constituents: how they impact bread quality, and how to impact their
 functionality. Trends in Food Science & Technology 16, 12–30.
- Gujral, H.S., Haros, M., Rosell, C.M., 2003. Starch hydrolyzing enzymes for retarding the
 staling of rice bread. Cereal Chemistry 80, 750–754.
- Hanashiro, I., Abe, J., Hizukuri, S., 1996. A periodic distribution of the chain length of
 amylopectin as revealed by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography.
 Carbohydrate Research 283, 151–159.
- Hizukuri, S., Takeda, Y., Maruta, N., Juliano, B.O., 1989. Molecular structures of rice starch.
 Carbohydrate Research 189, 227–235.
- Inouchi, N., Ando, H., Asaoka, M., Okuno, K., Fuwa, H., 2000. The effect of environmental
 temperature on distribution of unit chains of rice amylopectin. Starch/Stärke 52, 8–12.
- 213 Jörissen, J., Priefer, C., Bräutigam, K.-R., 2015. Food waste generation at household level:
- results of a survey among employees of two European research centers in Italy and
 Germany. Sustainability 7, 2695–2715.
- Kadan, R.S., Robinson, M.G., Thibodeaux, D.P., Pepperman, A.B., 2001. Texture and other
 physicochemical properties of whole rice bread. Journal of Food Science 66, 940–944.
- Li, E., Hasjim, J., Singh, V., Tizzotti, M., Godwin, I.D., Gilbert, R.G., 2013. Insights into
- sorghum starch biosynthesis from structure changes induced by different growth
 temperatures. Cereal Chemistry 90, 223–230.
- 221 Lu, T., Jane, J., Keeling, P.L., Singletary, G.W., 1996. Maize starch fine structures affected

- by ear developmental temperature. Carbohydrate Research 282, 157–170.
- Matsuki, J., Yasui, T., Kohyama, K., Sasaki, T., 2003. Effects of environmental temperature
 on structure and gelatinization properties of wheat starch. Cereal Chemistry 80, 476–480.
- Nishita, K.D., Roberts, R.L., Bean, M.M., Kennedy, B.M., 1976. Development of a yeastleavened rice-bread formula. Cereal Chemistry 53, 626–635.
- Noda, T., Kobayashi, T., Suda, I., 2001. Effect of soil temperature on starch properties of
 sweet potatoes. Carbohydrate Polymers 44, 239–246.
- Quested, T., Ingle, R., Parry, A., 2013. Household food and drink waste in the United
 Kingdom 2012. Waste and Resources Action Programme, Banbury.
- 231 Shinke, R., Nishira, H., Mugibayashi, N., 1973. Types of amylases in rice grains. Agricultural
- and Biological Chemistry 37, 2437–2438.
- Takahashi, M., Homma, N., Morohashi, K., Nakamura, K., Suzuki, Y., 2009. Effect of rice
 cultivar characteristics on the rice flour bread quality. Journal of the Japanese Society for
- Food Science and Technology 56, 394–402 (in Japanese).
- Tetlow, I.J., 2011. Starch biosynthesis in developing seeds. Seed Science Research 21, 5–32.
- Thitisaksakul, M., Jiménez, R.C., Arias, M.C., Beckles, D.M., 2012. Effects of environmental
 factors on cereal starch biosynthesis and composition. Journal of Cereal Science 56, 67–
 80.
- 240 Umemoto, T., Terashima, K., Nakamura, Y., Satoh, H., 1999. Differences in amylopectin
- structure between two rice varieties in relation to the effects of temperature during grainfilling. Starch/Stärke 51, 58–62.
- Yamakawa, H., Hirose, T., Kuroda, M., Yamaguchi, T., 2007. Comprehensive expression
 profiling of rice grain filling-related genes under high temperature using DNA
- 245 microarray. Plant physiology 144, 258–277.
- 246 Yamauchi, H., Noda, T., Matsuura-Endo, C., Takigawa, S., Saito, K., Oda, Y., Funatsuki, W.,
- 247 Iriki, N., Hashimoto, N., 2004. Bread-making quality of wheat/rice flour blends. Food
- 248 Science and Technology Research 10, 247–253.

249 Tables

250

251 Figure captions

- 252 Figure 1 Shapes of loaves made from 'Koshihikari' rice flour derived from plants
- transplanted in the indicated months each year. Loaves made from 'Hitomebore' and

- 254 'Takanari' flour were similar (data not shown).
- 255 ^a 80% rice flour + 20% wheat gluten.
- 256 ^b 80% wheat flour + 20% rice flour.
- 257 Figure 2 Correlation of temperature during ripening and bread firming rate. A: Gluten-
- 258 containing rice bread. B: Rice-flour-containing wheat bread.
- 259 Figure 3 Sensory evaluation of breads made with flour of 'Koshihikari' rice transplanted in
- 260 May or July. A–C: Gluten-containing rice bread. D, E: Rice-flour-containing wheat bread.
- 261 Rice bread made from commercial rice flour was used as a reference for gluten-containing
- rice bread. Bread made from commercial wheat flour was used as a reference for rice-flour-
- 263 containing wheat bread. Softness was scored from -3 (very hard) to 3 (very soft), with that of
- reference bread designated as 0.

265 Highlights

- Slow-firming rice bread was made from rice that filled at lower temperatures.
- Temperature during grain filling was positively correlated with firming rate of bread.
- The slow-firming effect was caused by the increase of short amylopectin chains.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3

Cultivar	Yea r	Sowing date	Transplanti ng date	Heading date	Temperature during grain filling (°C) ^a	Average particle size (µm)	Damaged starch content (%)	Amylose content (%)
Hitomebore	2010	16-Apr	14-May	28-Jul	27.8	26.0	2.2 ± 0.0 fh	$17.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ efg}$
		19-May	17-Jun	14-Aug	28.3	27.6	2.4 ± 0.1 cdf	16.2 ± 0.5 fh
		1-Jul	22-Jul	12-Sep	21.3	29.2	2.7 ± 0.1 bc	$21.9\pm0.2\ a$
	2011	16-Apr	11-May	29-Jul	27.0	32.9	2.0 ± 0.0 fh	16.1 ± 0.3 gh
		19-May	9-Jun	12-Aug	25.7	33.6	$2.1\pm0.0\ fh$	$16.9 \pm 0.7 \text{ efg}$
		11-Jun	9-Jul	2-Sep	25.5	37.2	$2.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ bd}$	$17.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ df}$
Koshihikari	2009	17-Apr	14-May	7-Aug	25.3	32.9	1.9 ± 0.1 gh	18.3 ± 0.2 cd
		27-May	18-Jun	24-Aug	22.5	31.2	2.4 ± 0.4 cde	$20.0\pm0.3\ b$
		3-Jul	29-Jul	23-Sep	21.0	33.1	$3.4 \pm 0.1 \ a$	$22.5\pm0.2\ a$
	2010	16-Apr	14-May	1-Aug	27.9	27.4	$2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ efg}$	17.0 ± 0.3 efg
		19-May	17-Jun	15-Aug	28.4	29.5	$1.7\pm0.0\ h$	15.1 ± 0.3 h
		1-Jul	22-Jul	9-Sep	22.0	38.5	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ df}$	19.3 ± 0.2 bc
	2011	16-Apr	11-May	29-Jul	27.0	32.8	2.0 ± 0.0 fh	16.3 ± 0.1 fh
		19-May	9-Jun	12-Aug	25.7	34.4	$1.7\pm0.0\ h$	16.2 ± 0.3 fh
		11-Jun	9-Jul	26-Aug	25.6	39.7	$2.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ df}$	16.0 ± 0.6 gh
Takanari	2009	17-Apr	14-May	12-Aug	25.0	32.2	$2.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ efg}$	$17.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ de}$
		27-May	18-Jun	31-Aug	21.3	29.1	2.7 ± 0.0 bc	$22.8\pm0.3\ a$
	2011	16-Apr	14-May	4-Aug	26.9	28.9	$2.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ efg}$	$16.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ fg}$
		19-May	9-Jun	15-Aug	25.5	33.9	$2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ efg}$	$17.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ df}$
		11-Jun	9-Jul	7-Sep	23.7	29.0	$2.9\pm0.1\ b$	$22.9\pm0.5\ a$
Correlation co	oefficien	t with temp	- 0.24 ns	- 0.70**	- 0.87**			

Table 1 Properties of rice flour samples

^aMean average daily temperature during 20 days after heading.

^b The temperature at the onset of the rise in viscosity was determined as the pasting temperature.

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05 (Tukey's range test). **P < 0.01.

Protein content (%)	Pasting temperature (°C) ^b
$5.3 \pm 0.0 \text{ n}$	71.9 ± 0.9 acd
$6.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ jkl}$	$73.0 \pm 0.5 a$
$8.6\pm0.0\;b$	66.5 ± 0.8 hi
6.1 ± 0.1 ijkl	71.9 ± 0.5 acd
6.3 ± 0.0 ghj	$70.5\pm0.4~def$
6.6 ± 0.0 fg	$69.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ fg}$
5.3 ± 0.1 n	70.3 ± 0.4 ef
6.2 ± 0.1 hjk	67.8 ± 0.0 gh
$9.7 \pm 0.1 \ a$	$65.3\pm0.4~i$
$5.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ mn}$	$72.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ ab}$
5.9 ± 0.0 jm	$73.1\pm0.8\ a$
$9.6 \pm 0.1 \ a$	66.7 ± 0.4 hi
5.8 ± 0.1 lm	72.3 ± 0.4 ac
6.4 ± 0.1 ghi	71.2 ± 0.8 bce
$7.2\pm0.0\;d$	$70.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ cf}$
$6.7 \pm 0.0 \text{ ef}$	$69.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ f}$
$7.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ de}$	66.7 ± 0.5 hi
$5.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ km}$	$70.8 \pm 0.0 \text{ cf}$
6.4 ± 0.4 fh	$70.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ ef}$
$8.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ c}$	65.5 ± 0.4 i
- 0.78**	0.95**

Cultivor	Voor	Transplanti	Gluten-containing	Rice flour
Cultival	i cai	ng month	rice bread ^a	containing bread ^b
Hitomebore	2010	May	4.1 ± 0.0 ac	$3.7 \pm 0.0 \text{ d}$
		June	3.9 ± 0.1 ac	-
		July	3.9 ± 0.2 ac	3.9 ± 0.1 abc
	2011	May	4.0 ± 0.3 ac	3.9 ± 0.1 abc
		June	3.9 ± 0.1 ac	-
		July	$4.2 \pm 0.0 \text{ ab}$	$3.6 \pm 0.0 \text{ d}$
Koshihikari	2009	May	4.2 ± 0.2 ac	$3.8 \pm 0.0 \text{ bd}$
		June	4.2 ± 0.1 ac	-
	_	July	3.8 ± 0.1 bc	$3.8 \pm 0.0 \text{ cd}$
	2010	May	4.1 ± 0.5 ac	4.1 ± 0.0 a
		June	4.2 ± 0.1 ab	-
		July	$3.6 \pm 0.1 \ c$	3.9 ± 0.1 abc
	2011	May	3.9 ± 0.3 ac	4.0 ± 0.1 ab
		June	$4.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ ab}$	-
		July	$3.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ bc}$	$4.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ ab}$
Takanari	2009	May	4.5 ± 0.1 a	-
		June	3.9 ± 0.0 bc	-
	2011	May	3.9 ± 0.3 bc	4.0 ± 0.1 ab
		June	4.0 ± 0.2 ac	-
		July	3.8 ± 0.1 bc	$4.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ ab}$
Correlation coe	efficient	t with	0.36 ns	- 0.15 ns
Completion	ring gra	un ming		
amylose conter	ot	l with	-0.35 ns	- 0.08 ns
any lose conter	11			

Table 2 Loaf volumes of breads made with each rice sample.

a 80% rice flour + 20% wheat gluten.

^b 80% wheat flour + 20% rice flour.

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05 (Tukey's range test). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.05

	Gluten-containing rice bread ^a	Rice flour containing bread ^b
A chain ratio of amylopecin	-0.82 **	-0.85 **
B1 chain ratio of amylopecin	0.39 ns	0.68 **
B2 chain ratio of amylopecin	0.81 **	0.81 **
Long chain ratio of amylopecin	0.82 **	0.80 **
Pasting temperature	0.85 **	0.97 **
Amylose content	-0.72 **	-0.90 **
Protein content	-0.74 **	-0.92 **

Table 3 Coefficients of correlation with bread firming rate.

a 80% rice flour + 20% wheat gluten.

^b 80% wheat flour + 20% rice flour.

Supplementary	data 1:	Relative	molar ratios	of amyle	opectin o	chains.

			Hiton	nebore						K	oshihil	cari						akana	i	
DP	<u> </u>	2010	T 1	<u> </u>	2011	T 1	<u>.</u>	2009	T 1	<u>.</u>	2010	T 1	<u></u>	2011	T 1	20	09	<u>.</u>	2011	
5	0.10	0 13	0.32	0.11	0 15	<u>July</u>	May	June 0.16	<u>0.22</u>	0.1.1	0 10	0.46	0.12	0 10	<u>July</u>	Nay	<u>0 10</u>	0.11	June 0.11	<u> </u>
6	0.10	0.15	1.63	0.93	1.08	1.27	1 14	1 31	1.69	0.92	1.00	1.67	0.12	1 17	1.21	1 23	1 45	1.07	1 14	1
7	2.29	2.40	2.91	2.32	2.48	2.69	2.44	2.55	2.89	2.25	2.42	3.01	2.41	2.51	2.54	2.58	2.71	2.51	2.52	2.
8	4.44	4.65	4.54	4.43	4.54	4.90	4.28	4.19	4.20	4.19	4.46	4.64	4.49	4.30	4.31	4.26	4.15	4.47	4.36	4.
9	7.04	7.18	7.25	6.95	7.07	7.29	7.09	7.01	7.01	6.84	7.01	7.34	7.16	7.03	7.08	7.01	7.04	7.13	7.06	7.
10	8.47	8.55	8.74	8.35	8.46	8.67	8.78	8.72	8.75	8.42	8.45	8.94	8.69	8.62	8.74	8.59	8.70	8.53	8.49	8.
11	8.80	8.84	9.10	8.68	8.86	9.25	9.15	9.12	9.17	8.77	8.77	9.27	9.01	8.96	9.17	8.91	9.12	8.92	8.95	8.
12	8.71	8.71	8.83	8.57	8.65	8.78	9.09	9.08	9.14	8.74	8.69	9.06	8.92	8.91	9.01	8.86	9.03	8.81	8.81	8.
13	7.77	7.80	7.91	7.67	7.76	7.91	8.09	8.07	8.18	7.84	7.81	8.16	7.94	7.99	8.05	7.99	8.17	7.89	7.95	7
14	7.37	7.35	7.33	7.26	7.33	7.37	7.61	7.56	7.53	7.42	7.36	7.55	7.46	7.45	7.52	7.47	7.59	7.43	7.46	7
15	6.78	6.76	6.68	6.70	6.75	6.74	6.99	6.94	6.91	6.85	6.77	6.86	6.84	6.85	6.90	6.85	6.96	6.86	6.91	6
16	5.96	5.92	5.88	5.89	5.94	5.91	6.14	6.12	6.12	6.04	5.95	6.03	6.00	6.06	6.03	6.06	6.20	6.05	6.10	6
1/ 10	4.88	4.85	4.89	4.85	4.89	4.84	5.04	5.09	5.12	4.98	4.91	5.02	4.91	5.01	4.99	5.06	5.20	4.98	5.05	2
10	5.98 2.27	3.94 2.22	4.02	3.97	4.01	2.95	4.00	4.14	4.17	4.07	4.01	4.07	3.99	4.08	4.09	4.14	4.22	4.07	4.13	4
20	2.57	5.55 2.66	5.50 2.57	2.27	2.27	5.20 2.57	5.27 2.48	2.50	2.21	2.50	2.50	5.21 2.37	2.22	2.57	2.50	2.40	2.56	2.57	2.63	2 2
20	2.09	2.00	2.57	2.73	2.70	2.37	2.40	1.08	2.40	2.07	2.00	2.37	2.02	2.05	2.01	2.00	2.50	2.05	2.03	1
21	1.68	1.67	1.55	1 73	1 69	1.56	1.90	1.50	1.85	1.64	1 64	1.75	1.61	1.58	1.56	2.08	1.94	1.59	1 57	1
23	1 31	1.30	1.33	1 37	1.32	1.20	1.40	1.51	1.06	1.04	1 29	1.02	1.01	1.22	1.30	1.01	1.40	1.37	1.21	1
24	1.04	1.04	0.94	1 10	1.03	0.90	0.89	0.91	0.83	1.02	1.02	0.79	0.99	0.96	0.95	0.99	0.86	0.98	0.96	0
25	0.83	0.83	0.74	0.88	0.85	0.82	0.70	0.71	0.65	0.81	0.81	0.61	0.79	0.76	0.74	0.78	0.67	0.78	0.75	0
26	0.68	0.68	0.59	0.71	0.66	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.52	0.66	0.66	0.51	0.64	0.61	0.60	0.63	0.53	0.65	0.62	0
27	0.56	0.56	0.49	0.59	0.55	0.49	0.47	0.47	0.43	0.54	0.55	0.41	0.53	0.50	0.49	0.51	0.44	0.52	0.49	0
28	0.46	0.46	0.40	0.49	0.45	0.41	0.38	0.38	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.33	0.43	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.36	0.43	0.41	0
29	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.41	0.38	0.35	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.38	0.38	0.28	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.30	0.36	0.34	0
30	0.32	0.32	0.29	0.35	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.32	0.32	0.24	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.25	0.30	0.29	0
31	0.28	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.28	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.26	0.25	0
32	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.19	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.24	0.23	0
33	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.18	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.21	0
34	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.20	0
35	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.20	0
36	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0
3/	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0
38	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0
39 40	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23	0
40	0.20	0.24	0.23	0.27	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0
41	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.27	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.28	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0
43	0.20	0.20	0.24	0.29	0.28	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.20	0
44	0.20	0.27	0.24	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.30	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.20	0.27	0
45	0.30	0.28	0.23	0.31	0.28	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.31	0.29	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.27	0
46	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.31	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.22	0.31	0.29	0.21	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.26	0.26	0
47	0.29	0.27	0.21	0.30	0.26	0.22	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.30	0.28	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.25	0
48	0.28	0.26	0.20	0.29	0.25	0.21	0.24	0.22	0.20	0.29	0.27	0.19	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.24	0
49	0.26	0.25	0.18	0.28	0.24	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.28	0.26	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.23	0.23	0
50	0.25	0.23	0.17	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.26	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.22	0
51	0.23	0.22	0.16	0.24	0.20	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.24	0.23	0.15	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.20	0
52	0.21	0.20	0.14	0.22	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.23	0.21	0.14	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.18	0
53	0.20	0.19	0.13	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.21	0.20	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.16	0
54	0.18	0.17	0.11	0.19	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.15	0
55	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.13	0
56	0.15	0.14	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.12	0
57	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.14	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.11	0
58 50	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0
59 60	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0
0U 61	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.08	0.08	0
67	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0
02 62	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.00	0
64	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.00	0
0-+	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.00	V.V.J.J	0.05	V.V.)	0.04	V.UU	V.V.J.	

Supplementary data 2: Coefficients of correlation with relative molar ratios o

	Tomporatura during	Firming rate	Firming rate				
DP	rein filling	(gluten-containing	(rice flour-				
	grain ming	rice bread)	containing bread)				
5	-0.48 **	-0 64 **	-0.89 **				
6	-0.88 **	-0.87 **	-0.99 **				
7	-0.82 *	-0.84 *	-0.96 *				
, 0	-0.32	-0.04	-0.90				
0	0.23	0.04	-0.14				
9	-0.51	-0.39	-0.40				
10	-0./1 **	-0./1 **	-0.61 *				
11	-0.73 **	-0.80 **	-0.60 *				
12	-0.71 **	-0.64 **	-0.49				
13	-0.76 *	-0.71 *	-0.66 *				
14	-0.55 *	-0.48 *	-0.29				
15	-0.37	-0.27	-0.02				
16	-0.45 **	-0.30	-0.13				
17	-0.64 **	-0.50 *	-0.54				
18	-0.63 *	-0.50 *	-0.60 *				
19	0 33	0.43	0.55				
20	0.70 **	0.72 **	0.74 **				
21	0.75 **	0.76 **	0.78 **				
21	0.78 **	0.78 **	0.70 **				
22	0.78 **	0.70 **	0.79 **				
23	0.78 **	0.78 **	0.80 **				
24	0.80 **	0.84 **	0.80 **				
25	0.79 **	0.75 **	0.82 **				
26	0.84 **	0.86 **	0.83 **				
27	0.83 **	0.84 **	0.86 **				
28	0.83 **	0.84 **	0.85 **				
29	0.83 **	0.83 **	0.83 **				
30	0.82 **	0.82 **	0.81 **				
31	0.79 **	0.81 **	0.76 **				
32	0.78 **	0.79 **	0.75 *				
33	0 74 **	0.75 **	0.67 *				
34	0.68 **	0.70 **	0.57 *				
35	0.50 *	0.70	0.37				
36	0.09	0.54 *	0.47				
27	0.49	0.34	0.30				
20	0.43	0.49	0.34				
38	0.40 *	0.50 *	0.30				
39	0.50 **	0.54 **	0.42				
40	0.56 **	0.58 **	0.50				
41	0.61 **	0.63 **	0.57 *				
42	0.67 **	0.69 **	0.64 **				
43	0.73 **	0.73 **	0.70 **				
44	0.76 **	0.77 **	0.74 **				
45	0.79 **	0.79 **	0.78 **				
46	0.81 **	0.81 **	0.80 **				
47	0.83 **	0.82 **	0.81 **				
48	0.84 **	0.83 **	0.83 **				
49	0.84 **	0.83 **	0.84 **				
50	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.85 **				
51	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.85 **				
52	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.86 **				
52	0.80	0.04	0.80				
55	0.80 **	0.85 ***	0.80 **				
54	0.8/ **	0.85 **	0.8/ **				
22	0.87 **	0.85 **	0.86 **				
56	0.87 **	0.85 **	0.86 **				
57	0.87 **	0.85 **	0.86 **				
58	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.86 **				
59	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.86 **				
60	0.86 **	0.85 **	0.86 **				
61	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.85 **				
62	0.86 **	0.85 **	0.85 **				
63	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.85 **				
64	0.86 **	0.84 **	0.83 **				

* and ** indicates significant difference (p<0.05) and (p<0.01), respectively.

Culting	Vaar	Transplanti	R	ice flour + glute	en ^a	Wheat flour + rice flour ^b				
Cultivar	rear	ng month	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3		
Hitomebore	2010	May	$74 \pm 4 df$	$115 \pm 0 \text{ bd}$	161 ± 13 af	172 ± 17 abc	252 ± 15 a	352 ± 23 a		
		June	$79 \pm 14 \text{ cdf}$	$111 \pm 18 \text{ bd}$	172 ± 28 acde	-	-	-		
		July	104 ± 10 bde	$104 \pm 12 \text{ bd}$	$122 \pm 16 \text{ df}$	$120 \pm 10 \text{ e}$	173 ± 22 c	243 ± 32 cd		
	2011	May	$83\pm20 \ bdf$	125 ± 24 bd	191 ± 17 ab	$165 \pm 10 \text{ abd}$	268 ± 12 a	353 ± 09 a		
		June	$80 \pm 7 \text{ cdf}$	$102 \pm 16 \text{ bd}$	159 ± 9 af	-	-	-		
		July	$68 \pm 8 \text{ ef}$	$88\pm17~d$	$105\pm21~f$	$182 \pm 13 \text{ a}$	253 ± 19 a	$340 \pm 21 \text{ ab}$		
Koshihikari	2009	May	$62 \pm 3 f$	95 ± 15 cd	$128 \pm 13 \text{ cf}$	$177 \pm 05 \text{ ab}$	285 ± 12 a	$345 \pm 22 a$		
		June	$77 \pm 3 \text{ cdf}$	$93\pm6~d$	$115 \pm 5 \text{ ef}$	-	-	-		
		July	115 ± 14 bc	$128\pm9~bd$	$143 \pm 12 \text{ af}$	$183 \pm 03 a$	252 ± 07 a	300 ± 28 ad		
	2010	May	$84 \pm 22 \ bdf$	$127 \pm 31 \text{ bd}$	159 ± 20 af	139 ± 13 cde	239 ± 03 ab	320 ± 18 ab		
		June	$84\pm8bdf$	$120 \pm 13 \text{ bd}$	154 ± 19 af	-	-	-		
		July	$121 \pm 8 ab$	132 ± 9 ad	151 ± 9 af	$158 \pm 10 \text{ abd}$	$246 \pm 06 \text{ ab}$	272 ± 24 bd		
	2011	May	$85 \pm 3 \text{ bdf}$	$140 \pm 7 \text{ ab}$	$182 \pm 10 \text{ ac}$	168 ± 14 abd	287 ± 35 a	343 ± 29 a		
		June	$73 \pm 8 \text{ ef}$	$106 \pm 12 \text{ bd}$	136 ± 24 bcf	-	-	-		
		July	$85\pm9~bdf$	$97\pm18\ bd$	134 ± 26 bcf	148 ± 12 be	239 ± 19 ab	$314 \pm 10 \text{ ab}$		
Takanari	2009	May	103 ± 11 bde	139 ± 16 abc	177 ± 31 acd	-	-	-		
		June	157 ± 37 a	176 ± 14 a	$196 \pm 28 a$	-	-	-		
	2011	May	$79 \pm 0 \text{ cdf}$	$117 \pm 3 \text{ bd}$	$160 \pm 5 \text{ af}$	139 ± 14 de	249 ± 13 ab	312 ± 32 abc		
		June	$73 \pm 9 df$	$95 \pm 12 \text{ cd}$	138 ± 26 af	-	-	-		
		July	$114 \pm 4 \text{ bd}$	$141 \pm 3 ab$	$161 \pm 6 af$	$124 \pm 05 \text{ e}$	201 ± 13 bc	$235 \pm 24 \text{ d}$		
Correlation coefficient with		t with	- 0.62 **	- 0.19 ns	0.30 ns	0.10 ns	0.47 ns	0.72 **		

Supplementary data 3: Changes in rice bread firmness after baking.

 $\frac{1}{a}$ 80% rice flour + 20% wheat gluten

^b 80% wheat flour 20% rice flour (20%)

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05 (Tukey's range test).

** *P* < 0.01.